Exhibit FF

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2 3 CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: IN RE: VALSARTAN PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION 19-md-02875-RBK-KMW 5 STATUS CONFERENCE 6 VIA REMOTE ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE 7 Mitchell H. Cohen Building & U.S. Courthouse 8 4th & Cooper Streets Camden, New Jersey 08101 9 May 3, 2021 Commencing at 10:00 a.m. 10 BEFORE: SPECIAL MASTER THE HONORABLE 11 THOMAS I. VANASKIE 12 APPEARANCES: 13 MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN, LLC BY: ADAM M. SLATER, ESQUIRE 14 103 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, New Jersey 07068 15 For the Plaintiffs 16 KIRTLAND & PACKARD, LLP BY: BEHRAM V. PAREKH, ESQUIRE 17 1638 South Pacific Coast Highway Redondo Beach, California 90277 18 For the Plaintiffs 19 GOLDENBERG LAW, LLC BY: MARLENE J. GOLDENBERG, ESQUIRE 20 800 Lasalle Avenue, Suite 2150 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 21 For the Plaintiffs 22 Camille Pedano, Official Court Reporter 23 camillepedano@gmail.com 609-774-1494 2.4 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript 25 produced by computer-aided transcription.

```
1
    A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued):
 2
         KANNER & WHITELEY, LLC
         BY: DAVID J. STANOCH, ESQUIRE
 3
         701 Camp Street
         New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
 4
         For the Plaintiffs
 5
         DUANE MORRIS, LLP
         BY:
              SETH A. GOLDBERG, ESQUIRE
 6
              JESSICA PRISELAC, ESQUIRE
              KELLY BONNER, ESQUIRE
 7
         30 South 17th Street
         Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
 8
         For the Defendants, Prinston Pharmaceuticals,
         Solco Healthcare U.S. LLC, and
 9
         Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceuticals Ltd.
10
         GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
         BY: VICTORIA DAVIS LOCKARD, ESQUIRE
11
              LORI G. COHEN, ESQUIRE
              STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQUIRE
12
         3333 Piedmont Road, NE, Suite 2500
         Atlanta, Georgia 30305
13
         For the Defendants, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.,
         Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Actavis LLC,
14
         and Actavis Pharma, Inc.
15
         CIPRIANI & WERNER, P.C.
              JESSICA M. HEINZ, ESQUIRE
16
              JILL FERTEL, ESQUIRE
         450 Sentry Parkway
17
         Blue Bell, Pennsylvania 19422
                   And
18
         MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP
         BY: JOHN P. LAVELLE, JR.
19
         1701 Market Street
         Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
20
         For the Defendants, Aurolife Pharma LLC
         and Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc.
21
         HILL WALLACK, LLP
22
         BY: ERIC I. ABRAHAM, ESQUIRE
              NAKUL Y. SHAH, ESQUIRE
23
         21 Roszel Road
         Princeton, New Jersey 08540
24
         Attorney for Defendants, Hetero Drugs and Hetero Labs
25
```

PageID: 28102

```
1
    APPEARANCES (Continued):
 2
         ULMER & BERNE, LLP
 3
         BY: JEFFREY D. GEOPPINGER, ESQUIRE
         600 Vine Street, Suite 2800
 4
         Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
         For the Wholesaler Defendants and AmerisourceBergen
 5
         BARNES & THORNBURG, LLP
 6
         BY: SARAH E. JOHNSTON, ESQUIRE
         2029 Century Park East, Suite 300
 7
         Los Angeles, California 90067
         For the Retailer Defendants and CVS Pharmacy, Inc., and
 8
         Rite Aid Corporation
 9
10
    ALSO PRESENT:
11
         LORETTA SMITH, ESQUIRE
         Judicial Law Clerk to The Honorable Robert B. Kugler
12
         Larry MacStravic, Courtroom Deputy
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

```
(PROCEEDINGS held remotely via Zoom videoconference before
Special Master The Honorable Thomas I. Vanaskie at 10:00 a.m.)
         JUDGE VANASKIE: Good morning, everyone.
         MR. GOLDBERG: Good morning, Your Honor.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: Good to see you all.
         MS. COHEN: Good morning.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: Good morning. Thank you for
accommodating the change in schedule from last Wednesday to
       And we'll get started.
todav.
         I have the agenda letters from last week and we will
follow along the agenda letters. I have a couple of questions
I would like to ask with respect to the motion to seal. I've
been through most -- I've been through it all. I'm close to
making a decision. I just have a couple of questions that it
would be helpful to have answered as I make my final decision
on the individual documents, the 29 or so documents that are at
issue on that particular motion.
         We have the motion for a protective order that's been
filed by ZHP, and I intend to ask a couple of questions. But
we'll start with the agenda letter. And I'm following along
the plaintiffs' agenda letter in terms of the order of matters
to cover.
         And the first issue is the plaintiffs' request for Mr.
Chen's custodial file.
         I do want to say, of course, you know, follow the same
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

Document 1235-6 PageID: 28104

protocol. Unless you're speaking, please mute your device; and if you need to be heard, just let me know. And we'll get started.

Camille, our court reporter, is here. With that said, let's proceed.

So, Mr. Slater, you've made this request for the custodial file of Mr. Chen. That had been a matter that I understand, and I'm sure I'll be corrected if I'm wrong, that Judge Schneider deferred ruling on or at least did not require the production of the custodial file previously. You've submitted to me quite a lot of information in support of that request. I didn't know if there's other matters that you wanted to say with respect to your request for the custodial file.

> MR. SLATER: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.

I'll just really just focus in and reiterate that I think that we've established significant direct involvement by Mr. Chen in highly relevant matters, including a series of meetings as to which our understanding is no notes exist from the people who attended, based on Mr. Min Li's deposition. we also, obviously, have the very important matter of this email that we learned about just in the last week or so indicating that ZHP knew that there was NDMA contamination of its valsartan at least as of July 2017 and I think that we should have the right to see all of his documents ultimately,

6

```
1
    this is a steppingstone to his deposition, but let's start with
    the custodial file.
 3
             Currently, his deposition is scheduled. We know the
 4
    defense intends to move. We will have a vigorous opposition to
 5
    that motion, as I'm sure you can imagine. But I think that
 6
    you're right, Judge Schneider said he wasn't going to order it
 7
    at the time but wasn't prejudging what would be developed in
 8
    the record as we went forward, and we think we've established a
 9
    strong record to obtain that custodial file.
10
             Thank you.
11
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right.
12
             Mr. Goldberg, will you be addressing this issue?
13
             MR. GOLDBERG: I will, Your Honor. And I'm going to
14
    address it in some detail because this is a very significant
15
    request for the client, for our client, ZHP. And so I'm going
16
    to ask for the Court's indulgence for a few minutes to get into
17
    that detail. And I'm going to even put up a few documents on
18
    the screen that we'd like to share with the Court and at the
19
    same time, my colleaque, Jessica Priselac, will email you the
20
    documents so that you have them.
21
             Let me first get --
22
             JUDGE VANASKIE: May I interrupt you for a second, Mr.
23
    Goldberg, with a question. And the question is whether I
2.4
    should defer hearing this until you submit the documents and
```

ask of your availability say Wednesday or Thursday of this week

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

```
because it is a significant issue and I do have a very
comprehensive record submitted by Mr. Slater with multiple
exhibits and I know it just came up last Tuesday, I suppose, in
terms of written submission. It may have come up in your
discussions, I understand that. But I don't want to preclude
you from making a complete showing, if you think you can make
that now, emailing documents to me which I won't be able to
review.
         I hope you understand that.
         MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, these are just a few
demonstrative exhibits --
         JUDGE VANASKIE: Okay.
         MR. GOLDBERG: -- in our view to help this argument.
         In our view, at a minimum, briefing on this issue is
required. That's what Judge Schneider ordered. This Court has
not yet set a briefing schedule for this, for this request.
Mr. Slater's request was made by way of a letter. What we'd
like to do is share with Your Honor some of the salient points
that demonstrate that Mr. Chen's custodial file is not
necessary in this case, to give you that backdrop and to
request at a minimum that the Court provide briefing on the
issue which we would be happy to submit. But as I think you'll
see, Your Honor, this issue requires a full vetting. If the
Court is not inclined to rule in defendant's favor, we want to
have that opportunity to submit that briefing.
         But if Your Honor would indulge me for a few minutes,
```

```
1
    I can show you those demonstrative exhibits.
 2
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Certainly. You proceed.
 3
             MR. GOLDBERG: And let me just start by way of saying
 4
    that the backdrop for this request is that Mr. Chen is the
    founder of ZHP. ZHP is a global company with more than 7,000
 6
    employees worldwide. It has dozens of affiliates and
 7
    subsidiaries. It manufactures and distributes dozens of
 8
    products, not just API and finished dose, around the world.
 9
    Mr. Chen is the general manager. He oversees the company, the
10
    7,000-person company. He's been in that role since its
11
    inception, which is more than 20 years ago. And while the
12
    issue of the impurity in valsartan is important, it affected
13
    only those involved in the manufacture of valsartan at ZHP, and
14
    the record adduced to date is very clear that Mr. Chen is not
15
    so involved.
16
             And I'll share with Your Honor a few of the slides
17
    that I wanted to show you.
18
             And I guess I would ask Mr. MacStravic, if you could
19
    allow me the ability to show a document, to share my screen?
20
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Okay, Larry?
21
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I should go ahead and try it.
22
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right.
23
             MR. GOLDBERG: It's still saying host disabled
2.4
    participant's screen share.
25
             MR. SLATER: Can I ask a question while we do this --
```

Document 1235-6 PageID: 28108

1 JUDGE VANASKIE: Certainly. 2 MR. SLATER: -- as to whether or not the information 3 that we're about to see is what was found in the brief that was 4 submitted to the Court last week? 5 I'm sure it's not a surprise, Judge, that from 6 plaintiffs' perspective, this was fully briefed for the Court 7 in our agenda letters. We think that's more than sufficient. 8 That's how the Court has been addressing these custodial 9 productions throughout this litigation. If they are now going 10 to make a presentation of things that aren't in the letters 11 submitted, you know, we obviously object to it. 12 We'll defer to whatever Your Honor says, but we have a 13 real problem with them dropping this on us today, especially 14 when they asked for the Zoom conference the other day and 15 didn't tell us the reason was because they were going to want 16 to do this. So we have some concerns. 17 JUDGE VANASKIE: I understand, Mr. Slater. 18 allow this presentation to be made. 19 MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 Your Honor, the plaintiffs -- and you should have 21 these documents, Your Honor, but the plaintiffs made a number 22 of assertions in their letter, seven in particular, to arque 23 that Mr. Chen is materially involved in the case, and what we 2.4 provided to Your Honor are excerpts of testimony to show you 25 that Mr. Chen is not materially involved in the case.

For example, number one, plaintiffs say that he was the apparent architect of the effort by ZHP to use the zinc chloride process. Ms. Ge, the head of quality assurance, said that he is in top management, he is in charge of the strategic planning or management of the company. As for the daily tasks of change, control or deviation investigation, he never was involved.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28109

Plaintiffs argue that after June 2018 disclosure of the contamination to the FDA, Mr. Chen took control of the crisis. Ms. Ge testified that for the NDA impurity investigation, Mr. Chen never got involved in the root cause analysis, the root cause investigation or creation of the deviation report. He never got involved.

They argue that Mr. Chen regularly visited the United States to oversee the ZHP marketing operations. The testimony is that he came to ZHP once or twice -- or to the U.S. once or twice a year. Again, he is the global -- the head of a global company of 7,000 employees.

They argue that Mr. Chen has involvement in FDA inspections. They cite to a few inspection reports where Mr. Chen is identified as attending. Mr. Chen, as the head of the company and the highest-ranking official, is required to attend and he attends the opening meeting and the closing meeting, but he is not a subject matter specialist that walks through the inspection with the FDA. And that is clear. And, in fact,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

this week they will be deposing the head of our regulatory affairs department and she will testify accordingly. And if Your Honor would like to have it today, we'd be happy to submit an affidavit from Ms. Lin. They say that Mr. Chen knew about the contamination prior to July 2017. Ms. Ge again testifies he's not involved in the day-to-day activities of the API or finished dose manufacturing. They go on, and I'll jump to Number 7, that he was involved in every point in the process, has direct knowledge from all corners of the company, and Mr. Min Li, who's head of one of the quality functions at ZHP says, such detail and technical details were never discussed with Mr. Chen. The reality, Your Honor, is Mr. Chen, like any other CEO of a company of 7,000 employees, gets information reported up and that's it. He doesn't report down. He doesn't provide information down. Of course he understood what was happening. Of course he attended some meetings. That's what CEOs do. But that does not mean that he has information that would be materially additive to this case, which is why I want to show Your Honor the next document to really bring home the point. Your Honor, this is an analysis of Mr. Chen's -- of the deposition exhibits shown to date. This is a very important document for Your Honor to focus on.

They've now had the deposition of 11 ZHP party

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28111

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

witnesses, nine of whom provided 30(b)(6) testimony. That's been 115 hours of testimony, not including the 75 percent multiplier for translation. They've shown the witnesses 338 exhibits. Out of the 367,000 documents that have been produced from 80 ZHP custodians, they found 338 to be the most critical to show witnesses to get their testimony to put their case together. Of those 338, only 13 mention Mr. Chen. Of those 13, six are email that mention Mr. Chen. None of them are email that he sent to any of the 80 custodians. These are the custodians they identified based on the core discovery. are the custodians that have been designated as 30(b)(6) witnesses. He has not emailed any of them because if he did, it would be in their custodial files. Only two of the 13 email exhibits were sent to him, just two. Two of them were email that he was copied on, one of them was an email that he was blind copied on, and one an email that he received -- or that had an exhibit that mentioned him but was not sent or received by him. And you can see the minuscule percentages of either the exhibits or even the production that these documents amount to. The other seven deposition exhibits that mention Mr. Chen are zero -- none are documents he authored, not surprisingly, because he's the CEO of a 7,000-person company. Three he's mentioned in organizational charts. Of course he's

at the top. One is a Power Point that notes him as a founder,

1 two are EU or FDA inspection reports that note his role as the 2 general manager, and one is a memorandum addressed to him. 3 Again, the documents demonstrate that Mr. Chen's 4 custodial file would not be additive to this case because if 5 there was a materially relevant document in this case with Mr. 6 Chen on it, it would have been identified within the custodial 7 files of the 80 ZHP party custodians. 8 The email -- Mr. Slater referred to a memo from 2017. 9 Mr. Chen was not sent that memo. He's not a recipient of that 10 memo. 11 In our view, the production of Mr. Chen's custodial 12 file clearly would not be additive to this case and this Court should not grant that kind of a production when it is so 13 14 patently, at a minimum, duplicative. 15 The last document that I wanted to show you, Your 16 Honor, presents a unique issue. It makes Mr. Chen unique among 17 all of the other custodians at ZHP, and this is why a full 18 brief on this issue is required. 19 Unlike the other custodians at ZHP, Mr. Chen is an 20 official in the People's Republic of China Communist 21 Government. And we've already covered with Your Honor a number 22 of the state secret issues, but Mr. Chen holds various roles in 23 different government-sponsored agencies and in the government 2.4 in China. He's the deputy to the 13th National People's 25 Congress, he's a member of the Standing Committee of the 16th

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28112

People's Congress of Linhai City, he's the vice president of the Zhejiang Chamber of Commerce and so on.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28113

What this means is that Mr. Chen's custodial file is likely to be encumbered with a large amount of documents that are subject to the state secret rule that we've discussed and in ways that we haven't discussed them because we've only discussed the state secret rule as it applies to the documents that are at issue in this case. Because so few documents at issue in this case are likely to pertain to this case in Mr. Chen's custodial file, the vast majority are likely to be irrelevant, and then on top of that, subject to state secret rules. And this issue is -- this issue is of paramount importance to ZHP and to Mr. Chen because it results in potential exposure under the Chinese state secret rules which have to be fully vetted before the production of any custodial documents by Mr. Chen.

So, Your Honor, we think the issue of the production of his custodial file is premature. We think these issues need to be fully vetted. As Your Honor can see, the assertions in plaintiffs' letter about his involvement are contradicted by the facts of record, they're contradicted by the normal practice of general managers and CEOs in global companies with thousands of employees, they're contradicted by the documents that have been produced to date that plaintiffs have relied on, and there's the added impediment of great significance under

2.4

China law due to his role with the Chinese government.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28114

And so at a minimum we would ask Your Honor for additional briefing on this issue, but we think Your Honor could conclude it by ordering, as Judge Schneider did, that the production of the custodial file is not warranted.

JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Slater.

MR. SLATER: Thank you, Judge.

I'll try to take these arguments one at a time.

The first part was the chart pulling out things we said in our brief which, as Your Honor recognized, we didn't just make statements, we supported what we said with the record. And I'll start with the first example because it's probably an easy one to use to swipe away the rest of that as having any probative value here of any significance.

The first quote that they listed on their Power Point said something about him being the architect of the zinc chloride process, and we know from the documents that we had, and we presented this to the Court, he was the one who continually was pushing to lower the cost so much that they could capture all of the market share. That was the strategy for valsartan. That's why they went to the zinc chloride process. And there are emails that reflect that, for example, he had a conversation with, I believe it was Hie Wang who runs their U.S. distributor, where Mr. Chen, apparently, during one

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of his United States visits, was talking about them -- about ZHP's subsidiary Solco needing to drop the prices even more so they didn't have a problem like they did with losartan.

Apparently, they didn't drop the prices enough and didn't capture enough market share. So he had direct supervisory involvement and direction on the strategy.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28115

Now, what do they -- what does ZHP put in their Power Point to refute that, that he's the architect of the zinc chloride process? Testimony from a quality assurance person who would have had absolutely no involvement with that strategy and it says, the quote from her deposition, as I took notes, said he wasn't involved in the deviation investigation. That's not even the subject of what we were talking about in what they I watched Ms. Ge's deposition. She acknowledged she had no idea what Mr. Chen actually knew. She attended a few meetings with him for which she took no notes, and there was no testimony anybody else took notes, and she certainly doesn't know who else he spoke to, what other meetings he attended, what else he knew. How could she know? It would be impossible. So the idea that that testimony that they put up in the right-hand column of their Power Point in any way refutes what we've stated in our brief is just not accurate, and I've just given you an example showing the actual examples they gave are completely off-point and not probative.

Now, the next argument that counsel made that they

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

looked at the statistical analysis of deposition exhibits used to date.

Number one, we already have an order from this Court that we're deposing Mr. Chen in about a month. So we didn't need to establish a record to get his deposition. If ZHP wants to move to try to prevent that deposition, they have the burden and I don't see how they can make that burden on this record. But let's go further.

We don't have Mr. Chen's custodial file. think that would explain a lot of why we don't have his documents because when we go into the next point, we now know that a very important document, an email sent to a bunch of high-level people in the company which confirms that ZHP has not been truthful with the world regulatory authorities since this came out, and that they were hiding the contamination for approximately a year, we believe even longer, we know that now, we have a hard document that shows that, which we believe accidentally was produced, and we can go through that, we've gone through that in our letter, it was never intended to be seen by us. And Your Honor's very familiar with ESI and what can be done and why it is that when they renamed the date of the document with the copy date, that probably evaded the net that was being cast to pull those documents out of the production before we saw it. This is disturbing but it's very straightforward.

2.4

So what else do we know about that email? Every single other person that received it, there's no indication they received it. It wasn't in their custodial files and they weren't listed as duplicate custodians. We also know the report that was written following that email and as a result of that email does not exist as of today. Nobody's been able to produce it.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28117

We spoke with ZHP in a meet and confer Friday at 4:00 and they told us as of now they haven't been able to locate that report, which Mr. Li acknowledged the spreadsheet we found out about it in said that he -- he said, don't do any more work on it, don't issue it because of the sensitive impurity. That was in April of 2018, two months before Novartis forced ZHP to disclose the contamination.

So when counsel continuously said there's no documents in the production implicating Mr. Chen's involvement, that's our point. We already know, we have hard evidence on the core issue in this whole case, that documents seem to have been deleted from the production and we're now going to be asking for more custodial productions which, based on our meet and confer, ZHP's not likely to resist.

Finally, this government official argument is I'll use the word disturbing again. When ZHP chose to sell valsartan in the United States, they didn't tell the FDA, by the way, if there's a problem, our top officials won't be available because

of a Chinese state secret issue. They came into the United States and sold their product, took massive profits from that, took the market share. There's the reason ZHP is the heavy in this because of Mr. Chen's strategy to drop the price so much that they could capture the world market share.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28118

To say that because he's a government official that somehow we don't get the custodial production, they already made all their arguments and Judge Schneider rejected them and let us have the deposition and said I'll wait on the custodial file. Whatever his reasoning was, there's a record now that's very strong that shows he had direct involvement, he's not a figurehead, direct communications with people, yet none of those people who were deposed, Min Li, Jucai Ge, and I can tell you Linda Lin, who I'm deposing tonight is not going to know either, what Mr. Chen knew, when he knew it, what decisions he made, who he spoke to. Because it's a big organization, he's the one who knows. He knows what he knew and when he knew it. He's going to need to answer for this company, and the first step to that is going to be his custodial file.

So we ask Your Honor, number one, to grant our request for the custodial file; and two, to reject any request by ZHP to further encumber this issue or delay this issue with this amorphous request for briefing. We briefed it. Our agenda letters are detailed, this is how we produce these -- bring these issues to the Court. There's absolutely no need to have

```
1
    a briefing schedule on this when we're supposed to depose this
    man in a month.
 3
             Thank you.
 4
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Mr. Goldberg.
 5
             MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. Just a few
 6
    points in response.
 7
             First, Judge Schneider never decided that Mr. Chen
 8
    should be deposed. What he said was put a date on the
 9
    schedule, then you can file a motion for protective order based
10
    on the testimony to demonstrate that the deposition should be
11
    precluded, but he never ordered the deposition.
12
             Second, he did deny the production of the custodial
13
    file, based on the same arguments that I'm making today, he
14
    decided back in October of 2019.
15
             Third, this issue has not been briefed. Plaintiffs
16
    did not raise the issue of Mr. Chen's custodial file until a
17
    week ago Friday. Since October of 2019 until a week ago
18
    Friday, this issue had not been renewed; and then they only
19
    renewed it in an email without any support. Then they filed
20
    their letter last week with what they claim supports their --
21
    their renewed request. The testimony shows that the renewed
22
    request is insupportable; but if Your Honor takes a careful
23
    look at their documents, Your Honor's going to see that they do
24
    not support the request.
25
             An important point here is that the second
```

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28119

2.4

highest-ranking official at ZHP is going to be deposed and his custodial file has been produced. His name is Jun Du. And Judge Schneider really focused on Jun Du in place of Mr. Chen in ways at plaintiffs' urging because Mr. Du is in the United States. And Mr. Du is someone who's the second highest-ranking official at ZHP and he is also the highest-ranking official at the various U.S.-related subsidiaries.

The point about the documents is really persuasive.

They had 80 custodians. Any communication that Mr. Chen had with any of those 80 custodians would be produced and that includes Mr. Du, the second highest-ranking official. The fact that they've only identified 13 documents with mentioning him to show in their key depositions on the issues of testing, on the issues of manufacturing, on the issues of the quality control, on the issues of the process change, and they haven't -- they haven't shown that Mr. Chen has had any material involvement demonstrates that the custodial file would not be additive to this case.

There's nothing that's going to be in the custodial file that isn't -- that's material to this case that would not have already been produced among the 80 custodians, 80. That's more than any other defendant in this case by far. That's all of the people that were involved in the core discovery and it's all of the 30(b)(6) witnesses.

The plaintiffs are mischaracterizing this, Your Honor,

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

and it's not surprising because this is what plaintiffs do, this 2017 document. First of all, it refers to a different drug called irbesartan. That's the subject of another litigation in this matter. And the custodians they've identified are custodians relating to irbesartan, a different drug, but it's important for Your Honor to know that ZHP fully intends to cooperate with plaintiffs with respect to those 15 document requests that they've issued, including those additional custodians, and we had a call with them on Friday to walk through each of those. ZHP has addressed each of those and, as it has for the entire two and a half years we've been litigating, intends to cooperate on all of those requests and to work with plaintiffs on pulling together the information they're seeking. Now, some of it may already be produced, some of it may be subject to further negotiation, but those custodians we intend to produce. And that's another reason for Your Honor to withhold the Baohua Chen custodial file because we're giving them the material information or the information that they think is material. But that document has no connection to Mr. Chen, he didn't receive it, and it pertains to a different drug. So, Your Honor, our view is still that this issue is premature but we think we've provided Your Honor with the information necessary for Your Honor to rule, if you needed to,

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28121

that the custodial file should not be produced at this time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. So just to be clear on this, your reference to production of custodial files, et cetera, that are being sought by the plaintiffs are those requests that are made at Page 24 of Mr. Slater's letter of April 27th? MR. GOLDBERG: That's correct, Your Honor. In fact, what -- and I'm sorry, I'm just trying to find something for Your Honor. What we intend to do is to re-interview those ten custodians. There are four new custodians they requested; we intend to be interviewing those. We intend to be doing a collection -- review and collection and production of documents pertaining to those new custodians. And there's one custodian that we have to discuss with plaintiffs, her name is Maggie Kong. We think that that request isn't warranted but we'll discuss that with plaintiffs. We don't think that that issue is ripe at this time. But what we are confident in is that we will be providing to plaintiffs the information that they think is material. We don't agree with it but they want the additional discovery that they think is material and so we're willing to cooperate. That's different than Mr. Chen's discovery which is not material. But we think by -- you know, we think the Court should certainly take into consideration the fact that the information they think is material is going to be the subject of further discovery. JUDGE VANASKIE: All right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28123

```
Mr. Slater, with respect to these -- the requests that
started on Page 24 of your April 27, 2021, letter, should I
defer ruling on the custodial file of Mr. Chen until you see
what's produced?
         MR. SLATER: No, Your Honor.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: I knew you'd say no.
shouldn't I?
         MR. SLATER: I'll tell you why. This is obviously --
the case -- the litigation now has taken on a new -- or the
case against ZHP has taken on a new dimension. And I want to
be very clear about something. The people that we've asked for
additional custodial productions from, we've demonstrated, and
that's why we believe ZHP has agreed now to produce them, we've
demonstrated the materiality. That's not a question.
agree Maggie Kong shouldn't have her custodial production
produced. She's actually Baohua Chen's chief of staff who was
the main go-between with everybody. Min Li testified
everything came through her and it looks like she also had
substantive involvement. And, again, this is a way for us to
start to, as best we can, seal the documents. But Baohua Chen
stands as an additional and necessary custodian, and we're a
month from his deposition. So we can't be now waiting until we
see those custodial productions and then we wait and wait and
wait when we've made the showing.
         And I want to be very clear about something.
```

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number one, Baohua Chen's deposition was granted. We made the showing and Judge Schneider said to the defense, if you want to move for a protective order later, you can do that, but the deposition was in the order as a deposition. That's why it has a date on the calendar, number one.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28124

Number two, again, you're hearing these arguments about what we have in the production. The production by ZHP is not trustworthy. We've proven that on a very material issue. And when you look at that document, I want to be very clear, Your Honor, because we gave you the actual deposition testimony where Min Li testified to what the document said, it says very clearly, without any caveat, without any question of maybe or anything, they were looking at irbesartan, they were looking at what they thought was a nitrosamine impurity that they were finding in irbesartan based on what they were doing with the production process. And in the email it says, this is very similar to the NDMA that is being formed in the valsartan when we quench it with sodium nitrite, which as Mr. Li acknowledged, that is the root cause for why there's NDMA in the valsartan. That statement was a factually true, scientifically true statement nearly a year before ZHP claimed they first heard about this. We know that for a fact. The email very clearly So when they -- the defense keeps saying we have already produced what we've produced and you don't see this, you don't see that, again, that's our point because the

recipients of that email didn't have it in their custodial file and they were not listed as duplicate custodians.

So every time ZHP says, look at our production, my response is exactly. That's why we need more custodial productions, that's why we need the others we've asked for, and Baohua Chen clearly was involved. He had direct substantive involvement. He was not a figurehead. I don't think we really would need to have to show more than that; but then Min Li says he took control of this processing, was running all of these meetings. And there's only one person in the world that knows everything that he was told and everything he told others, and that's Baohua Chen.

JUDGE VANASKIE: Anything else, Mr. Goldberg?

MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, the production of Mr. Chen's custodial file, if it were to ever be ordered, most certainly should not be ordered before the production of this additional information. If plaintiffs think that Mr. Chen is somehow connected to this additional discovery we're going to provide, his deposition has to be rescheduled to allow for the production of this information. And we've asked for the rescheduling of his deposition to account for the testimony that needs to happen. But one thing's for sure, should Mr. Chen ever be deposed in this case or should his production of a custodial file ever be required, it has to come after all of the testimony that would be pertinent and all of the documents

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

that would be -- that would establish cause, because right now there is no cause established. But we would not want to have to have Mr. Chen be brought back for a second deposition based on after-produced documents.

This issue has only surfaced, the request for his custodial file, after two and a half years. Had we not asked to reschedule the deposition, plaintiffs likely would not have requested his custodial file. But at a minimum, the production of a custodial file should await some real evidence connecting Mr. Chen to any material issue in this case. Simply overseeing the company and being reported to about important issues in the case does not establish that he would have information unique and additive for this case.

JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. I am inclined to order the production of Mr. Chen's custodial file but I will give Mr. Goldberg an opportunity to submit a letter brief on the issue of why that still is premature. Based upon what's been presented to me thus far, it seems to me that he would have unique material information and had an involvement beyond that which has been described thus far. Now, I can be persuaded otherwise, that's clear; but I also am not wanting to delay this matter interminably while other documents are produced.

This disclosure with respect -- I understand the July 2017 email concerns irbesartan, not valsartan, but it is troubling, and the fact that -- of how the metadata plays out

```
1
    is troubling. So I think we need to get to the bottom of this.
 2
             So, as I said, I really would like to move this along
 3
    but I will give Mr. Goldberg an opportunity -- I consider Mr.
 4
    Slater's presentation of April 27th to be the equivalent of a
    motion to compel the production of the custodial file of Mr.
 6
    Chen and you can now respond. I'll give you to Monday of next
 7
    week to respond, Mr. Goldberg. You've already made an
 8
    impressive presentation so far, so I don't think you need more
 9
    time than that. And we'll issue a prompt ruling on it.
10
    need to move this matter along.
11
             I'm not going to order the postponement of Mr. Chen's
12
    deposition at this time. I'm going to keep that matter under
13
    advisement. But I know that we need to move this matter along.
14
    I'm repeating myself.
15
             But the presentation -- I want you to understand that
16
    the presentation that has been made thus far is persuasive.
17
    It's not convincing yet but it is persuasive. And I will
18
    certainly allow you the opportunity, Mr. Goldberg, to convince
19
    me otherwise. So we'll -- as I said, we're not going to
20
    postpone the deposition of Mr. Chen at this time.
21
    scheduled. We'll get your submission next -- by no later than
22
    next Monday, May 10th. I know that doesn't give a lot of time,
23
    Mr. Slater, for production, but if I order the production of
2.4
    Mr. Chen's custodial file, I'll expect it to be produced
2.5
    forthwith.
```

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I understand the state secret argument and the role that Mr. Chen plays. You certainly have the opportunity to object to production of documents that are covered by the state secret law, the Chinese state secret law, and so that issue may have to be resolved as well. I understand that, too. not going to hold up making a decision on the basis of the Chinese state secret law. I'm going to try to move this matter along as expeditiously as possible. So anything else on this issue before we move on to the next one? MR. SLATER: Your Honor --MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, I will just say that with respect to the logistics of producing the documents,

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28128

irrespective of the state secret issue, the production of Mr. Chen's custodial file and that of the other custodians whose productions we are willing to consider is not going to be something that can happen just in a matter of a week or two because the production of this information requires so many different -- need the involvement of a ESI consultant who needs to go from Shanghai to Linhai.

Remember, Judge Schneider did not require us to collect his information, so it hasn't been done yet. We need time to process the information. We need time to review the information for the state secrets to weed out any state secret information. We need time to review and -- and remove any

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

```
documents already produced. And so, you know, while we would
endeavor to do that as quickly as possible, the logistics alone
are an issue. And plaintiffs sat on their hands on this
request. So we should not be penalized because they waited
until last week to make this request. I mean, Your Honor has
to consider that when making this order. They only renewed
this request for his custodial file nine days ago. And so
we're going to need the time to produce this information.
                                                          It's
just logistically impossible to turn it over without having all
of the technical steps involved.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: Any response, Mr. Slater?
         MR. SLATER: I was only going to ask Your Honor, I
just wanted to clarify the dates for when the letters were due
to Your Honor. I think you said that ZHP has a week or did you
say that they'll submit theirs and then we will get our reply
by Monday? I just want to make sure that we just nail the
dates down.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: No, I said ZHP has until May 10, a
week from today.
         MR. SLATER: And when should we -- I mean, we'll
obviously, if we have a reply, we'll -- we want to move
expeditiously so we would try to get it to you very quickly.
                                                              Ι
would just ask for up to 48 hours.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. We'll give you 48 hours.
        MR. SLATER: Thank you.
```

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28129

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1 May 12th. JUDGE VANASKIE:

MR. SLATER: And then on the last part, I was a little concerned when counsel walked back. During the argument it was, we're giving all the custodial files; then counsel just said, well, we're willing to consider it. I mean, I quess we'll nail that down later in the argument today with Your Honor, but I thought that was their argument was they were giving it to us, now I'm hearing willing to consider. obviously concerning and, you know, we just look forward to getting this decided.

As far as the production issues, I would think that ZHP, from the moment that we started to discuss this again -and we didn't sit on our hands. We've been working diligently and when we felt we had gotten substantial evidence from a deposition, we brought it right to them, from somebody who's very close to Mr. Chen, probably as close as anybody we've deposed in the litigation so far, was Min Li. And he's the one through whose custodial production we got that email. So we couldn't have known about it because it had been sanitized from every other production. And it's in Chinese.

So we did what we did as we got to that deposition. Luckily, we found it. If we hadn't, ZHP wouldn't be before you, I'll tell you right now, saying, we just want to let you know we knew about this over almost a year before, at least, and we just want to make sure no one misses it. They were very

```
1
    happy for us not to know that, but...
 2
             So when the time comes, if Your Honor does rule in our
 3
    favor, we're hopeful that ZHP now knows as of today they better
 4
    start getting working on this because they won't have a lot of
 5
    time.
 6
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Well, I am going to direct that ZHP
 7
    start collecting, gathering the custodial file of Mr. Chen.
 8
    haven't decided it but I don't want that to be a reason for
 9
    more delay. It may take some time, I'm not sure, but I want to
10
    get into the details of that after a final decision is made.
11
    But in the meantime, they certainly should start gathering that
12
    custodial file.
13
             MR. SLATER:
                          Thank you.
14
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Now, the next issue I have is the
15
    additional discovery that you want to pursue, Mr. Slater,
16
    matters involving this July 27, 2017, email, the Lenovo
17
    ThinkPad issue with respect to Mr. Li, Min Li's cellphone,
18
    missing emails from Peng Dong, those matters that are -- I see
19
    covered at -- I think starting at Page 13 of your April 27,
20
    2021, letter.
21
             Where do things stand with respect to that, Mr.
22
    Slater?
23
             MR. SLATER: We spoke with ZHP at 4:00 on Friday and
24
    went through each of the issues. I can give you point by point
25
    as to where we stand because as to many of these issues I
```

believe there was agreement and perhaps we can clear up the custodial production issue now as well as we just talked about.

JUDGE VANASKIE: Yes.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28132

MR. SLATER: It was our understanding at the time that ZHP was inclined, with the exception of Maggie Kong, who they wanted to discuss further with us, Baohua Chen's chief of staff, that they were inclined to produce those custodial files and asked us if we had any additional ones we wanted produced so they could do it, based on what we know now at this time, one time. And we said that sounds reasonable. And I would think by the end of today we'll get back to them if there's any others. We tried to be thorough so I don't anticipate that but I want to check with our team. So by 5:00 today they'll know who we're requesting at this time based on what we know.

So I think as to the ones we've requested, including Maggie Kong, they should be ordered. If there's something that ZHP wants to discuss with us, you know, it's not the end of the world to discuss for a few more days on her custodial file, but that is something that we think we need.

The next issue was -- that we discussed with ZHP on Thursday was the additional search terms that we request to be run and they agreed. They agreed they would run those terms on the custodial files already produced, and that to the extent they produce additional custodial files, they'll add those search terms. So I think that that issue has been addressed.

2.4

JUDGE VANASKIE: Let me interrupt you for a second,

Mr. Slater, just -- I'll turn to Mr. Goldberg then with respect
to these matters.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28133

Again, I'm going to work from Page 24 of the plaintiffs' letter. Where do things stand with respect to the production of custodial files for the parties to the July 27, 2017, email, the individuals identified there on the top of Page 24?

MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, I'm going to invite my colleague, Jessica Priselac, who was on the call with plaintiffs to talk about that.

But before I do, Your Honor, you know, I just want to make sure Your Honor's clear about one thing that seems to be getting missed here, which is that the 2017 email that's being referred to has been in the production all along. This is not a document that appeared last week during the deposition of Mr. Li. This is a document that plaintiffs, had they been diligent and reviewed the documents months earlier, would have found. And the fact that it was produced demonstrates that the search terms work because there was a reference in an irbesartan document to valsartan, to nitrosamine. But to say that somehow — to say that somehow or to suggest that this document has been withheld or hidden, this has been in the production and somehow ZHP is being forced to act as if there's urgency when this document has always been there.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28134

```
1
             Now, I will ask Ms. Priselac to address the issues on
 2
    the custodians.
 3
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Thank you, Mr. Goldberg.
 4
             MS. PRISELAC: Thank you.
 5
             Your Honor, with respect to the new custodians,
 6
    plaintiffs are correct that we still are objecting to the
 7
    production of Maggie Kong; but to the other custodians, we have
 8
    started the preliminary setup of a potential collection. The
 9
    one issue we're having this week, which started last week, is
10
    that currently there is a Chinese national holiday. So our
11
    client is physically closed until May 6, but we'll be
12
    restarting, you know, those conversations and that collection
13
    when they reopen.
14
             MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor? Your Honor?
15
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Yes.
16
             MR. GOLDBERG: Ms. Priselac just reminded me of a key
17
    point.
            When Your Honor ruled that we would have a brief due on
18
    Monday, I was -- I had not remembered this Chinese holiday.
19
    And, of course, not only does our client have to be involved in
20
    that briefing, but the Hui Zhong law firm in China will too and
21
    they're going to be unavailable during this national holiday.
22
    So we would ask Your Honor to provide an additional week so
23
    that we can brief this issue because they will not be back in
2.4
    the office or the company won't be back into the facilities
25
    until a week from today.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

JUDGE VANASKIE: I thought I heard May 6th but now you're saying it's May 10th that they won't be back in? MR. GOLDBERG: They'll be back next -- they'll be back on Monday. So the holiday would be until Friday and then they'll be back in the office Monday. So we'll need the time to work with the Chinese to respond to the issue on Mr. Chen. MR. SLATER: Your Honor, obviously, we object to this. This is ZHP's request to submit yet another brief on something that they filed last week where they had full access to this law firm. And I'll say something else as to this Hui Zhong law I haven't thought through the logistics of this but I firm. think that law firm needs to make an appearance in this litigation, if they're the ones who are the -- are the doorkeepers to these documents. If we're going to start relying on this other law firm, I think they need to be within the jurisdiction of this Court and they need to have somebody who actually can appear pro hac vice. And, again, I haven't looked at the logistics of it, but I think the point has been reached with the gravity of what's going on that that law firm, it can't just be out in the weeds hanging out waiting to see what happens and doing what they want with impunity. they're going -- if counsel of record is going to rely on that law firm, that law firm should be within the scope of this Court's authority.

Document 1235-6

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28136

And we don't agree that they should be able to say we want a brief and now we want to push it out another week because of another holiday. The levels of requests for us to continually accommodate the requests of ZHP, I think at this point it would be unreasonable. JUDGE VANASKIE: Well, you know, I'm told that there is a Chinese holiday and that impacts the ability to respond. I'll extend the date for the brief from ZHP -- the brief on behalf of ZHP to May 14th, until Friday, May 14th, and I will give the plaintiffs then until Tuesday, May 17th, to respond to that. As far as entry of appearance of this Chinese law firm, I don't know that I have the authority to compel that so we have to work through counsel of record here. All right. And as far as these 16 items that appear starting at Page 24 of your letter, maybe I'll turn back to Ms. Priselac, is there agreement to run these additional search terms that is in Paragraph 3 of that --MS. PRISELAC: Yes. JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. MS. PRISELAC: Yes, there is, Your Honor. And we actually, for Number 4, we suggested to add the TC-201729 as a search term and they agreed to do that as well. JUDGE VANASKIE: Okay. I don't know if I need to go through this item by item, but what about the other items on

```
38
```

1 this list? 2 MS. PRISELAC: Your Honor, I think that we came to 3 kind of modified agreements, and Mr. Slater can disagree with me, but my -- based on our conversation on Friday, we did come to somewhat modified agreements on the rest of these issues. 6 Like, for example, for Number 12, we are not going -- we did 7 not agree to give an accounting for all 81 custodians but they 8 did ask for an accounting with respect to Min Li's devices, as 9 well as Eric Gu's devices, and we agreed to give them that as a 10 starting point with them reserving their rights. 11 MR. SLATER: That's our right. 12 MS. PRISELAC: So it's that type of modified agreement 13 I'm talking about. 14 JUDGE VANASKIE: Okay. Is there any understanding 15 about when this additional production will be made? 16 MS. PRISELAC: So, Your Honor, as you know, because 17 you're very familiar with ESI, it's very hard for me to 18 estimate today without a volume count. Once we do finish the 19 collection -- you know, as soon as they get back into the 20 office, we'll start collecting. Once we do have a actual 21 volume count, I'm happy to report back to the Court our 22 estimated timeframe for production. But I would hate to tell 23 you something now with no volume information. 2.4 JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. And is that understood, 2.5 Mr. Slater?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SLATER: I understand that ZHP is going to tell us what their projections are and I think at that point we would comment on it. Our position's probably no surprise. We want to get these things as fast as we can because we're obviously under a time pressure as well, so we're just trying to do the best we can. You know, we're hopeful that the collection is going to happen promptly; but I guess when ZHP reports in in the next few days, then we can address it at that point. JUDGE VANASKIE: But will ZHP be reporting in in the next few days or is this going to be delayed because of the Chinese holiday, May Day? MS. PRISELAC: Well, right, going to my point, I think how we started this, Your Honor, is that we can't physically collect until they're physically back open. So I'm hoping at our next conference I can give you an update on where that stands. And I would hope to have a volume count within the next two weeks. JUDGE VANASKIE: Okay. I quess that's the best we can do right now, given the circumstances. Mr. Slater, is there anything else on your end with respect to discovery from ZHP? MR. SLATER: You know, I think that we're going to need to confirm our agreements in writing and confirm them with the Court. I don't think -- whether we need to do it right now -- a lot of it's been addressed but we do want to make sure

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

```
40
```

these things are memorialized and that these agreements for what's going to be produced now and what we're deferring on, for example, we agreed, as counsel said, to the accounting of the Min Li and Eric Gu devices, but we did that without prejudice to our right to ask for more once we see what's there. We figured that's a reasonable first step because it could happen much more quickly. As to the rest of the issues, I don't believe that we have final answers because they were going to get back to us. We're not -- it was not left Friday that it's going to take over two weeks to get back to us with a few of these bits of information. So we're certainly going to want to confirm exactly where we stand. You know, I would say by the end of the week we'd like to be able to be in a position to confirm this with the Court as to what's been resolved and what remains open so that we can then address those open items to the extent they exist. I think that's imperative that we don't let this get lost. JUDGE VANASKIE: Ms. Priselac? MS. PRISELAC: I'm happy to do that, Your Honor, and to confirm in writing so that we're all on the same page with respect to anything that's still in dispute by Friday. JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Very well. I agree with Mr. Slater that it is important that this be confirmed in writing so that there is no misunderstanding and you can set

```
1
    forth your positions where there's disagreement so that I
    understand where the disagreement exists.
 3
             So what I would ask is a status report on this
 4
    additional discovery by Friday of this week in writing from
 5
    each side. Okay?
 6
             MR. SLATER: Yes, Your Honor.
 7
             MS. PRISELAC: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Anything else, Mr.
 9
    Slater, with respect to the ZHP discovery to be addressed
10
    today?
11
             MR. SLATER: I don't think so, Your Honor. I think we
12
    have everything. We understand, we'll try to get that --
13
    whatever disputes remain, you'll know by Friday and anything
14
    that's agreed to you'll know by Friday. I think that works.
15
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Okay. Very well.
16
             Anything else, Mr. Goldberg, on ZHP's side?
17
             MR. GOLDBERG: Not with respect to this discovery.
18
    And, Your Honor, we do have the request for the rescheduling of
19
    Mr. Chen's deposition. Is that something Your Honor is going
20
    to hold off until Your Honor sees the briefing on the custodial
21
    file?
22
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Yes. I mean, I would like to keep
23
    that date on. I am not inclined to defer that deposition.
2.4
             Are you going to move for a protective order with
25
    respect to Mr. Chen's deposition?
```

MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor, we are. Judge Schneider granted us the right to do that and we think under the apex deposition doctrine that we satisfy the standard for apex -- for a protective order under that doctrine. When you consider all of the other deposition testimony that's going to be had by then, the likelihood of Mr. Chen providing unique information that couldn't be had from any other witness or any of the other 80 custodians warrants a protective order under that doctrine.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28141

I know Your Honor's familiar with that doctrine because Your Honor ruled on it in this case, but this is a much different circumstance now that we've had the testimony of 17 witnesses, including, by the end of the month, the second-highest official at ZHP who most certainly can answer the questions that would be asked of Mr. Chen.

JUDGE VANASKIE: So --

MR. SLATER: We have a very serious issue with saying that we're going to complete all the other depositions before their motion is due. The deposition's scheduled -- the only scheduling issue from plaintiffs' standpoint is it's scheduled to begin on Monday night of Memorial Day weekend. So we just suggested we -- I don't think anybody realized that when the date was selected -- we should move it a couple days. But the idea that all the depositions should be done and then the protective order briefing should start, it's going to

```
1
    eventually push us to the point when -- yeah, you understand.
 2
    We have expert reports to focus on at some point. They're due
 3
    at the beginning of July.
 4
             MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, the schedule shouldn't --
 5
    shouldn't preclude a party from having an opportunity to be
 6
    heard fairly. There's not a trial in this case this year or
 7
    next year, possibly not until the year after. We're asking for
 8
    a two- or three-week rescheduling of a deposition so that we
 9
    can include in the brief for the protective order the testimony
10
    of Mr. Du, which is scheduled for May 27th and 28th.
11
    going to be no prejudice to plaintiffs at all by a two- or
12
    three-week extension.
13
             MR. SLATER: I'm sorry. Why do they need a deposition
14
    of their own witness? Can't they just submit an affidavit from
15
    him?
16
             MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, the testimony --
17
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Go ahead, Mr. Goldberg.
18
             MR. GOLDBERG: I was going to say the testimony of Mr.
19
    Du will be further -- will further demonstrate that the
20
    questioning they could have asked of Mr. Chen they can ask of
21
    Mr. Du, and that his deposition, Mr. Chen's that is, is not
22
    going to be unique. There's no -- and that's the standard
23
    under the apex doctrine. And Judge Schneider explicitly
2.4
    ordered that we would be able to raise this argument.
25
             JUDGE VANASKIE: When is Mr. Du's deposition?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Du is May 27th and 28th. And we MR. GOLDBERG: scheduled Mr. Chen for the following Monday simply to -- simply to accommodate the schedule that the Court has set, but no scheduling order should be so rigid as to prevent a party from raising a meritorious argument of real substance involving a CEO of a 7,000-employee company for a two- or three-week extension. We can include the testimony that's necessary for the brief. MR. SLATER: I'll say it again, they can get an affidavit. He's their client. I don't understand why they need to depose him in order to get testimony. We know what the --MR. GOLDBERG: We're not --MR. SLATER: -- the testimony's going to say. not -- I don't believe it's going to actually change what the record is already, I don't see how it could, unless he's going to say he reads Mr. Chen's mind and knows everything Mr. Chen I doubt we'll see that, so just get an affidavit. JUDGE VANASKIE: I understand all of that. An affidavit may be procurable, but the point is that the questions you ask of Mr. Du, if he answers them, would show that Mr. Chen has nothing substantive to add. I understand the point Mr. Goldberg is making. On the other hand, I don't want to delay this until Mr. Du's deposition is taken. I don't see any problem with requiring that that motion for a protective

Document 1235-6

2.4

order get filed, we'll set up a briefing schedule that allows for supplementation of the presentation made to preclude Mr.

Chen's deposition based upon the testimony of Mr. Du, but let's not wait to get this matter moving until Mr. Du's deposition is taken.

I understand, Mr. Goldberg, you're going to move regardless of what Mr. Du has to say in his deposition. So let's get that motion filed, start the briefing on it, we'll complete the briefing after Mr. Du's deposition.

Now, I know what that means and that means we're going to have to postpone Mr. Chen's deposition, but I'm not going to postpone it for more than a couple of weeks. If I were to grant -- if I were to allow you to defer filing that motion until after Mr. Du's deposition's taken, we won't have briefing on the motion completed until near the end of June. So, we'll -- I think you asked for Mr. Chen's deposition to be deferred until the week of June 21st. That's what we'll do. We'll defer that to the week of June 21st. But in the meantime, I expect -- and I'll set a schedule for the filing of this motion for a protective order because if you wait until after Mr. Du's deposition is taken, you're not going to have time to complete the briefing or the briefing -- won't give you adequate time to prepare the briefs.

So let's see, today is the 3rd of May. I'll direct that the filing -- the motion for a protective order be filed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

```
by May 17th and we'll follow the ordinary briefing schedule on
it. You can supplement it with Mr. Du's testimony, but it's
not going to await Mr. Du's deposition.
         And the deposition of Mr. Chen will not be taken prior
to the week of June 21st to give adequate time to resolve this
important issue.
         Anything else on this issue?
         MR. GOLDBERG: Nothing from ZHP, Your Honor.
         MR. SLATER: Nothing from plaintiff, Your Honor.
Thank you.
         JUDGE VANASKIE:
                          Thank you very much.
         All right. I believe the next item on the plaintiffs'
letter, since we're following that, would be the Aurobindo
discovery status and the plaintiffs' request for sanctions.
         Are you addressing this, Ms. Goldenberg?
         MS. GOLDENBERG: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning.
         JUDGE VANASKIE:
                         All right.
         MS. GOLDENBERG: So I think, Your Honor, the only item
to be decided today, unless you actually wanted oral argument
on the motion, and I don't think you do, is just when
Aurobindo's response is due. And I'll just note that, you
know, this is really an issue that the plaintiffs would like to
get decided sooner rather than later because the prejudice is
just compounding by the day.
         In the last week, since our motion has been filed,
```

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

```
we've received 70,000 documents from -- from the various
Aurobindo entities and just last night we got 700,000 pages
from Aurobindo in India that haven't been ingested by our
vendor yet. Now, some of this, we understand, is, of course,
from custodians that were agreed to later in the litigation,
but, you know, there was, at one point in time, a court order
requiring Aurobindo to produce all of this by mid-March.
were flexible and offered a smaller extension to allow
Aurobindo to produce some of this in early April, but here we
are in May getting slammed with documents and we've already
taken half the depositions that have been scheduled for these
witnesses, we have a 30(b)(6) that's on the calendar for this
week, and, you know, the documents that we got from the U.S.
Aurobindo entities last week are for custodians who have
already been deposed. So this just continues to be a problem
that is very difficult for the plaintiffs to deal with.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Ms. Heinz.
         MS. HEINZ: Good morning, Your Honor.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: Good morning.
         MS. HEINZ: Yes, Marlene is correct, we're here to set
the response deadline for Aurobindo's response to the
plaintiffs' motion for sanctions.
         I just want to real quick introduce my co-counsel
who's joining me this morning, his name is John Lavelle of
Morgan Lewis. I just wanted to introduce him to the Court.
```

1 MR. LAVELLE: Good morning, Your Honor. 2 JUDGE VANASKIE: Good morning. 3 MS. HEINZ: On the status of the document productions, 4 I just wanted to give Your Honor a guick update. 5 We have reviewed the data that we received from the 6 U.S. entities and we've produced all responsive data to the 7 plaintiffs. That production is substantially complete. 8 On the India side, we have reviewed data from the 19 9 custodians that we were -- that the Court ruled on back in the 10 end of February. That data has been reviewed. We did make a 11 production last night, a substantial production. 12 We have received and collected shared drive data from 13 Aurobindo Pharma Limited that is still remaining to be reviewed 14 and produced. I don't -- it's a large amount of data. 15 have -- it's in the process of being processed by our 16 eDiscovery vendor. I don't have a number on how many it will 17 be to review but I can provide that information. 18 anticipating it will be finished processing later this week and 19 I can provide the Court with an update on that. 20 But I can assure the Court that we are continuing to 21 work, as I've said in prior conferences, as quickly as 22 possible. We have finished the U.S. side. It's substantially 23 As for the APL custodians that we were ordered to complete. 2.4 produce on -- within the last two months, we have reviewed that

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28147

data and produced all responsive data to the plaintiffs as of

```
1
    last night.
 2
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Let me ask Ms. Heinz first, you had
 3
    proposed a response date on this motion to strike Aurobindo's
 4
    defenses of May 7, that's this Friday. Can you still meet that
 5
    deadline?
 6
             MS. HEINZ: Yes, we can, Your Honor.
 7
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. So I will -- I will
 8
    extend the date for the response to the motion to strike until
 9
    May 7th.
10
             How much time, Ms. Goldenberg, do you want for a
11
    reply?
12
             MS. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, I think if we could have
13
    until the -- I'm just looking at the status conference
14
    schedule. When would you like to hear the motion? I think we
15
    can work backwards from then.
16
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Well, if we could hear it on May
17
    12th, that would be great.
             MS. GOLDENBERG: Okay. That gives us -- well, their
18
19
    response is a Friday.
20
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Right.
21
             MS. GOLDENBERG: That's a Wednesday. If we could have
22
    until I guess the 11th, then we can get the -- we can get the
23
    reply brief on file.
2.4
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Is that all right? I mean, you've
25
    given me a lot of paper here.
```

```
1
             I wanted to ask you, Ms. Heinz, is there any need to
 2
    extend the page limit for your response or can you get it
 3
    within the page limit?
 4
             MS. HEINZ: I think we'll be able to do it within the
 5
    page limit, Your Honor. I'm not anticipating any issues with
 6
    that right now.
 7
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. We'll give you to May
 8
    11th, then, Ms. Goldenberg, to respond to it, and we'll address
 9
    it on May 12.
10
             MS. GOLDENBERG: I appreciate that, Your Honor.
11
             As far as the reply brief is concerned, I haven't
12
    looked at the local rules but I assume there's a page limit in
13
    there somewhere and I think we can meet it.
14
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Yes. If you can't meet it, just make
15
    an appropriate request. All right?
16
             Where do things stand with respect to the privilege
17
    log of Aurobindo?
18
             MS. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, before we move on from
19
    that --
20
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Sure.
21
             MS. GOLDENBERG: -- I did want to point out a couple
22
    of issues with things that Ms. Heinz just raised.
23
             The first is that her statement that Aurobindo, the
2.4
    U.S. entities, are substantially complete in their production
25
    is still a problem for us because the noncustodial sources of
```

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

data have not been produced. We have data from the S: drive, according to their production log. What we don't have is data from every other shared source that their own witnesses identified in their depositions. And these shared sources of data have been identified in our agenda letter numerous times, but there are, to my understanding, numerous other drives that hold everything from scanned documents to -- you know, I think that there is an M: drive, an X: drive, a W: drive, I saw something referencing an N: drive in the database last night when I was looking through documents. And so if their position is we're substantially complete with only the S: drive being produced, we would ask that Aurobindo be ordered to go back and search other noncustodial sources of data for the U.S. entity; and for the foreign entity, we're asking that they be ordered to disclose to us which shared sources of data they are searching in India so that we can, you know, address any issues that we might have with that right away. So that's issue number one.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28150

JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Ms. Heinz.

MS. HEINZ: Your Honor, it's my understanding that Aurobindo has collected from all of the shared drives that its custodians use and has provided that data to us. We produced from the S: drive, we produced from Oracle, we produced from TrackWise, I believe we produced from -- I think the -- there was a Scan drive, and I can't remember if that was the X:

2.4

drive, it may have been, but all of that data has already been produced to the plaintiffs in our productions.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28151

I can -- I'm happy to talk to Marlene after this phone call about anything else she believes may be outstanding. I'm not sure if I have specific information from her right now on this Zoom to respond fully to the best of my ability, but I am happy to work with her on what the shared drives from India that are being provided and provide an update to the Court at the next conference. And this is also something that is going to be addressed in our motion response as well, Your Honor.

JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Ms. Goldenberg.

MS. GOLDENBERG: I'll have to see what we get and I guess we can talk about that again in two weeks. But it's hard to know what we're looking at if the production log isn't specifying any other sources. So we'll meet and confer after this and we can talk about it.

The other issue that I wanted to raise before we got to the privilege log is that a few weeks ago, I can't remember if it was two weeks ago or two weeks before that, Aurobindo was ordered by the Court to provide its document preservation policies. Ms. Heinz has identified for me certain standard operating procedures, but I have run those past our ESI team and they've told me that those are not the procedures that would indicate whether or not there is an auto delete policy for emails. I emailed Aurobindo last week and requested that

1 information and haven't heard back. 2 And so I guess, I don't know, we need a second court 3 order because we still don't have them. 4 JUDGE VANASKIE: Ms. Heinz. 5 MS. HEINZ: Your Honor, the doc production policies 6 are governed by the SOPs. Last week I sent Marlene a list of 7 the Bates stamps for the standard operating procedures that we 8 have produced. We've produced thousands of standard operating 9 procedures, including these on the destruction policies -- I 10 mean procedures -- I'm sorry, on the destruction of documents 11 and retention of documents. They also have a corporate email 12 policy, which I received from my client this morning, and I did 13 send that to Marlene prior to the conference this morning 14 letting her know that I will produce that formally under the 15 ESI protocol later this week. I just wanted to get it to her 16 as quickly as possible once I received it from my client. 17 JUDGE VANASKIE: Is there an auto delete policy? 18 MS. HEINZ: The only policies that I'm aware of are 19 what's in that corporate email policy and -- and in the 20 standard operating procedures that we've produced. 21 JUDGE VANASKIE: Ms. Goldenberg, it doesn't look like 22 there's anything else I can do on this issue. 23 MS. GOLDENBERG: Yeah. I guess this is just another 2.4 one where if they're telling us we truly have everything, then 25 I'm not really sure where to go beyond that other than to say,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
you know, our team -- and I don't know if Mr. Parekh is on
because I know he's dealing with a power issue in California,
but, you know, he and I spoke about this offline and he has
told me that he's looked at a number of policies like this from
different companies and these aren't it. But, you know, I
guess if we need to put something more formally in writing in
our next agenda letter, we can do that; but I'll just say that
we remain concerned that we're not getting what was ordered
previously.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Very well.
         Can we move to the privilege issue now?
         MS. GOLDENBERG: Yes. So on -- sorry, Your Honor, go
ahead.
         JUDGE VANASKIE:
                               I was going to ask, what are you
                          No.
asking me to do with respect to the privilege issue right now?
         MS. GOLDENBERG: Well, Your Honor, I was hopeful today
that we were going to be able to ask you for some rulings. You
know, we got this privilege log from Aurobindo about a week and
a half ago and as you saw in our agenda letter, it swelled from
about 200 entries to about 3,500. We -- you know, I sent an
email to Aurobindo promptly thereafter saying that there are a
number of entries in here, including things that are named
Valsartan Risk Assessment Report, that appear to be
problematic. After that, they went through and de-designated
probably about 90 percent of that log, which, again, is just
```

Document 1235-6

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

```
another piece of evidence that Aurobindo is holding things
back, they're waiting to get caught, and they're only doing
things when, you know, they get called on it. But, you know, I
asked for an updated privilege log and what I got was, late
last night, an email saying here is a sort of amended log,
we're going to provide you with the formal one later; but
because I don't have a formal amended log from them with the
cast of characters, while I was hopeful that we would be in a
position to ask Your Honor for some rulings today, I can't do
that because I still don't have the information that I needed.
         So, unfortunately, I think we're going to have to punt
on this one also for a couple more weeks; but in the meantime,
you know, we have more depositions on the calendar and this is
tough.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: Is there a problem, Ms. Heinz, with
providing the cast of characters for the privilege logs?
         MS. HEINZ: Your Honor, Marlene has been meeting and
conferring with my colleague, Jill Fertel, who is on this Zoom
and prepared to address this for Your Honor. I'm going to turn
this over to her, if that's all right.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: Very well.
         MS. FERTEL: Good morning, Your Honor.
         I don't have a problem providing an updated cast of
             I have been working on it as recently as this
characters.
morning.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
56
```

I would take issue with Ms. Goldenberg's characterization of waiting to get caught by de-designating without any formal request by the plaintiffs. It was 2,913 This is without any request that any documents. de-designations be made. I think that's inappropriate, inaccurate and verging on unprofessional, and I would just note that before addressing any other concerns. I would also want to --JUDGE VANASKIE: I will let you know that statements like that are like water off the back of a duck. MS. FERTEL: Yes. But that being said, I'm working on producing an updated cast of characters. As counsel did indicate earlier this morning, there was a substantial document production last night, so that's going to automatically create the production of a new, updated privilege log and additional cast of characters. That's really the only thing that's stood in the way. I'm happy to provide that information or as up to date if the Court would like. I have no problem producing it in piecemeal. I just thought it would be easier for all parties involved if it was done to incorporate the information that was produced in our document production last week on April 29th as well as our document production that was just made last night. That was my only concern, trying to make sure it was done in total instead of in piecemeal. But, again, I'm happy to

```
1
    provide that information.
 2
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Well, Ms. Goldenberg, is it all right
 3
    to get it piecemeal?
 4
             MS. GOLDENBERG: It is, Your Honor. We're happy to
 5
    work through it as quickly as possible. And, you know, I'll
 6
    note that what I've seen, I did go through their log last night
 7
    and what they had sent me was a bunch of cells that had been
 8
    turned black and then there were the remaining ones I think
 9
    that are going to stay on the log, and most of the entries that
10
    are still in white appear to be things that are held back on
11
    the grounds of them being communications between regulatory
12
    consultants and the company. And so, you know, a lot of this I
13
    think may be solved by whatever ruling you have on the ToxRox
14
    and Meridan issue as well.
15
             JUDGE VANASKIE: So get that ruling out, is that what
16
    you're suggesting?
17
             MS. GOLDENBERG: I would never say that.
18
             JUDGE VANASKIE:
                                   I want to get it out, believe
                             No.
19
         All right.
    me.
20
             Well, I'll ask that the cast of characters information
21
    be produced piecemeal so that work can be begun on an
22
    anticipated motion challenging the assertion of the privilege
23
    with respect -- or work product doctrine with respect to some
2.4
    of these documents, and I think that's all we can accomplish
25
    today, unless you think there's something else. I don't --
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
see, I quess I'm frustrated, Ms. Goldenberg, because I know
you're looking for rulings and, obviously, ToxRox and Meridan
is ready but nothing else is ready for a ruling from me as far
as I can tell.
         MS. GOLDENBERG: I think that's true, Your Honor.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Anything else with
respect to Aurobindo discovery?
         MS. GOLDENBERG: Nothing at this time from plaintiff.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. The next item I have on
the plaintiffs' agenda letter is the Hetero discovery dispute
        And I am not sure there's anything that needs to be
status.
decided or addressed today with respect to that. According to
the letter that I received on the defense side, there's a few
narrow and resolvable issues remaining.
         Who will be addressing this issue?
         MR. PAREKH: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is --
or good morning, I guess. This is Behram Parekh. I apologize
for not being on video. Apparently, some car hit an electric
pole outside my house and we have no electricity, so I
apologize.
         The only real issue -- this is just to sort of update
the Court on the ongoing saga -- is that we had our first
Hetero deposition last week and as part of the deposition we,
again, identified additional documents where, you know, the
witness said, oh, these documents should exist, this is where
```

Document 1235-6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

they should be or, you know, these documents should be there and we don't have them, you know. And we've requested Hetero to give them to us and they've agreed to give them to us. just the ongoing sort of, you know, dribs and drabs of documents that we keep having to address is prejudicing our ability to appropriately complete the depositions on a timely basis. JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Who's addressing this issue on behalf of Hetero? MR. ABRAHAM: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Eric Abraham. I'll be addressing this on behalf of Hetero. JUDGE VANASKIE: Good morning, Mr. Abraham. MR. ABRAHAM: We did conduct the first deposition of a Hetero 30(b)(6) witness on -- last week. There were some discrete documents that Mr. Slater requested during the deposition. We worked overnight and were able to provide most of those documents to Mr. Slater so that he could then examine the witness on the next day of testimony. So there was no prejudice. And it may be that many of those documents were included in Hetero Labs' original productions, but we decided in the interest of cooperating with plaintiffs to go to our client overnight and work through the overnight hours to procure additional copies of them for their review. As I noted, it was the first of Hetero Labs' 30(b)(6) witnesses. We've made supplemental productions of additional

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28159

documents that Mr. Slater requested. He'll have the opportunity -- or I should say plaintiffs' counsel will have the opportunity to question our other 30(b)(6) witnesses about that deposition -- about those documents at subsequent depositions. So I highly doubt there will be any actual prejudice from this. We did receive another email from Mr. Slater this morning identifying some additional documents or additional information he's looking for. We'll continue to diligently review it. If Your Honor recalls when we left off last time, there was the issue of a disclosure that plaintiffs had identified in a letter back in January -- back in 2019 to the other defendants. We did provide the response setting forth all of that information, Your Honor. So we continue to diligently respond to plaintiffs' requests. I'm not convinced that all of the documents that plaintiffs have requested, even in Mr. Slater's email this morning, were actually within their original document demands, but, nonetheless, we continue to cooperate because we understand that during depositions it may be that documents get identified or information gets identified that becomes relevant. We have no problem with that and we'll continue to work with plaintiffs to make those productions. JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Very well.

```
1
             Where do things stand with respect to nonresponsive
 2
    documents or documents that have been regarded as
 3
    nonresponsive? Was an agreement reached on that issue?
 4
             MR. ABRAHAM: I'll ask Mr. Shah to address that mostly
 5
    because that issue related to, in technical terms, what would
 6
    be called metadata overlays, so I'm going to pass the baton to
 7
    Mr. Shah to address that if that's acceptable.
 8
             JUDGE VANASKIE: That's fine.
 9
             MR. SHAH: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Nakul
10
    Shah on behalf of Hetero defendants.
11
             I spoke with Mr. Parekh late last week regarding
12
    documents that were previously deemed nonresponsive which
13
    plaintiffs are requesting we produce as responsive. We are
14
    preparing that production presently with our ESI vendor and we
15
    anticipate those documents will be produced by the end of
16
    today.
17
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Okay, great.
18
             MR. SHAH: At the very latest it would be early
19
    tomorrow morning, but we are hoping to avoid that and provide
20
    those documents today.
21
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Okay. Anything else on this issue
22
    from plaintiffs' perspective?
23
             MR. PAREKH: Your Honor, just one sort of comment is,
2.4
    I understand Mr. Abraham worked overnight to produce, you know,
25
    documents. The one document they -- they produced two
```

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28161

documents, one of which was responsive, one of which was not. But, you know, for example, we had asked for all of the Unit V audit reports of Unit I and we received one audit report from the latter part of 2018 after the contamination had occurred. The witness had testified that Unit V did audits of Unit I at least every two years, and the SOPs also state that these audits happened approximately every two or three years and yet we still don't have the remaining audits of Unit I by Unit V. I mean, this is just one example. And the -- the problem becomes, you know, as we take these depositions, these are documents that we would be asking these witnesses about, I mean particularly audits of the API facility by the finished dose facility, which is what Unit I and Unit V are. Those audits are crucial. And these are fundamental core documents that we still don't have. And we didn't just identify these documents through the witness; we identified these documents weeks ago in letters when we looked at the SOPs and we still don't have them. JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Mr. Abraham? MR. ABRAHAM: To the extent there are additional documents, we're continuing to search and continuing to provide them. As I noted, we've had one deposition so far of a 30(b)(6) witness. There will be numerous other defendants' representatives that are deposed from both Unit I and Unit V and I'd be shocked if those depositions proceeded before we

```
1
    were able to make additional productions that were relevant to
 2
    them.
 3
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right.
 4
             MR. ABRAHAM: I also indicated -- I also indicated to
 5
    Mr. Slater last week during the deposition that if it turns out
 6
    that there is some document that we produce that they would
 7
    have used for an earlier witness but didn't get the opportunity
 8
    to and won't have the opportunity because there are no
 9
    additional relevant witnesses, we'll reproduce a witness.
10
    a problem.
11
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Anything else on this
12
    issue either Mr. Parekh or Mr. Slater?
13
             MR. PAREKH: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
14
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Thank you very much.
15
             The next issue I have is retailer discovery status.
16
    And this is just about, as I understand it, whether to
17
    negotiate with respect to three production requests. There's
18
    an agreement to negotiate with respect to the scope of seven or
19
    eight other production requests, but this one deals with
20
    Requests 1, 2 and 8.
21
             And who will be addressing this issue on behalf of the
22
    plaintiffs?
23
                          Good morning, Your Honor. David Stanoch
             MR. STANOCH:
2.4
    on behalf of plaintiffs.
25
             And Your Honor is exactly right. The narrow scope of
```

Document 1235-6

Document 1235-6

```
1
    this issue, and, frankly, given how much effort the Court and
 2
    the parties have put into this CMC so far, I don't think we
 3
    need to reiterate what the two sides have put in their papers.
 4
             The only thing I'd mention, Judge, is just simply
 5
    timing, which I'm sure you're aware. We've served all these
 6
    draft requests December 8, 2020. Here we are May 5th and
 7
    retailers are saying they don't even need to negotiate them.
 8
    And to remind Your Honor the Phase II discovery is supposed to
 9
    begin June 1, 2021, and complete October 4th, 2021. And under
10
    retailer defendants' own proposal for the briefing on the
11
    motion for leave to amend the master complaints, that briefing
12
    won't even be complete until sometime in August of 2021.
13
    the idea that -- and then Judge Kugler, of course, has to issue
14
    a ruling. So we just think there's no reason to delay this
15
    further. We should go forward with at least negotiating it and
16
    then tee it up with Your Honor at the next CMC if there's a
17
    dispute about whether they have to be answered or in what form
18
    they need to be answered.
19
             And I'm happy to answer questions, Judge.
20
             JUDGE VANASKIE: I don't have any questions right now
21
    of you, Mr. Stanoch.
22
             Who will be addressing this issue on behalf of
23
    retailer defendants?
2.4
             MS. JOHNSTON: Good morning, Your Honor.
25
    Johnston on behalf of the pharmacies.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, I think that Mr. Stanoch is correct in that the papers that we put in front of the Court are fairly sufficient for decision on this issue. I do think, however, that, you know, putting this dispute into context in terms of the overall case management schedule and the negotiations with plaintiffs and the pharmacies thus far is important because the -- while -- while it's true that we are talking about only a handful of the requests for production, we're talking about requests for production that seek to significantly expand the scope of discovery, including into presumably custodial discovery against the pharmacies for the first time, and those requests for production go almost exclusively to claims that are not live in the litigation against the pharmacies. They're claims that have been dismissed.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28164

And so we understand what Mr. Stanoch is saying about timing and the concerns there; but in terms of even the ability to negotiate, we are put in a particularly challenging position because getting to an understanding on issues like proportionality, identification of custodians, et cetera, on these requests requires understanding what claims are actually going to be pursued against the pharmacies.

So I think that that -- not to belabor the positions that we've taken in our letter, the -- I would say the most crucial thing to -- for the Court to take away in considering this issue is the statement in our letter that, you know, the

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Document 1235-6

```
claims against the pharmacies are shrinking and discovery
should not be expanding, and that's what plaintiffs are seeking
to do here. And because the -- the majority of the claims have
been dismissed, because plaintiffs have sought leave but have
not been granted leave to amend their complaints against the
pharmacies, we are -- we find ourselves in a pretty challenging
predicament to be able to actually negotiate what these
requests ultimately look like. And that's -- that's the --
that's the position that we've taken. And I think that setting
aside the issue of the case management schedule, there is -- it
is a position from a place of merit because we can't get to
what the scope of a request like I think it's Number 8 that's,
you know, all communications between every manufacturer or
upstream supplier regarding valsartan, I mean, that's just a
huge, huge discovery request to have to negotiate without
understanding what specific claims those requests go to. And
so that's where we find ourselves.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Anything else on this
issue, Mr. Stanoch?
                       I could respond if Your Honor wants but
         MR. STANOCH:
I think we're sufficient here.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Well, here's what I'm
                  I'm going to direct that you negotiate with
going to direct.
respect to Requests For Production Number 1 and RFP Number 2,
that is, the documents relating to manufacturers'
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

PageID: 28166

representations and warranties that were provided to the pharmacies or to the retailers, and the warranties provided by the pharmacies. That I think can go forward in terms of a negotiation, in terms of the scope of the request. I am persuaded that it wouldn't be appropriate to require at this time the retailer defendants to negotiate with respect to a request for communications with the wholesalers and manufacturers concerning the purchase or recall of VCDs. And I think that has to await completion of the pleadings or at least an understanding of what the pleadings will allow. convinced that there -- the core production has been produced in this area and I am concerned with respect to the burden that it would produce. Not to say that it's out of the question down the road after the pleadings have been set, but at this point, I wouldn't require negotiation on that particular matter, especially because it would be opening up, as I understand it, additional custodian searches. All right? So that's what I'll ask you to negotiate. You've already agreed to negotiate all the other requests. Timing. MS. JOHNSTON: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE VANASKIE: How much time to negotiate so that you can get to a point where you have -- or where you have clear disagreement or you have agreement? MR. STANOCH: Your Honor, again, David Stanoch.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

```
I think that's a question maybe for Ms. Johnston
because I think her side -- and she references this in their
letter that they're getting back to us about certain policies
about inventory management and things like that.
                                                  It would be
our preference, you know, give them a week or two to align on
their side and then we have this teed up at the next CMC if
there's any disputes, but I'll defer to Ms. Johnston.
         MS. JOHNSTON: I think that that should be fine, Your
        I'm scrambling to find my calendar for the next CMC
date so I can make sure that that's something that we can
commit to. But I think that Mr. Stanoch's proposal makes sense
and we can take the next two weeks to see if we can reach an
agreement with the other pharmacies and then go back to
plaintiffs.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: So, Mr. Stanoch, so we are clear on
this, you're talking about I think it's May 26th, we may have
moved that date to May 27th, which is a Thursday, due to a
conflict in schedule.
         MR. STANOCH: That's fine, Your Honor.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. All right.
                                                  I'll look for
a report in advance of the May 27th -- I believe it's May 27th
-- call on this -- on the CMC on this point. All right?
                      Yes, Your Honor.
         MR. STANOCH:
                                        Thank you.
                      Yes, Your Honor.
         MS. JOHNSTON:
                                          Thank you.
         JUDGE VANASKIE:
                          Thank you.
```

```
1
             The next issue is the wholesaler discovery status.
                                                                  Ιt
 2
    looks like you're moving along but need deadlines on that.
 3
             Is this you again, Mr. Stanoch?
 4
             MR. STANOCH: It is, Your Honor. And you're correct.
 5
    And given that we just said lingering disputes, if any, with
 6
    the retailers submitted before the May 27th CMC, I propose we
 7
    do the same thing with the wholesalers.
 8
             JUDGE VANASKIE: And who's speaking on behalf of the
 9
    wholesalers?
10
             MR. GEOPPINGER: Good morning, Your Honor.
11
    Geoppinger on behalf of the wholesalers.
12
             That's fine by us. We will continue to negotiate.
13
    think we're not too terribly far apart, and if there is
14
    anything outstanding, we'll submit it by the 27th.
15
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Very well.
                                          Thank you.
16
             MR. STANOCH: Thank you, Judge.
17
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. The next item on the
18
    agenda letter deals with the briefing schedule on the motion to
19
    amend. And I am prepared to address this, if you are all
20
    prepared to address it with me.
21
             MS. GOLDENBERG: Yes, Your Honor. This is Marlene
22
    Goldenberg and I think Sarah Johnston is going to handle this
23
    on behalf of the defendants, if she's still around. Or you can
2.4
    just tell us --
25
             MS. JOHNSTON: I am. I am here.
```

1 JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. You've laid out your positions in the -- in the agenda letter. Is there anything 3 else you wanted to add with respect to that? 4 MS. GOLDENBERG: I think our position's pretty clear. 5 You know, we'll live with whatever ruling Your Honor gives us, 6 but we respectfully would just appreciate the time to deal with 7 our expert reports and then move on to this. 8 JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Well, Ms. Johnston? 9 MS. JOHNSTON: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly. I think 10 that, yes, our position is laid out in our papers. Given the 11 issues that we've just discussed, we, on the defense side, 12 proposed a shorter period so that we can get to a decision 13 point on where the pleadings stand sooner than later. 14 Additionally, the -- the fact that there are as many 15 issues as there are to address in the six different motion to 16 dismiss orders that are specific and unique to the pharmacy 17 defendants, we have asked for a -- I think an additional ten 18 pages from the page allocation permitted by the local rules as 19 well as the opportunity to come back and address any lingering 20 issues raised by plaintiffs via surreply. 21 JUDGE VANASKIE: Yes, I've looked at the letter briefs 22 and I'm going to adopt the schedule that's been proposed on the 23 defense side with respect to the motions to amend. 24 defendants' response will be due May 27th. The pharmacy 25 defendants will be granted the additional ten pages to take it

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

```
71
to 50 pages. Plaintiffs' reply brief would be due July 12th of
      While I am no fan of surreply briefs, I will grant the
defendants the right to file a surreply brief no later than
August 2, 2021. So we'll issue an order to that effect.
         MS. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify
if the retailer defendants are going to get the extra ten
pages, I don't know if we'll need it but could we give
plaintiffs the same page limits that defendants have for their
brief?
         JUDGE VANASKIE:
                         Certainly.
         MS. GOLDENBERG:
                         Thank you.
         JUDGE VANASKIE:
                          Okay.
         MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. I had wanted to ask a
question with respect to the motion to seal, at least one
question, and that deals with the fact that some of the
documents that are covered by the motion to seal are the
unredacted -- unredacted parts of FDA reports, as I understand
it, and I'm having trouble understanding why they should be
sealed.
         If it's --
```

MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, this is Seth Goldberg and I am going to pass this to my colleague, Kelly Bonner, who I think is on the screen to address this.

JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Very well. Ms. Bonner.

25 MS. BONNER: Good morning, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

We've described the FDA inspection reports as Category 2 documents, the FDA establishment and collection reports which evaluate the FDA -- the ZHP parties' facilities readiness to commercially manufacture numerous APIs and drug products. of those drug products were not sold in the United States nor are at issue in this litigation. Given how comprehensive the FDA inspection reports are, allowing them to be disclosed would be tantamount to turning over a guidebook of the ZHP parties' operations of their manufacturing facilities to the ZHP parties' competitors, many of whom are defendants in this litigation. JUDGE VANASKIE: I guess what I'm having a little trouble understanding, Ms. Bonner, is if we're only talking about the unredacted parts of the FDA reports which could be obtained by way of a FOIA request, why should they get sealed in this case? MS. BONNER: Again, Your Honor, you know, we are happy to discuss with plaintiffs what parts of those documents would be subject to a FOIA request. Obviously, that would be up to plaintiffs to go and make that FOIA request, which is something that -- and then it would be on the FDA to review the documents before disclosing them. We can't substitute our judgment for the FDA. For now our position is that they contain our information.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28171

JUDGE VANASKIE: Well, who will be addressing this

```
1
    issue on behalf of plaintiffs?
 2
             MR. SLATER: I will, Your Honor. Adam Slater.
 3
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right, Mr. Slater, as I
 4
    understand it, we're talking about documents that have been
 5
    attached primarily as exhibits to agenda letters that have
 6
    already been redacted by the FDA. Am I wrong in my
 7
    understanding there?
 8
             MR. SLATER: No. You're correct, Your Honor. And we
 9
    can't fathom a reason why those documents would be maintained
10
    as confidential under the law. Counsel's argument is, well,
11
    it's our internal information but as the courts have repeatedly
12
    recognized and has been recognized in this litigation, that's
13
    not a basis under which something can be held from public view
14
    as confidential.
                      To us, it's a very straightforward argument.
15
    We're not really understanding where ZHP is coming from in
16
    terms of satisfying the standard. It doesn't come close.
17
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Ms. Bonner.
18
             MS. BONNER: Again, Your Honor, even when these
19
    documents are ultimately produced by the FDA, they are often
20
    redacted. That is the FDA's position to redact them.
21
    position is that these documents, in their whole, they are the
22
    inspection reports of the ZHP parties' manufacturing
23
    facilities. They provide a comprehensive overview of those
2.4
    facilities. Now, if the FDA chooses to redact them, plaintiffs
25
    can -- plaintiffs can request the -- they can submit a FOIA
```

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 request and they can request the documents and see what's been 2 redacted, what hasn't been. But it's not our place to 3 substitute our judgment for the FDA's. 4 JUDGE VANASKIE: Right. I think maybe I'm missing 5 something here because we're only talking about the 6 unredacted -- or only talking about, yes, the unredacted parts 7 of the FDA reports that have been provided as attachments 8 either to a motion or to an agenda letter, and I'm -- Ms. 9 Bonner, we're not talking about -- and correct me if I'm wrong, 10 Mr. Slater, are you seeking to unseal the entire report or just 11 that part of the report that has been presented to the Court 12 either in the agenda letter or as part of a motion? 13 14

MR. SLATER: Just the document as it appears as it was submitted to Your Honor. We're not trying to peel back the redactions at this point. And, again, this is — I get that ZHP says, well, this is going to disclose internal information, but of course it's going to. That's the nature of these requests. And this information is clearly material. It goes to how this contamination occurred in detail and that's something that falls in the most presumptively public category. So we just don't really understand their argument. Certainly, it's not up to the FDA. These are documents that ZHP has, they've produced to us, and there's no reason why we should have to go through the burdens of the sealing or the confidentiality any further.

```
1
             JUDGE VANASKIE: Ms. Bonner?
 2
             MS. BONNER: If I may, Your Honor?
 3
             JUDGE VANASKIE:
                              Certainly.
 4
             MS. BONNER: These documents were produced pursuant to
 5
    the confidentiality order which was negotiated between the
 6
              The protective order represents the parties' joint
 7
    efforts to address the volume of confidential information that
 8
    had to be necessarily exchanged as part of this litigation.
 9
             Now, as for what portion of the document is being --
10
    is being contested, our understanding is that plaintiffs
11
    have -- would like to unseal all of -- the entire portion of
12
                    So that document is fair game, available on a
    the documents.
13
    public docket.
                    If the -- you know, if plaintiffs are willing
14
    to meet and confer about whether some portion of that document
15
    can be made publicly available, you know, we're happy to
16
    discuss that and propose redactions that protect ZHP. You
17
    know, we're happy to reach a compromise on this issue.
18
    However, our understanding is they wanted the entire document
19
    out in available public and said that there was no basis for us
20
    to keep it sealed. Obviously, we disagree with that point.
21
    think that there was a very, very valid basis for requesting
22
    that this document not be disclosed to the public, specifically
23
    that this document deals -- that these documents deal largely
2.4
    with drugs that were not sold in the United States, that are
25
    not at issue in this litigation and that are not relevant to
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

plaintiffs' case.

JUDGE VANASKIE: Well, if it's a document that the FDA has produced pursuant to a FOIA request and redacted what it considered to be information that is not subject to disclosure under FOIA, then I think the documents should not be sealed as part of the court record in this matter. I can't imagine that if I am a member of the public and I could obtain what's been presented to the Court, that the Court should then seal that document. And so I think you can anticipate that's going to be my ruling.

> MS. BONNER: Okay.

JUDGE VANASKIE: I've looked at that. And also because they've been presented to the Court, there is a presumption of access to the records. So I am not going to seal something that the FDA has produced pursuant to a FOIA request. It's just simply not going to happen.

There are rules that deal with protecting proprietary information under FOIA and I'm presuming those rules have been applied and if you've obtained this document as a public record from FOIA -- from a FOIA request from the FDA, then I think it should not be sealed as part of the court record, especially when it has been presented to the Court as part of the court record. All right. So that's the ruling that will be coming out on that issue, and we will move forward on that.

MS. BONNER: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 JUDGE VANASKIE: I know we've been going quite some 2 time but I did want to address -- I'm doing this with great 3 trepidation -- the motion for a protective order on the 4 translation issue. 5 Not to make light of it at all, I know it's a very 6 serious issue, but it's one that we've addressed now several 7 times and it seems to me it goes back to the initial 8 determination made by Judge Schneider not to require 9 translations of documents with respect to the depositions. 10 tried to resolve this issue in a compromised fashion by saying 11 use machine translations to facilitate the conduct of 12 depositions. It turns out that reversal may not be all that accurate and it's understandable because of the technical 13 14 nature of the documents. But -- and I know -- I just want to 15 pull up the request that's been made here and that's that I 16 should enter a protective order allowing ZHP party witnesses 17 who do not speak English to refrain from answering questions 18 based on documents written in English and not accompanied with 19 an accurate and complete translation in Chinese. That's what 20 the request is as I understand it. 21 Is there anything else you wanted to add with respect 22 to this matter, Mr. Goldberg? 23 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, the issue -- a few points. 2.4 The issue was not resolved by Judge Schneider in the way that 25 it's being addressed now, and we've covered this with Your

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

78

```
PageID: 28177
```

Honor.

2 JUDGE VANASKIE: Right.

MR. GOLDBERG: What Your Honor -- and it's on Page 1 -- sorry, Your Honor. It's on Page -- too many things open here. It's on Page 127 of the Court's -- of the transcript from November 24th.

> JUDGE VANASKIE: Right.

MR. GOLDBERG: The issue that Your Honor dealt with was whether to order plaintiffs to present to the defendants before the depositions translated copies of the documents they intended to use. What we had proposed that they would do that within 30 days of a deposition to allow the parties time to exchange translations so that when we got to a deposition, the witness was testifying on documents that were accurate translations that could be certified for use in trial. Plaintiffs' argument was they did not want to reveal their work product before a deposition. And Judge Schneider found that to be compelling. What he said was, "I'm not going to order plaintiffs to reveal work product before the deposition. going to leave for another day and not include in the order a provision about how to count time. If it's a problem, you'll deal with it -- the Court will deal with it at the appropriate time but I think it's an issue better left for the future." that's where we are now.

2.4

issue and are with this issue and where Judge Schneider was is that plaintiffs are putting the element of surprise ahead of the fairness to the witness. What that means is plaintiffs did not want to disclose their work product because they didn't want defense counsel to be able to be prepared ahead of a deposition, so that they couldn't prep their witnesses ahead of the deposition. And so they said it's work product, we shouldn't have to have a pre-deposition exchange. But discovery isn't about the element of surprise. And so what they've now been able to do or what they chose to do is not have a system by way -- by which these documents could be addressed before a deposition.

Judge Schneider could have done this differently. He

Judge Schneider could have done this differently. He could have found a third party that would accept the translations of each side and determine which one should be used at the deposition. Judge Schneider's ruling really didn't address the fairness to the witness of being able to read a document. Your Honor has addressed that. Your Honor has already determined that it's a matter of due process and equal protection that a witness who does not speak English should be treated as if -- as a witness who does speak English. And by allowing plaintiffs to take testimony on documents witnesses cannot read is a violation of due process and equal protection.

We have provided numerous examples of unintelligible translations, of instances where the witnesses were confused

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

and instances where plaintiffs' counsel are telling the witnesses do not rely on the translations. It could not have been the intent of Your Honor to have plaintiffs present translation -- translated documents that are then pulled out of consideration by the witness because they are unintelligible. The Court simply could not have ordered, provide translations but they can be translations that are meaningless. Incomplete and inaccurate translations are the effect of no translation at all. And what our request is is that if they are going to show a witness a document the witness can't read that the witness be able to say, I can't read the document, I can't answer your question. That's fair. But a witness should not be compelled to answer a question about what a document says if the witness cannot read it. Plaintiffs can provide manual translations for these documents. And let's be clear about burden for a second. We already showed you a chart today. Of the 11 depositions, plaintiffs have only showed 338 exhibits and probably less than a third of those have been documents that required translation. We're not talking about a burden on plaintiffs to translate the documents they want to use. But Your Honor did not order machine translations that are unintelligible. And so we would respectfully request that if a witness is presented with a document the witness cannot read that the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
witness be permitted to say, I can't read the document, and
that's -- and that's the admissible evidence. That's the
answer. But to have a witness speculate is not what -- that's
exactly what the Rules of Evidence do not permit. And there
are admissibility problems with all of the testimony that's
been drawn on these documents that are inaccurate and
unintelligible.
         So if Your Honor wants to have a record of admissible
evidence, it has to be of witnesses who answer about the
documents they can read or answer truthfully about when they
can't read a document.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Mr. Slater.
         MR. SLATER: Thank you, Your Honor.
         I'm having a strong sense of déjà vu because we've
arqued this issue multiple times with Your Honor. You've heard
these arguments and there's nothing new here.
         Your Honor ruled on this. You made what I think Mr.
Goldberg termed in one of the transcripts, he said, "It's a
compromise. Maybe it's imperfect but it's the best we can do."
He made that comment in the context of my argument in
opposition to what Your Honor ruled. So I'm in the strange
situation of watching my adversary argue against a ruling that
my adversary obtained over my objection where I said very
clearly, look, the machine translations are imperfect,
especially with formatting issues, and ZHP said, that's fine,
```

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28180

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28181

we want you to get us the machine translation to help us; so we complied with Your Honor's order. They're now asking for a new order when we're almost at the end of the depositions and, you know, our bottom line is we think that there should be no The motion for the protective order, which would be a drastic ruling with -- imagine us arguing about what an accurate and complete translation means. As we laid out, you don't have any instances cited to by the defense where the actual meaning of a document's been misrepresented or unfairly presented, and in every case there is a translator of record retained by ZHP who's available to clarify anything counsel wants clarified. Counsel sees the documents. There's nobody being stopped from preparing. They're their documents. can prepare their witnesses as they deem fit. So there's been no sign of any unfairness, we've abided by Your Honor's ruling to the T, and we just ask this motion be completely denied. Thank you. JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Mr. Goldberg. MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, Your Honor, it is a matter

of inherent unfairness, and Your Honor has already made that determination. Your Honor said that Your Honor is extremely sensitive to a witness who doesn't speak English being asked questions about documents that are only in English that the witness doesn't have an opportunity to review. Your Honor

noted it is standard practice when you're dealing with a single language to give the witness an opportunity to review the document. That opportunity is not being afforded under these circumstances. Your Honor said there needs to be a way to make sure that these witnesses are being essentially in the equivalent position as if they spoke the language in which the document they're being questioned on is written. And that's not happening.

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28182

Plaintiffs cannot seriously be asking Your Honor to enforce an order that presents documents to a witness that the witness cannot read and that are unintelligible. Your Honor could not have envisioned that that was what the order would be. We certainly did not accept that that's what would happen. We did not propose to have unintelligible documents be shown to a witness. We have been consistent on this point since the moment it arose in the first deposition that it is inherently unfair to show a witness a document the witness can't read. That unfairness is not cured by showing the witness a translation that is unintelligible, incomplete and inaccurate.

JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. We're far along the road here with respect to depositions. I am going to deny the motion for a protective order. I'm going to deny it because I never ruled that as a matter of due process or equal protection the party taking a deposition is required to provide certified translations of that document before questioning the witness.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

84

I've scoured the case law and did not find any case that supports this. I looked at every case that ZHP cited in support of this request for a protective order and none dealt with the requirement that a certified translation of a document be provided to a witness. They dealt with contexts, particularly the immigration contexts where a hearing hasn't been held and a decision made on the basis of unreliable evidence, but the key distinction here is that decisions aren't being made on the basis of unreliable information, unreliable translations.

ZHP, the party in this case, will have the right and the ability to question plaintiffs' use of testimony that may have been based upon an unreliable translation by making the appropriate record. Plaintiffs proceed at their peril in asking questions of witnesses with respect to documents shown to have an unreliable translation.

One of the cases that Mr. Goldberg cited dealt with the Court reversing its decision on summary judgment when it was shown that the translation was not necessarily reliable.

So I don't see any due process problem here with respect to the party, that is ZHP, having the ability to contest evidence that's garnered during deposition that then may be presented to the Court for a decision either by way of summary judgment or on some other matter. But I am not going to require that there first be presented a certified

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

```
translation of a document before it is used at a deposition and
I am not going to say that a deponent may refuse to answer a
question because they have not been provided with a certified
translation.
         I expect that I will supplement this determination
with a written ruling, but I wanted you to be clear going
forward, because there are some depositions that need to be
taken, that I am not going to grant -- that I am denying the
motion for a protective order. All right?
         Is there anything else that we need to address at this
time?
         MR. SLATER: I don't believe so for plaintiffs, unless
any other plaintiff attorney thinks there's an issue we've
missed.
        I don't believe there are any others.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. On the defense side?
         MR. GOLDBERG: Nothing from defendants, Your Honor.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Very well.
         Larry, are you there? I guess we're going to call --
are we going to call into Judge MacStravic -- or Judge Kugler
now?
         THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Judge, I will start the
telephone conference and you can call in there.
         Do you need to confer with Judge Kugler?
         JUDGE VANASKIE: I don't need to confer with Judge
Kualer.
        But if you could give me the dial-in information, that
```

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28184

```
1
    will be helpful.
 2
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: 877-336-1828. And the Access
 3
    Number is 7684579.
 4
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Very well. So we will
 5
    all be leaving this Zoom session and dialing into that number
 6
    and picking up with Judge Kugler. All right.
 7
             MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
             MR. SLATER: Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
             JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Thank you.
10
             (Proceedings adjourned at 12:13 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
             I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
16
    from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
17
18
    /S/ Camille Pedano, CCR, RMR, CRR, CRC, RPR
    Court Reporter/Transcriber
19
20
    05/04/2021
    Date
21
22
23
24
25
```

	T			Т
/	71:2, 71:4	7	Access [1] - 86:2	77:6, 77:25, 79:12,
/\$ [1] - 86:18	2029 [1] - 3:6	7 _[2] - 11:9, 49:4	accidentally[1] -	79:18
7 3 [1] - 00.10	21 [1] - 2:23	7,000 [3] - 8:5, 10:18,	17:18	addressing [10] -
0	2150 [1] - 1:20	11:15	accommodate [2] -	6:12, 9:8, 46:15,
	21st [3] - 45:17, 45:18,	7,000-employee [1] -	37:4, 44:3	56:7, 58:15, 59:8,
05/04/2021 [1] - 86:20	46:5	44:6	accommodating[1] -	59:11, 63:21, 64:22,
07068 [1] - 1:14	24 [5] - 23:4, 24:2,	7,000-person [2] -	4:8	72:25
08101 [1] - 1:8	34:4, 34:8, 37:16	8:10, 12:23	accompanied [1] - 77:18	adduced [1] - 8:14 adequate [2] - 45:22.
08540 [1] - 2:23	24th [1] - 78:6 2500 [1] - 2:12	70,000 [1] - 47:1	accomplish [1] -	46:5
	26th [1] - 68:16	700,000 [1] - 47:2	57:24	adjourned [1] - 86:10
1	27 [4] - 24:2, 32:16,	701 [1] - 2:3	according [2] - 51:2,	admissibility [1] -
1 [4] - 63:20, 64:9,	32:19, 34:6	70130 [1] - 2:3	58:12	81:5
66:24, 78:3	27th [10] - 23:5, 28:4,	75 [1] - 12:2	accordingly [1] - 11:2	admissible _[2] - 81:2,
10 [1] - 30:18	43:10, 44:1, 68:17,	7684579 [1] - 86:3	account [1] - 26:21	81:8
103 [1] - 1:14	68:21, 69:6, 69:14,	7th [1] - 49:9	accounting [3] - 38:7,	adopt [1] - 70:22
10:00 [2] - 1:9, 4:2	70:24		38:8, 40:3	advance[1] - 68:21
10th [2] - 28:22, 36:2	2800 [1] - 3:3	8	accurate [5] - 16:22,	adversary[2] - 81:22,
11 _[2] - 11:25, 80:18	28th [2] - 43:10, 44:1	8 [3] - 63:20, 64:6,	77:13, 77:19, 78:14,	81:23
115 [1] - 12:2	29 [1] - 4:16	66:12	82:7	advisement[1] -
11th [2] - 4 9:22, 50:8	29th [1] - 56:22	80 [8] - 12:5, 12:9,	acknowledged [3] -	28:13
12 [2] - 38:6, 50:9		13:7, 21:9, 21:10,	16:14, 18:10, 25:18	affairs [1] - 11:2
127 [1] - 78:5	3	21:21, 42:8	act[1] - 34:24	affected [1] - 8:12
12:13 [1] - 86:10	3 [2] - 1:9, 37:18	800 [1] - 1:20	Actavis [2] - 2:13,	affidavit [5] - 11:4,
12th [3] - 31:1, 49:17,	3,500 [1] - 54:20	81 [1] - 38:7	2:14	43:14, 44:10, 44:18,
71:1	30 _[2] - 2:7, 78:12	877-336-1828 [1] -	ACTION [1] - 1:3	44:20
13 [5] - 12:7, 12:8,	30(b)(6 _[8] - 12:1,	86:2	activities [1] - 11:7	affiliates [1] - 8:6
12:13, 21:12, 32:19	12:11, 21:24, 47:12,		actual [5] - 16:23,	afforded [1] - 83:3
13th [1] - 13:24 14th [2] - 37:9	59:14, 59:24, 60:3,	9	25:10, 38:20, 60:5,	after-produced [1] -
14 (1 _[2] - 37.9 15 [1] - 22:7	62:23	90 [1] - 54:25	82:9	27:4
16 [1] - 37:15	300 [1] - 3:6	90067 [1] - 3:7	ADAM [1] - 1:13	afternoon [1] - 58:16
1638 _[1] - 1:17	30305 [1] - 2:12	90277 [1] - 1:17	Adam [1] - 73:2	agencies [1] - 13:23
16th [1] - 13:25	3333 [1] - 2:12	JOZII [ii] 1.17	add [5] - 33:24, 37:22,	agenda [15] - 4:10,
17 [1] - 42:12	338 [4] - 12:3, 12:5,	Α	44:22, 70:3, 77:21	4:11, 4:20, 4:21, 9:7, 19:23, 51:5, 54:7,
1701 [1] - 2:19	12:7, 80:19		added [1] - 14:25 additional [27] - 15:3,	54:19, 58:10, 69:18,
17th [3] - 2:7, 37:10,	367,000 [1] - 12:4	a.m [2] - 1:9, 4:2	22:9, 23:19, 24:12,	70:2, 73:5, 74:8,
46:1	3rd [1] - 45:24	abided [1] - 82:16	24:21, 26:17, 26:18,	74:12
19 [1] - 48:8		ability [7] - 8:19, 37:7,	32:15, 33:8, 33:21,	ago [8] - 8:11, 20:17,
19-md-02875-RBK-	4	52:6, 59:6, 65:16,	33:24, 35:22, 37:17,	30:7, 52:18, 52:19,
KMW [1] - 1:4	4 [1] - 37:22	84:12, 84:21	38:15, 41:4, 56:15,	54:19, 62:16
19103 [2] - 2:7, 2:19	450 [1] - 2:16	able [15] - 7:7, 18:6, 18:9, 37:1, 40:14,	58:24, 59:23, 59:25,	agree [5] - 23:18,
19422 [1] - 2:17	45202 [1] - 3:4	43:24, 50:4, 54:17,	60:8, 62:20, 63:1,	24:15, 37:1, 38:7,
	48 [2] - 30:23, 30:24	59:16, 63:1, 66:7,	63:9, 67:17, 70:17,	40:23
2	4:00 [2] - 18:8, 32:23	79:5, 79:10, 79:17,	70:25	agreed [10] - 24:13,
2 [4] - 63:20, 66:24,	4th [2] - 1:8, 64:9	80:11	additionally [1] -	33:22, 37:23, 38:9,
71:4, 72:2		above-entitled [1] -	70:14	40:3, 41:14, 47:5,
2,913 [1] - 56:3	5	86:16	additive [5] - 11:20,	59:3, 67:18
20 [1] - 8:11	50 [1] - 71:1	Abraham [4] - 59:11,	13:4, 13:12, 21:18,	agreement [7] - 33:1,
200 [1] - 54:20	55402 [1] - 71:11	59:12, 61:24, 62:19	27:13	37:17, 38:12, 61:3,
2017 [8] - 5:24, 11:6,	5:00 [1] - 33:13	ABRAHAM [6] - 2:22,	address [19] - 6:14,	63:18, 67:24, 68:13
13:8, 22:2, 27:24,	5th [1] - 64:6	59:10, 59:13, 61:4,	35:1, 39:8, 40:16,	agreements [4] - 38:3,
32:16, 34:7, 34:14		62:20, 63:4	50:8, 51:16, 55:19,	38:5, 39:23, 40:1
2018 [3] - 10:8, 18:13,	6	absolutely [2] - 16:10,	59:5, 61:4, 61:7,	ahead [6] - 8:21,
62:4		19:25	69:19, 69:20, 70:15,	43:17, 54:13, 79:2,
2019 [3] - 20:14,	6 [1] - 35:11	accept _[2] - 79:14,	70:19, 71:23, 75:7,	79:5, 79:6
20:17, 60:13	600 [1] - 3:3	83:13	77:2, 79:17, 85:10 addressed[11] - 13:2,	Aid [1] - 3:8
2020 [1] - 64:6	609-774-1494 [1] -	acceptable [1] - 61:7	22:10, 33:25, 39:25,	aided [1] - 1:25
2021 [8] - 1:9, 24:2,	1:23	access [2] - 36:9,	41:9, 52:10, 58:12,	align [1] - 68:5
32:20, 64:9, 64:12,	6th [1] - 36:1	76:14	71.3, 02.10, 00.12,	allocation [1] - 70:18

allow [8] - 8:19, 9:18, 26:19, 28:18, 45:13, 47:8, 67:10, 78:12 allowing [3] - 72:7, 77:16, 79:22 allows [1] - 45:1 almost [3] - 31:24, 65:12, 82:3 alone [1] - 30:2 ALSO[1] - 3:10 amend [4] - 64:11, 66:5, 69:19, 70:23 amended [2] - 55:5, 55:7 **AmerisourceBergen** [1] - 3:4 amorphous [1] -19:23 amount[3] - 12:19, 14:4, 48:14 analysis [3] - 10:12, 11:22, 17:1 Angeles [1] - 3:7 answer [9] - 19:18, 42:14, 64:19, 80:11, 80:13, 81:3, 81:9, 81:10. 85:2 answered [3] - 4:15, 64:17, 64:18 answering [1] - 77:17 answers [2] - 40:9, 44:21 anticipate [3] - 33:12, 61:15, 76:9 anticipated [1] - 57:22 anticipating [2] -48:18, 50:5 apart[1] - 69:13 apex [3] - 42:3, 42:4, 43:23 **API**[3] - 8:8, 11:7, 62:12 APIs [1] - 72:4 APL [1] - 48:23 apologize [2] - 58:17, 58:20 apparent [1] - 10:2 appear [4] - 36:18, 37:15, 54:23, 57:10 appearance[2] -36:13, 37:12 appeared [1] - 34:16 applied [1] - 76:19 applies [1] - 14:7 appreciate [2] - 50:10, 70:6 appropriate [4] -50:15, 67:5, 78:22, 84:14 appropriately [1] -

59:6 April [7] - 18:13, 23:5, 24:2, 28:4, 32:19, 47:9, 56:22 architect [3] - 10:2. 15:17, 16:8 area [1] - 67:12 argue [5] - 9:22, 10:8, 10:14, 10:19, 81:22 argued [1] - 81:15 arguing [1] - 82:6 argument [15] - 7:12, 16:25, 18:22, 29:1, 31:3, 31:6, 31:7, 43:24, 44:5, 46:19, 73:10, 73:14, 74:21, 78:16, 81:20 arguments [5] - 15:9, 19:8, 20:13, 25:6, 81:16 arose [1] - 83:16 aside [1] - 66:10 assertion [1] - 57:22 assertions [2] - 9:22, 14:19 Assessment [1] -54:23 assume [1] - 50:12 assurance [2] - 10:3, 16:9 assure[1] - 48:20 Atlanta [1] - 2:12 attached [1] - 73:5 attachments[1] - 74:7 attend [1] - 10:22 attended [4] - 5:20, 11:18, 16:15, 16:18 attending [1] - 10:21 attends [1] - 10:23 attorney [1] - 85:13 Attorney [1] - 2:24 audit [2] - 62:3 audits [5] - 62:5, 62:7, 62:8, 62:12, 62:13 August [2] - 64:12, 71:4 Aurobindo [18] - 2:20, 46:13, 47:2, 47:3, 47:7, 47:9, 47:14. 48:13, 50:17, 50:23, 51:12, 51:21, 52:19, 52:25, 54:18, 54:21, 55:1, 58:7 Aurobindo's [3] -46:21, 47:21, 49:3 Aurolife [1] - 2:20 authored [1] - 12:22 authorities [1] - 17:14 authority [2] - 36:25,

37:13

auto [2] - 52:24, 53:17
automatically [1] 56:14
availability [1] - 6:25
available [5] - 18:25,
75:12, 75:15, 75:19,
82:11
Avenue [1] - 1:20
avoid [1] - 61:19
await [3] - 27:9, 46:3,
67:9
aware [2] - 53:18, 64:5

B
backdrop [2] - 7:19,
8:4
backwards [1] - 49:15
Bachua [7] - 22:17

backwards [1] - 49:15 Baohua [7] - 22:17, 24:16, 24:20, 25:1, 26:6, 26:12, 33:6 **BARNES** [1] - 3:5 based [14] - 5:20, 12:10, 18:20, 20:9, 20:13, 25:15, 27:3, 27:17, 33:9, 33:14, 38:4. 45:3. 77:18. 84:13 basis [7] - 29:6, 59:7, 73:13, 75:19, 75:21, 84:7, 84:9 Bates [1] - 53:7 baton [1] - 61:6 Beach [1] - 1:17 becomes [2] - 60:22, 62:10 begin [2] - 42:21, 64:9 **beginning** [1] - 43:3 begun [1] - 57:21 behalf [12] - 37:9, 59:9, 59:11, 61:10, 63:21, 63:24, 64:22, 64:25, 69:8, 69:11, 69:23, 73:1 **BEHRAM**[1] - 1:16 **Behram** [1] - 58:17 belabor [1] - 65:22 believes [1] - 52:4 Bell [1] - 2:17 **BERNE** [1] - 3:2 best [5] - 24:20, 39:6, 39:18, 52:6, 81:19 better [2] - 32:3, 78:23 between [4] - 24:17, 57:11, 66:13, 75:5 beyond [2] - 27:19, 53:25 big [1] - 19:16 bits [1] - 40:11

Blue [1] - 2:17 **BOCKIUS** [1] - 2:18 **BONNER** [8] - 2:6. 71:25, 72:17, 73:18, 75:2, 75:4, 76:11, 76:25 Bonner [6] - 71:22, 71:24, 72:13, 73:17, 74:9, 75:1 bottom [2] - 28:1, 82:4 brief [18] - 9:3, 13:18, 15:11, 16:22, 27:16, 35:17, 35:23, 36:8, 37:2, 37:8, 43:9, 44:8, 49:23, 50:11, 71:1, 71:3, 71:9 briefed [3] - 9:6, 19:23, 20:15 briefing [20] - 7:13, 7:15, 7:20, 7:24, 15:3, 19:23, 20:1, 35:20, 41:20, 42:25, 45:1, 45:8, 45:9, 45:14, 45:22, 46:1, 64:10, 64:11, 69:18 briefly [1] - 70:9 briefs [3] - 45:23, 70:21, 71:2 bring [2] - 11:21, 19:24 brought [2] - 27:3, 31:15 Building [1] - 1:7 bunch [2] - 17:12, 57:7 burden [5] - 17:6, 17:7, 67:12, 80:17, 80:21 burdens [1] - 74:24 but.. [1] - 32:1 BY [10] - 1:13, 1:16, 1:19, 2:2, 2:5, 2:10, 2:15, 2:18, 3:3, 3:6

С

calendar [4] - 25.5, 47:12, 55:13, 68:9 California [3] - 1:17, 3:7, 54:2 Camden [1] - 1:8 Camille [3] - 1:22, 5:4, 86:18 camillepedano@ gmail.com [1] - 1:23 Camp [1] - 2:3 cannot [5] - 79:23, 80:14, 80:25, 83:9, 83:11 capture [3] - 15:21, 16:5, 19:5 car [1] - 58:18 careful [1] - 20:22 case [32] - 7:19, 9:23, 9:25, 11:20, 12:6, 13:4, 13:5, 13:12, 14:8. 14:9. 18:18. 21:18, 21:20, 21:22, 24:9, 24:10, 26:23, 27:10, 27:12, 27:13, 42:11, 43:6, 65:5, 66:10, 72:16, 76:1, 82:10, 84:1, 84:2, 84.11 cases [1] - 84:17 cast [7] - 17:23, 55:8, 55:16, 55:23, 56:12, 56:16, 57:20 Category [1] - 72:1 category [1] - 74:20 caught [2] - 55:2, 56:2 caveat[1] - 25:12 CCR[1] - 86:18 **cellphone** [1] - 32:17 cells [1] - 57:7 Century [1] - 3:6 **CEO**[3] - 11:15. 12:23, 44:6 CEOs [2] - 11:18, 14:22 certain [2] - 52:21, 68:3 certainly [13] - 8:2, 16:17, 23:22, 26:16, 28:18, 29:2, 32:11, 40:12, 42:14, 71:10, 74:21, 75:3, 83:13 Certainly [1] - 9:1 certified [5] - 78:15, 83:24, 84:4, 84:25, 85:3 certify [1] - 86:15 cetera[2] - 23:3, 65:19 challenging [3] -57:22, 65:17, 66:6 Chamber [1] - 14:2 change [5] - 4:8, 10:6, 21:15, 44:15, 82:5 characterization [1] -56:2 characters [6] - 55:8, 55:16, 55:24, 56:12, 56:16, 57:20 charge[1] - 10:4 chart[2] - 15:10, 80:18 charts [1] - 12:24 check[1] - 33:13

Chen [57] - 5:7, 5:18,

9:25, 10:9, 10:11,

8:4, 8:9, 8:14, 9:23,

black[1] - 57:8

blind [1] - 12:16

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28188

10:14, 10:19, 10:21,
10.14, 10.19, 10.21,
11:5, 11:13, 11:14,
12:7, 12:8, 12:22, 13:6, 13:9, 13:16,
13:19, 13:22, 14:13,
14:16, 15:25, 16:15,
17:4, 19:15, 20:7, 21:3, 21:9, 21:16,
21:3, 21:9, 21:16,
22:17, 22:20, 24:3,
24:20, 26:6, 26:12,
26:17, 26:23, 27:3,
27:10, 28:6, 28:20,
29:2, 31:16, 32:7,
36:6, <i>4</i> 2:6, <i>4</i> 2:15,
43:20, 44:2, 44:17,
44:22, 46:4
chen's [1] - 26:15
Chen's [26] - 4:24,
7:18, 11:22, 13:3,
13:11, 14:3, 14:10,
17:9, 18:16, 19:4,
20:16, 23:20, 24:16,
25:1, 27:15, 28:11,
28:24, 29:15, 33:6,
41:19, 41:25, 43:21,
44:17, 45:3, 45:11,
45:16
chief [2] - 24:16, 33:6
China [4] - 13:20,
13:24, 15:1, 35:20
Chinese [13] - 14:14,
15:1, 19:1, 29:4,
29:7, 31:20, 35:10,
35:18, 36:6, 37:7,
37:12, 39:11, 77:19
chloride [4] - 10:3,
15:18, 15:22, 16:9
chooses [1] - 73:24
chose [2] - 18:23,
79:10
Cincinnati [1] - 3:4
CIPRIANI [1] - 2:15
circumstance[1] -
42:12
circumstances [2] -
39:19, 83:4
cite [1] - 10:20
cited [3] - 82:8, 84:2,
84:17
City [1] - 14:1
CIVIL [1] - 1:3
claim [1] - 20:20
claimed [1] - 25:21
claims [6] - 65:12,
65:14, 65:20, 66:1,
66:3, 66:16
clarified [1] - 82:12
clarify [3] - 30:13,
71:5, 82:11
clear [14] - 8:14,

10:25, 23:1, 24:11,	44:6, 57:12
24:25, 25:9, 27:21,	compel [2] - 28:5,
33:1, 34:13, 67:24,	37:13
68:15, 70:4, 80:17,	compelled [1] - 80:12
85:6	compelling [1] - 78:18
clearly [6] - 13:12, 25:12, 25:22, 26:6,	competitors [1] -
74:18, 81:24	72:10
Clerk[1] - 3:11	complaints [2] - 64:11, 66:5
client [8] - 6:15, 35:11,	complete [13] - 7:6,
35:19, 44:10, 53:12,	42:18, 45:9, 45:21,
53:16, 59:22	48:7, 48:23, 50:24,
close [4] - 4:13, 31:16,	51:11, 59:6, 64:9,
73:16	64:12, 77:19, 82:7
closed [1] - 35:11	completed [1] - 45:15
closing [1] - 10:23	completely [2] -
CMC [6] - 64:2, 64:16,	16:24, 82:17
68:6, 68:9, 68:22,	completion [1] - 67:9
69:6	complied [1] - 82:2
co [1] - 47:23 co-counsel [1] - 47:23	compounding [1] - 46:24
Coast[1] - 1:17	comprehensive[3] -
COHEN [2] - 2:11, 4:6	7:2, 72:6, 73:23
Cohen [1] - 1:7	compromise [2] -
colleague [4] - 6:19,	75:17, 81:19
34:10, 55:18, 71:22	compromised[1] -
collect [2] - 29:22,	77:10
39:14	computer [1] - 1:25
collected [2] - 48:12,	computer-aided [1] -
51:21	1:25
collecting [2] - 32:7,	concern [1] - 56:24
38:20	concerned [4] - 31:3,
collection [7] - 23:11, 35:8, 35:12, 38:19,	50:11, 54:8, 67:12
39:6, 72:2	concerning [2] - 31:9, 67:8
column [1] - 16:21	concerns [4] - 9:16,
coming [2] - 73:15,	27:24, 56:7, 65:16
76:23	conclude [1] - 15:4
Commencing [1] - 1:9	conduct [2] - 59:13,
comment [3] - 39:3,	77:11
61:23, 81:20	confer [6] - 18:8,
Commerce [1] - 14:2	18:21, 52:15, 75:14,
commercially [1] -	85:23, 85:24
72:4	conference [6] - 9:14,
commit _[1] - 68:11	39:15, 49:13, 52:9,
Committee [1] - 13:25	53:13, 85:22 CONFERENCE [1] -
communication [1] - 21:9	1:5
communications [4] -	conferences [1] -
19:12, 57:11, 66:13,	48:21
67:7	conferring [1] - 55:18
Communist[1] -	confident[1] - 23:16
13:20	confidential [3] -
companies [2] -	73:10, 73:14, 75:7
14:22, 54:5	confidentiality [2] -
company [15] - 8:5,	74:25, 75:5
8:9, 8:10, 10:5,	confirm [5] - 39:23,
10:18, 10:22, 11:11,	40:12, 40:14, 40:21
11:15, 12:23, 17:13,	confirmed [1] - 40:24

19:18, 27:11, 35:24,

conflict [1] - 68:18 confused [1] - 79:25 Congress [2] - 13:25, 14:1 connected [1] - 26:18 connecting [1] - 27:9 connection [1] - 22:20 consider [6] - 28:3, 29:16, 30:6, 31:5, 31:8, 42:5 consideration [2] -23:22, 80:5 considered [1] - 76:4 considering [1] -65:24 consistent [1] - 83:15 consultant [1] - 29:19 consultants [1] -57:12 contain [1] - 72:23 contamination [7] -5:23, 10:9, 11:5, 17:15, 18:14, 62:4, 74:19 contest [1] - 84:22 contested [1] - 75:10 context [2] - 65:4, 81:20 contexts [2] - 84:5, 84:6 continually [2] -15:20, 37:4 continue [5] - 60:9, 60:15, 60:20, 60:23, 69:12 Continued [2] - 2:1, 3:1 continues [1] - 47:15 continuing [3] - 48:20, 62:21 continuously [1] -18:15 contradicted [3] -14:20, 14:21, 14:23 control [4] - 10:6. 10:9, 21:15, 26:9 conversation [2] -15:24, 38:4 conversations [1] -35:12 convince [1] - 28:18 convinced [2] - 60:17, 67:11 convincing [1] - 28:17 Cooper[1] - 1:8 cooperate [4] - 22:7, 22:12, 23:20, 60:20 cooperating [1] -59:21 copied [2] - 12:15,

12:16 copies [2] - 59:23, 78:10 copy [1] - 17:22 core [5] - 12:10, 18:17, 21:23, 62:14, 67:11 corners [1] - 11:11 corporate [2] - 53:11, 53:19 Corporation [1] - 3:8 correct [8] - 23:6, 35:6, 47:20, 65:1, 69:4, 73:8, 74:9, 86:15 corrected [1] - 5:8 cost[1] - 15:20 counsel [14] - 16:25, 18:15, 31:3, 31:4, 36:23, 37:14, 40:3, 47:23, 56:12, 60:2, 79:5, 80:1, 82:11, 82:12 **counsel's** [1] - 73:10 count [4] - 38:18, 38:21, 39:16, 78:21 couple [7] - 4:11, 4:14, 4:19, 42:23, 45:12, 50:21, 55:12 course [8] - 4:25, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 35:19, 47:4, 64:13, 74:17 Court [39] - 1:22, 6:18, 7:14, 7:20, 7:23, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 13:12, 15:19, 17:3, 19:25, 23:22, 36:17, 38:21, 39:24, 40:15, 44:3, 47:25, 48:9, 48:19, 48:20, 52:8, 52:20, 56:19, 58:22, 64:1, 65:2, 65:24, 74:11, 76:8, 76:13, 76:22, 78:22, 80:6, 84:18, 84:23, 86:18 court [6] - 5:4, 47:6, 53:2, 76:6, 76:21, 76:22 **COURT**[1] - 1:1 Court's [3] - 6:16, 36:25, 78:5 Courthouse [1] - 1:7 COURTROOM [3] -8:21, 85:21, 86:2 **Courtroom** [1] - 3:12 courts [1] - 73:11 cover [1] - 4:22 covered [5] - 13:21, 29:3, 32:19, 71:17,

confirms [1] - 17:13

77:25 CRC [1] - 86:18 create [1] - 56:14 creation [1] - 10:12 crisis [1] - 10:10 critical [1] - 12:5 CRR [1] - 86:18 crucial [2] - 62:14, 65:24 cured [1] - 83:18 custodial [60] - 4:24, 5:7, 5:10, 5:13, 6:2, 6:9, 7:18, 9:8, 12:13, 13:4, 13:6, 13:11, 14:3, 14:10, 14:15, 14:18, 15:5, 17:9, 18:3, 18:20, 19:7, 19:9, 19:19, 19:21, 20:12, 20:16, 21:2, 21:17, 21:19, 22:17, 22:25, 23:2, 24:3, 24:12, 24:15, 24:23, 26:1, 26:4, 26:15, 26:24, 27:6, 27:8, 27:9, 27:15, 28:5, 28:24, 29:15, 30:7, 31:4, 31:18, 32:7, 32:12, 33:2, 33:7, 33:18, 33:23, 33:24, 34:6, 41:20, 65:10 custodian [3] - 23:12, 24:21, 67:17 custodians [31] - 12:5, 12:9, 12:10, 12:11, 13:7, 13:17, 13:19, 18:4, 21:9, 21:10, 21:21, 22:4, 22:5, 22:9, 22:16, 23:9, 23:12, 26:2, 29:15, 35:2, 35:5, 35:7, 38:7, 42:8, 47:5, 47:14, 48:9, 48:23, 51:22, 65:19 CVS [1] - 3:7

D

daily [1] - 10:5 data [16] - 48:5, 48:6, 48:8, 48:10, 48:12, 48:14, 48:25, 51:1, 51:2, 51:5, 51:13, 51:15, 51:22, 52:1 **database**[1] - 51:9 Date [1] - 86:20 date [16] - 8:14, 11:23, 14:24, 17:2, 17:21, 17:22, 20:8, 25:5, 37:8, 41:23, 42:23, 49:3, 49:8, 56:18, 68:10, 68:17

dates [2] - 30:13, 30:17 **DAVID**[1] - 2:2 David [2] - 63:23, 67:25 DAVIS [1] - 2:10 day-to-day [1] - 11:7 days [6] - 30:7, 33:18, 39:8, 39:10, 42:23, 78:12 de [3] - 54:24, 56:2, 56:5 de-designated [1] -54:24 de-designating [1] -56:2 de-designations [1] -56:5 deadline [2] - 47:21, 49:5 deadlines [1] - 69:2 deal [6] - 47:16, 70:6, 75:23, 76:17, 78:22 dealing [2] - 54:2, 83:1 deals [4] - 63:19, 69:18, 71:16, 75:23 dealt [4] - 78:8, 84:3, 84:5, 84:17 **December**[1] - 64:6 decided [8] - 20:7, 20:14, 31:10, 32:8, 46:19, 46:23, 58:12, 59:20 decision [9] - 4:14, 4:15, 29:6, 32:10, 65:3, 70:12, 84:7, 84:18, 84:23 decisions [2] - 19:15, 84:8 deem [1] - 82:14 deemed [1] - 61:12 defendant[1] - 21:22 defendant's [1] - 7:23 **Defendants** [6] - 2:8, 2:13, 2:20, 2:24, 3:4, 3:7 defendants [13] -60:14, 61:10, 64:23, 67:6, 69:23, 70:17, 70:25, 71:3, 71:6, 71:8, 72:10, 78:9, 85:16 defendants' [3] -62:23, 64:10, 70:24 defense [9] - 6:4,

25:2, 25:23, 58:13,

70:11, 70:23, 79:5,

defenses [1] - 49:4

82:8. 85:15

defer [7] - 6:24, 9:12, 24:3, 41:23, 45:13, 45:18, 68:7 deferred [2] - 5:9, 45:16 deferring [1] - 40:2 delay [5] - 19:22, 27:21, 32:9, 44:24, 64:14 delayed [1] - 39:10 delete [2] - 52:24, 53:17 deleted [1] - 18:19 demands [1] - 60:19 demonstrate[4] -7:18, 13:3, 20:10, 43:19 demonstrated [2] -24:12, 24:14 demonstrates [2] -21:17, 34:19 demonstrative [2] -7:10, 8:1 denied [1] - 82:17 deny [3] - 20:12, 83:21, 83:22 denying [1] - 85:8 **department**[1] - 11:2 deponent [1] - 85:2 depose [2] - 20:1, 44:11 deposed [7] - 19:13, 20:8, 21:1, 26:23, 31:17, 47:15, 62:24 deposing [3] - 11:1, 17:4, 19:14 deposition [67] - 5:20, 6:1, 6:3, 11:23, 11:25, 12:21, 16:11, 16:14, 17:1, 17:5, 17:6, 19:9, 20:10, 20:11, 24:22, 25:1, 25:4, 25:10, 26:19, 26:21, 27:3, 27:7, 28:12, 28:20, 31:15, 31:21, 34:16, 41:19, 41:23, 41:25, 42:3, 42:5, 43:8, 43:13, 43:21, 43:25, 44:24, 45:3, 45:4, 45:7, 45:9, 45:11, 45:16, 45:21, 46:3, 46:4, 58:23, 59:13, 59:16, 60:4, 62:22, 63:5, 78:12, 78:13, 78:17, 78:19, 79:6, 79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:16, 83:16, 83:24, 84:22, 85:1 deposition's [2] -

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28189

42:19, 45:14 depositions [18] -21:13, 42:18, 42:24, 47:11, 51:4, 55:13, 59:6, 60:5, 60:21, 62:10, 62:25, 77:9, 77:12, 78:10, 80:18, 82:3, 83:21, 85:7 **DEPUTY** [3] - 8:21, 85:21, 86:2 deputy [1] - 13:24 **Deputy** [1] - 3:12 described [2] - 27:20, 72:1 designated [2] -12:11, 54:24 designating [1] - 56:2 designations [1] -56:5 destruction [2] - 53:9, 53:10 detail [4] - 6:14, 6:17, 11:12, 74:19 detailed [1] - 19:24 details [2] - 11:13, 32:10 determination [3] -77:8, 82:22, 85:5 **determine**[1] - 79:15 determined [1] - 79:19 developed [1] - 6:7 deviation [3] - 10:6, 10:13, 16:12 device[1] - 5:1 devices [3] - 38:8, 38:9, 40:4 dial [1] - 85:25 dial-in [1] - 85:25 dialing [1] - 86:5 different [9] - 13:23, 22:2, 22:5, 22:21, 23:20, 29:19, 42:12, 54:5, 70:15 differently [1] - 79:13 difficult [1] - 47:16 diligent [1] - 34:17 diligently [3] - 31:13, 60:9, 60:16 dimension [1] - 24:10 direct[10] - 5:17, 11:10, 16:5, 19:11, 19:12, 26:6, 32:6, 45:24, 66:23 direction [1] - 16:6 disabled [1] - 8:23 disagree [2] - 38:3, 75:20 disagreement [3] -41:1, 41:2, 67:24 disclose [4] - 18:14,

51:15, 74:16, 79:4 disclosed [2] - 72:7, 75:22 disclosing [1] - 72:22 disclosure [4] - 10:8, 27:23, 60:12, 76:4 discovery [22] - 12:10, 21:23, 23:19, 23:21, 23:24, 26:18, 32:15, 39:21, 41:4, 41:9, 41:17, 46:14, 58:7, 58:10, 63:15, 64:8, 65:10, 65:11, 66:1, 66:15, 69:1, 79:9 discrete [1] - 59:15 discuss [8] - 23:13, 23:15, 31:12, 33:6, 33:17, 33:18, 72:18, 75:16 discussed [6] - 11:13, 14:5, 14:6, 14:7, 33:20, 70:11 discussions [1] - 7:5 dismiss [1] - 70:16 dismissed [2] - 65:14, 66:4 dispute [4] - 40:22. 58:10, 64:17, 65:4 disputes [3] - 41:13, 68:7, 69:5 **distinction** [1] - 84:8 distributes [1] - 8:7 distributor[1] - 15:25 **DISTRICT**[2] - 1:1, 1:1 disturbing [2] - 17:24, 18:23 doc [1] - 53:5 docket[1] - 75:13 doctrine [6] - 42:3, 42:4, 42:9, 42:10, 43:23, 57:23 document [49] - 8:19, 11:21, 11:24, 13:5, 13:15, 17:12, 17:17, 17:22, 22:2, 22:8, 22:19, 25:9, 25:11, 34:16, 34:17, 34:21, 34:22, 34:25, 48:3, 52:20, 56:13, 56:22, 56:23, 60:19, 61:25, 63:6, 74:13, 75:9, 75:12, 75:14, 75:18, 75:22, 75:23, 76:2, 76:9, 76:19, 79:18, 80:10, 80:11, 80:13, 80:25, 81:1, 81:11, 83:3, 83:7, 83:17, 83:25, 84:4, 85:1 document's [1] - 82:9

documents [102] -4:16, 5:25, 6:17, 6:20, 6:24, 7:7, 9:21, 12:4, 12:19, 12:22, 13:3, 14:4, 14:7, 14:8, 14:16, 14:23, 15:18, 17:11, 17:23, 18:15, 18:18, 20:23, 21:8, 21:12, 23:11, 24:20, 26:25, 27:4, 27:22, 29:3, 29:13, 30:1, 34:18, 36:15, 47:1, 47:10, 47:13, 51:7, 51:10, 53:10, 53:11, 56:4, 57:24, 58:24, 58:25, 59:1, 59:5, 59:15, 59:17, 59:19, 60:1, 60:4, 60:8, 60:17, 60:21, 61:2, 61:12, 61:15, 61:20, 61:25, 62:1, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 62:16, 62:21, 66:25, 71:17, 72:2, 72:18, 72:21, 73:4, 73:9, 73:19, 73:21, 74:1, 74:22, 75:4, 75:12, 75:23, 76:5, 77:9, 77:14, 77:18, 78:10, 78:14, 79:11, 79:22, 80:4, 80:16, 80:20, 80:22, 81:6, 81:10, 82:12, 82:13, 82:24, 83:10, 83:14, 84:15 done [6] - 17:21, 29:22, 42:24, 56:21, 56:24, 79:13 **Dong** [1] - 32:18 doorkeepers [1] -36:15 dose [3] - 8:8, 11:7, 62:12 doubt [2] - 44:18, 60:5 down [5] - 11:16, 11:17, 30:17, 31:6, 67:14 dozens [2] - 8:6, 8:7 drabs [1] - 59:4 draft[1] - 64:6 drastic [1] - 82:6 drawn [1] - 81:6 **dribs** [1] - 59:4 drive [10] - 48:12, 51:1, 51:8, 51:9, 51:11, 51:23, 51:25, 52:1 drives [3] - 51:6, 51:21, 52:7 drop [3] - 16:2, 16:4,

19:4

dropping [1] - 9:13 drug [5] - 22:3, 22:6, 22:21, 72:4, 72:5 Drugs [1] - 2:24 drugs [1] - 75:24 **Du**[12] - 21:2, 21:3, 21:4, 21:5, 21:11, 43:10, 43:19, 43:21, 44:1, 44:21, 45:3, 45:7 Du's [8] - 43:25, 44:24, 45:4, 45:9, 45:14, 45:20, 46:2, 46:3 **DUANE**[1] - 2:5 duck[1] - 56:10 due [13] - 15:1, 30:13, 35:17, 42:19, 43:2, 46:21, 68:17, 70:24, 71:1, 79:19, 79:23, 83:23, 84:20 duplicate [2] - 18:4, 26:2 duplicative [1] - 13:14 during [8] - 15:25, 31:3, 34:16, 35:21, 59:15, 60:21, 63:5, 84:22 déjà [1] - 81:14

Ε

early [2] - 47:9, 61:18 easier [1] - 56:20 East[1] - 3:6 easy[1] - 15:14 eDiscovery[1] - 48:16 effect [2] - 71:4, 80:8 effort[2] - 10:2, 64:1 efforts [1] - 75:7 eight[1] - 63:19 Eisenhower [1] - 1:14 either [6] - 12:18, 19:15, 63:12, 74:8, 74:12, 84:23 electric [1] - 58:18 electricity [1] - 58:19 element[2] - 79:2, 79:9 email [28] - 5:22, 6:19, 12:8, 12:9, 12:13, 12:14, 12:15, 12:16, 13:8, 17:12, 18:1, 18:5, 18:6, 20:19, 25:16, 25:22, 26:1, 27:24, 31:18, 32:16, 34:7, 34:14, 53:11, 53:19, 54:21, 55:5, 60:7, 60:18 emailed [2] - 12:12, 52:25

emailing [1] - 7:7 emails [3] - 15:23, 32:18, 52:25 **employees** [4] - 8:6. 10:18, 11:15, 14:23 **encumber**[1] - 19:22 encumbered [1] - 14:4 end[9] - 33:11, 33:17, 39:20, 40:13, 42:13, 45:15, 48:10, 61:15, 82:3 endeavor[1] - 30:2 enforce[1] - 83:10 English [6] - 77:17, 77:18, 79:20, 79:21, 82:23, 82:24 enter [1] - 77:16 entire [4] - 22:11, 74:10, 75:11, 75:18 entities [4] - 47:2, 47:14, 48:6, 50:24 entitled [1] - 86:16 entity [2] - 51:13, 51:14 entries [3] - 54:20, 54:22, 57:9 entry [1] - 37:12 **envisioned** [1] - 83:12 equal [3] - 79:19, 79:23, 83:23 equivalent [2] - 28:4, 83:6 Eric [3] - 38:9, 40:4, 59:10 ERIC [1] - 2:22 ESI [6] - 17:20, 29:19, 38:17, 52:22, 53:15,

61:14

3:11

especially [4] - 9:13,

ESQUIRE [17] - 1:13,

67:16, 76:21, 81:25

1:16, 1:19, 2:2, 2:5,

2:6, 2:6, 2:10, 2:11,

2:22, 2:22, 3:3, 3:6,

essentially [1] - 83:5

established [3] - 5:17,

establish [3] - 17:5,

establishment [1] -

estimate[1] - 38:18

estimated [1] - 38:22

et [2] - 23:2, 65:19

evaded [1] - 17:22

evaluate [1] - 72:3

eventually [1] - 43:1

EU[1] - 13:1

27:1, 27:12

6:8, 27:2

72.2

2:11, 2:15, 2:16,

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28190

Evidence [1] - 81:4 evidence [8] - 18:17, 27:9, 31:14, 55:1, 81:2, 81:9, 84:8, 84:22 exactly [4] - 26:4, 40:13, 63:25, 81:4 examine [1] - 59:17 example [8] - 10:1, 15:13, 15:23, 16:23, 38:6, 40:3, 62:2, 62:9 examples [2] - 16:23, 79:24 exception [1] - 33:5 excerpts [1] - 9:24 exchange [2] - 78:13, 79:8 exchanged [1] - 75:8 exclusively [1] - 65:12 exhibit[1] - 12:17 exhibits [11] - 7:3, 7:10, 8:1, 11:23, 12:4, 12:14, 12:19, 12:21, 17:1, 73:5, 80:19 exist [4] - 5:19, 18:6, 40:17, 58:25 exists [1] - 41:2 expand [1] - 65:9 expanding [1] - 66:2 expect[3] - 28:24, 45:19, 85:5 expeditiously [2] -29:8, 30:22 expert [2] - 43:2, 70:7 explain [1] - 17:10 explicitly [1] - 43:23 exposure[1] - 14:14 extend [3] - 37:8, 49:8, 50:2 extension [3] - 43:12, 44:7, 47:8 extent [3] - 33:23. 40:16, 62:20 extra [1] - 71:6 extremely [1] - 82:22

facilitate [1] - 77:11 facilities [5] - 35:24, 72:3, 72:9, 73:23, 73:24 facility [2] - 62:12, 62:13 fact [9] - 10:25, 21:11, 23:6, 23:23, 25:22, 27:25, 34:19, 70:14, 71:16 facts [1] - 14:21

factually [1] - 25:20 fair [2] - 75:12, 80:12 fairly [2] - 43:6, 65:2 fairness [2] - 79:3, 79:17 falls [1] - 74:20 familiar [3] - 17:20, 38:17, 42:10 fan [1] - 71:2 far [16] - 21:22, 27:18, 27:20, 28:8, 28:16, 31:11, 31:17, 37:12, 37:15, 50:11, 58:3, 62:22, 64:2, 65:6, 69:13, 83:20 fashion [1] - 77:10 fast[1] - 39:4 fathom [1] - 73:9 favor [2] - 7:23, 32:3 FDA [21] - 10:9, 10:19, 10:25, 13:1, 18:24, 71:18, 72:1, 72:2, 72:3, 72:7, 72:14, 72:21, 72:23, 73:6, 73:19, 73:24, 74:7, 74:22, 76:2, 76:15, 76:20 **FDA's** [2] - 73:20, 74:3 February [1] - 48:10 felt [1] - 31:14 FERTEL [3] - 2:16, 55:22, 56:11 Fertel [1] - 55:18 few [16] - 6:16, 6:17, 7:9, 7:25, 8:16, 10:20, 14:8, 16:15, 20:5, 33:18, 39:8, 39:10, 40:11, 52:18, 58:13, 77:23 figured [1] - 40:6 figurehead [2] - 19:12, 26:7 file [43] - 4:24, 5:7, 5:10, 5:14, 6:2, 6:9, 7:18, 13:4, 13:12, 14:3, 14:10, 14:18, 15:5, 17:9, 19:10, 19:19, 19:21, 20:9, 20:13, 20:16, 21:2, 21:17, 21:20, 22:17, 22:25, 24:3, 26:1, 26:15, 26:24, 27:6, 27:8, 27:9, 27:15, 28:5, 28:24, 29:15, 30:7, 32:7, 32:12,

33:18, 41:21, 49:23,

filed [7] - 4:19, 20:19,

36:9, 45:1, 45:8,

45:25, 46:25

71:3

F

Document 1235-6 PageID: 28191

58:1, 69:22

files [9] - 12:13, 13:7, 18:3, 23:2, 31:4, 33:7, 33:23, 33:24, 34.6 filing [3] - 45:13, 45:19, 45:25 final [3] - 4:15, 32:10, 40:9 finally [1] - 18:22 fine [5] - 61:8, 68:8, 68:19, 69:12, 81:25 finish [1] - 38:18 finished [5] - 8:8, 11:7, 48:18, 48:22, 62:12 firm [9] - 35:20, 36:10, 36:12, 36:13, 36:16, 36:20, 36:24, 37:13 first [18] - 4:23, 6:21, 15:10, 15:13, 15:16, 19:18, 20:7, 22:2, 25:21, 40:6, 49:2, 50:23, 58:22, 59:13, 59:24, 65:11, 83:16, 84:25 fit [1] - 82:14 flexible [1] - 47:8 focus [3] - 5:16, 11:24, 43:2 focused [1] - 21:3 FOIA [10] - 72:15, 72:19, 72:20, 73:25, 76:3, 76:5, 76:15, 76:18, 76:20 follow [3] - 4:11, 4:25, 46:1 following [4] - 4:20, 18:5, 44:2, 46:13 FOR [1] - 1:1 forced [2] - 18:13, 34:24 foregoing [1] - 86:15 foreign [1] - 51:14 form [1] - 64:17 formal [3] - 55:6, 55:7, 56:3 formally [2] - 53:14, 54:6 formatting [1] - 81:25 formed [1] - 25:17 forth [2] - 41:1, 60:14 forthwith [1] - 28:25 forward [6] - 6:8, 31:9, 64:15, 67:3, 76:24, 85:7 founder [2] - 8:5, 12:25 four [1] - 23:9 frankly [1] - 64:1 **FREEMAN**[1] - 1:13

Friday [15] - 18:8, 20:17, 20:18, 22:9, 32:23, 36:4, 37:9, 38:4, 40:10, 40:22, 41:4, 41:13, 41:14, 49:4, 49:19 front [1] - 65:2 frustrated [1] - 58:1 full [3] - 7:22, 13:17, 36:9 fully [5] - 9:6, 14:15, 14:19, 22:6, 52:6 **functions** [1] - 11:12 fundamental [1] -62:14 future [1] - 78:23

G

game [1] - 75:12

32:11

14:22

69:11

3:3, 69:10

garnered [1] - 84:22

gathering [2] - 32:7,

Ge [4] - 10:3, 10:10,

general [3] - 8:9, 13:2,

GEOPPINGER [2] -

Geoppinger [1] -

Georgia [1] - 2:12

given [7] - 16:23,

39:19, 49:25, 64:1,

11:6, 19:13

Ge's [1] - 16:14

69:5, 70:10, 72:6 global [4] - 8:5, 10:17, 14:22 go-between[1] -24:17 GOLDBERG [31] - 2:5, 4:4, 6:13, 7:9, 7:12, 8:3, 8:23, 9:19, 20:5, 23:6, 26:14, 29:12, 34:9, 35:14, 35:16, 36:3, 41:17, 42:1, 43:4, 43:16, 43:18, 44:1, 44:13, 46:8, 71:21, 77:23, 78:3, 78:8, 82:20, 85:16, 86:7 Goldberg [19] - 6:12, 6:23, 20:4, 26:13, 27:16, 28:3, 28:7, 28:18, 34:2, 35:3, 41:16, 43:17, 44:23, 45:6, 71:21, 77:22, 81:18, 82:19, 84:17 Goldenberg [8] -46:15, 49:10, 50:8, 52:11, 53:21, 57:2,

GOLDENBERG [22] -1:19, 1:19, 46:16, 46:18, 49:12, 49:18, 49:21, 50:10, 50:18, 50:21, 52:12, 53:23, 54:12, 54:16, 57:4, 57:17, 58:5, 58:8, 69:21, 70:4, 71:5, 71:11 Goldenberg's [1] -56:1 governed [1] - 53:6 Government [1] -13:21 government [5] -13:23, 15:1, 18:22, 19:6 governmentsponsored[1] -13:23 grant [5] - 13:13, 19:20, 45:13, 71:2, 85:8 granted [4] - 25:1, 42:2, 66:5, 70:25 gravity [1] - 36:20 great [4] - 14:25, 49:17, 61:17, 77:2 GREENBERG [1] -2:10 grounds [1] - 57:11 **Gu**[1] - 40:4 Gu's [1] - 38:9 guess [13] - 8:18, 31:5, 39:7, 39:18, 49:22, 52:13, 53:2, 53:23, 54:6, 58:1, 58:17, 72:12, 85:18 **guidebook** [1] - 72:8

Н

hac [1] - 36:18 half [4] - 22:11, 27:6, 47:11, 54:19 hand [2] - 16:21, 44:23 handful [1] - 65:8 handle [1] - 69:22 hands [2] - 30:3, 31:13 hanging [1] - 36:21 happy [14] - 7:21, 11:3, 32:1, 38:21, 40:20, 52:3, 52:7, 56:18, 56:25, 57:4, 64:19, 72:17, 75:15, 75:17 hard [4] - 17:17,

HARKINS [1] - 2:11 hate [1] - 38:22 head [5] - 10:3, 10:17, 10:21, 11:1, 11:11 Healthcare [1] - 2:8 hear [2] - 49:14, 49:16 heard [6] - 5:2, 25:21, 36:1, 43:6, 53:1, 81:15 hearing [4] - 6:24, 25:6, 31:8, 84:6 heavy [1] - 19:3 HEINZ[10] - 2:15, 47:18, 47:20, 48:3, 49:6, 50:4, 51:20, 53:5, 53:18, 55:17 Heinz [8] - 47:17, 49:2, 50:1, 50:22, 51:19, 52:21, 53:4, 55:15 held [4] - 4:1, 57:10, 73:13, 84:7 help [2] - 7:12, 82:1 helpful [2] - 4:15, 86:1 Hetero [11] - 2:24, 58:10, 58:23, 59:2, 59:9. 59:11. 59:14. 59:20, 59:24, 61:10 hidden [1] - 34:23 hiding [1] - 17:15 Hie [1] - 15:24 high [1] - 17:13 high-level [1] - 17:13 highest [6] - 10:22, 21:1, 21:5, 21:6, 21:11, 42:14 highest-ranking [5] -10:22, 21:1, 21:5, 21:6, 21:11 highly [2] - 5:18, 60:5 Highway [1] - 1:17 HILL [1] - 2:21 hit [1] - 58:18 hold [3] - 29:6, 41:20, 51:7 holding [1] - 55:1 holds [1] - 13:22 holiday [7] - 35:10, 35:18, 35:21, 36:4, 37:3, 37:7, 39:11 home[1] - 11:21 Honor [154] - 4:4, 5:15, 6:13, 7:9, 7:17, 7:22, 7:25, 8:16, 9:12, 9:19, 9:20, 9:21, 9:24, 11:3, 11:14, 11:21, 11:22,

11:24, 13:16, 13:21,

14:17, 14:19, 15:2,

15:3, 15:11, 19:20,

20:5, 20:22, 21:25, 22:6, 22:17, 22:22, 22:23, 22:24, 23:6, 23:8, 24:5, 25:10, 26:14, 29:11, 29:12, 30:5, 30:12, 30:14, 31:7, 32:2, 34:9, 34:12, 35:5, 35:14, 35:17, 35:22, 36:7, 37:21, 38:2, 38:16, 39:13, 40:20, 41:6, 41:7, 41:11, 41:18, 41:19, 41:20, 42:1, 42:11, 43:4, 43:16, 46:8, 46:9, 46:16, 46:18, 47:18, 48:1, 48:4, 49:6, 49:12, 50:5, 50:10, 50:18, 51:20, 52:10, 53:5, 54:12, 54:16, 55:9, 55:17, 55:19, 55:22, 57:4, 58:5, 58:16, 59:10, 60:11, 60:15, 61:9, 61:23, 63:13, 63:23, 63:25, 64:8, 64:16, 64:24, 65:1, 66:20, 67:21, 67:25, 68:9, 68:19, 68:23, 68:24, 69:4, 69:10, 69:21, 70:5, 70:9, 71:5, 71:13, 71:21, 71:25, 72:17, 73:2, 73:8, 73:18, 74:14, 75:2, 76:25, 77:23, 78:1, 78:3, 78:4, 78:8, 79:18, 80:3, 80:22, 81:8, 81:13, 81:15, 81:17, 81:21, 82:20, 82:21, 82:22, 82:25, 83:4, 83:9, 83:11, 85:16, 86:7, 86:8 Honor's [6] - 17:20, 20:23, 34:13, 42:10, 82:2, 82:16 Honorable [2] - 3:11, 4:2 HONORABLE [1] hope [2] - 7:8, 39:16 hopeful [4] - 32:3, 39:6, 54:16, 55:8 hoping [2] - 39:14, 61:19 host[1] - 8:23 hours [4] - 12:2, 30:23, 30:24, 59:22 house[1] - 58:19 Huahai [1] - 2:9 huge [2] - 66:15

18:17, 38:17, 52:13

Hui [2] - 35:20, 36:11

1

idea [4] - 16:15, 16:20, 42:24, 64:13 identification [1] -65:19 identified [14] - 10:21, 12:10, 13:6, 21:12, 22:5, 34:7, 51:4, 51:5, 52:21, 58:24. 60:13, 60:22, 62:16 identify [1] - 62:15 identifying [1] - 60:8 **II** [1] - 64:8 imagine [3] - 6:5, 76:6, 82:6 immigration[1] - 84:6 impacts [1] - 37:7 impediment[1] -14:25 imperative [1] - 40:17 imperfect [2] - 81:19, 81:24 implicating [1] - 18:16 **importance**[1] - 14:13 important [10] - 5:21, 8:12, 11:24, 17:12, 20:25, 22:6, 27:11, 40:24, 46:6, 65:6 impossible [2] -16:20, 30:9 impressive[1] - 28:8 impunity [1] - 36:22 impurity [4] - 8:12, 10:10, 18:12, 25:14 IN [1] - 1:4 inaccurate [4] - 56:6, 80:8, 81:6, 83:19 inappropriate [1] -56:5 Inc [4] - 2:13, 2:14, 2:20, 3:7 inception [1] - 8:11 inclined 151 - 7:23. 27:14, 33:5, 33:7, 41:23 include [3] - 43:9, 44:7, 78:20 included [1] - 59:20 includes [1] - 21:11 including [8] - 5:18, 12:2, 22:8, 33:15, 42:13, 53:9, 54:22, 65:10 incomplete [2] - 80:7, 83:19 incorporate[1] -56:21

India [4] - 47:3, 48:8,

51:16, 52:7 indicate [2] - 52:24, 56:13 indicated [2] - 63:4 indicating [1] - 5:23 indication [1] - 18:2 individual [1] - 4:16 individuals [1] - 34:7 indulge [1] - 7:25 indulgence [1] - 6:16 Industries [1] - 2:13 information [44] -5:11, 9:2, 11:15, 11:17, 11:19, 22:13, 22:18, 22:24, 23:17, 23:23, 26:17, 26:20, 27:12, 27:19, 29:18, 29:22, 29:23, 29:24, 29:25, 30:8, 38:23, 40:12, 42:7, 48:17, 52:5, 53:1, 55:10, 56:18, 56:21, 57:1, 57:20, 60:9, 60:15, 60:22, 72:24, 73:11, 74:16, 74:18, 75:7, 76:4, 76:18, 84:9, 85:25 ingested [1] - 47:3 inherent [1] - 82:21 inherently [1] - 83:16 initial [1] - 77:7 inspection [6] - 10:20, 10:25, 13:1, 72:1, 72:7, 73:22 inspections [1] -10:20 instances [3] - 79:25, 80:1, 82:8 instead [1] - 56:25 insupportable [1] -20:22 intend [5] - 4:19, 22:16, 23:8, 23:10 intended [2] - 17:19, 78:11 intends [3] - 6:4, 22:7, 22:12 intent [1] - 80:3 interest [1] - 59:21 interminably [1] -

27:22

74:16

34.1

23:10

47:25

interviewing [1] -

introduce [2] - 47:23,

inventory [1] - 68:4 investigation [4] -10:6, 10:11, 10:12, 16:12 invite [1] - 34:9 involved [15] - 8:13, 8:15, 9:23, 9:25, 10:7, 10:11, 10:13, 11:6, 11:10, 16:12, 21:23, 26:6, 30:10, 35:19, 56:21 involvement[12] -5:17, 10:19, 14:20, 16:6, 16:10, 18:16, 19:11, 21:17, 24:19, 26:7, 27:19, 29:19 involving [2] - 32:16, 44.5 irbesartan [6] - 22:3, 22:5, 25:13, 25:15, 27:24, 34:20 *irrelevant*[1] - 14:11 irrespective[1] -29:14 issue [91] - 4:17, 4:23, 6:12, 7:1, 7:13, 7:21, 7:22, 8:12, 13:16, 13:18, 14:8, 14:9, 14:12, 14:17, 15:3, 18:12, 18:18, 19:1, 19:22, 20:15, 20:16, 20:18, 22:22, 23:15, 25:8, 27:5, 27:10, 27:16, 28:9, 29:4, 29:9, 29:14, 30:3, 32:14, 32:17, 33:2, 33:20, 33:25, 35:9, 35:23, 36:6, 42:17, 42:20, 46:6, 46:7, 46:22. 51:17. 52:17. 53:22, 54:2, 54:11, 54:15, 56:1, 57:14, 58:15, 58:21, 59:9, 60:12, 61:3, 61:5, 61:21, 63:12, 63:15, 63:21, 64:1, 64:13, 64:22, 65:3, 65:25, 66:10, 66:19, 69:1, 71:4, 72:6, 73:1, 75:17, 75:25, 76:24, 77:4, 77:6, 77:10, 77:23, 77:24, 78:8. internal [2] - 73:11, 78:23, 79:1, 81:15, 85:13 interrupt [2] - 6:22, issued [1] - 22:8 issues [23] - 13:22, interview [1] - 23:8 14:18, 19:25, 21:13, 21:14, 21:15, 27:11,

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28192

50:5, 50:22, 51:16, 58:14, 65:18, 70:11, 70:15, 70:20, 81:25 item [6] - 37:25, 46:12, 46:18, 58:9, 69:17 items [3] - 37:15. 37:25, 40:16

J January [1] - 60:13

Jeff [1] - 69:10

JEFFREY[1] - 3:3

Jersey [3] - 1:8, 1:14,

JERSEY[1] - 1:1

2:23 JESSICA [2] - 2:6, 2:15 Jessica [2] - 6:19, 34:10 Jill [1] - 55:18 JILL [1] - 2:16 John [1] - 47:24 JOHN [1] - 2:18 Johnston [5] - 64:25, 68:1, 68:7, 69:22, 70:8 JOHNSTON [8] - 3:6. 64:24, 67:21, 68:8, 68:24, 69:25, 70:9, 71:13 joining [1] - 47:24 joint [1] - 75:6 JR [1] - 2:18 Jucai [1] - 19:13 judge [1] - 85:21 Judge [28] - 5:9, 6:6, 7:14, 9:5, 15:4, 15:8, 19:8, 20:7, 21:3, 25:2, 29:21, 42:1, 43:23, 64:4, 64:13, 64:19, 69:16, 77:8, 77:24, 78:17, 79:1, 79:13, 79:16, 85:19, 85:23, 85:24, 86:6 JUDGE [120] - 4:3, 4:5, 4:7, 6:11, 6:22, 7:11, 8:2, 8:20, 8:22, 9:1, 9:17, 15:6, 20:4, 23:1, 23:25, 24:6, 26:13, 27:14, 30:11, 30:18, 30:24, 31:1, 32:6, 32:14, 33:3, 34:1, 35:3, 35:15, 36:1, 37:6, 37:20, 37:24, 38:14, 38:24, 39:9, 39:18, 40:19, 40:23. 41:8. 41:15. 41:22, 42:16, 43:17, 43:25, 44:19, 46:11, 46:17, 47:17, 47:19,

48:2, 49:2, 49:7, 49:16, 49:20, 49:24, 50:7, 50:14, 50:20, 51:19, 52:11, 53:4, 53:17, 53:21, 54:10, 54:14, 55:15, 55:21, 56:9, 57:2, 57:15, 57:18, 58:6, 58:9, 59:8, 59:12, 60:25, 61:8, 61:17, 61:21, 62:19, 63:3, 63:11, 63:14, 64:20, 66:18, 66:22, 67:22, 68:15, 68:20, 68:25, 69:8, 69:15, 69:17, 70:1, 70:8, 70:21, 71:10, 71:12, 71:14, 71:24, 72:12, 72:25, 73:3, 73:17, 74:4, 75:1, 75:3, 76:2, 76:12, 77:1, 78:2, 78:7, 81:12, 82:19, 83:20, 85:15, 85:17, 85:24, 86:4, 86:9 judgment [4] - 72:22, 74:3, 84:18, 84:24 Judicial [1] - 3:11 July [7] - 5:24, 11:6, 27:23, 32:16, 34:6, 43:3, 71:1 jump [1] - 11:9 **Jun** [2] - 21:2, 21:3 June [6] - 10:8, 45:15, 45:17, 45:18, 46:5,

jurisdiction [1] - 36:17 Κ

64:9

KANNER[1] - 2:2 KATZ[1] - 1:13 keep [4] - 28:12, 41:22, 59:5, 75:20 keeps[1] - 25:23 Kelly [1] - 71:22 KELLY[1] - 2:6 key [3] - 21:13, 35:16, 84:8 kind [2] - 13:13, 38:3 **KIRTLAND**[1] - 1:16 knowledge [1] - 11:10 known [1] - 31:19 knows [6] - 19:17, 26:10, 32:3, 44:17, 44:18 Kong [5] - 23:14, 24:15, 33:5, 33:16, 35:7 Kugler [6] - 3:11, 64:13, 85:19, 85:23,

85:25, 86:6

31:11, 32:24, 32:25,

35:1, 38:5, 40:8,

L

Labs [1] - 2:24 Labs' [2] - 59:20, 59:24 laid [3] - 70:1, 70:10, language [2] - 83:2, large [2] - 14:4, 48:14 largely [1] - 75:23 larry [2] - 3:12, 85:18 Larry [1] - 8:20 Lasalle [1] - 1:20 last [31] - 4:8, 4:10, 5:22, 7:3, 9:4, 13:15, 20:20, 30:5, 31:2, 34:16, 35:9, 36:9, 46:25, 47:2, 47:14, 48:11, 48:24, 49:1, 51:9, 52:25, 53:6, 55:5, 56:14, 56:22, 56:23, 57:6, 58:23, 59:14, 60:11, 61:11, 63:5 late [2] - 55:4, 61:11 latest [1] - 61:18 latter [1] - 62:4 LAVELLE [2] - 2:18, 48:1 Lavelle [1] - 47:24 law [15] - 15:1, 29:4, 29:7, 35:20, 36:10, 36:11. 36:13. 36:16. 36:20, 36:24, 37:12, 73:10, 84:1 LAW[1] - 1:19 Law [1] - 3:11 learned [1] - 5:22 least [7] - 5:9, 5:24, 31:24, 62:6, 64:15, 67:10, 71:15 leave [4] - 64:11, 66:4, 66:5, 78:20 leaving [1] - 86:5 left [3] - 40:10, 60:11, 78:23 Lenovo [1] - 32:16 less [1] - 80:19 letter [28] - 4:20, 4:21, 7:16, 9:22, 14:20, 17:19, 20:20, 23:4, 24:2, 27:16, 32:20, 34:5, 37:16, 46:13, 51:5, 54:7, 54:19, 58:10, 58:13, 60:13, 65:23, 65:25, 68:3, 69:18, 70:2, 70:21, 74:8, 74:12 letters [8] - 4:10, 4:11, 9:7, 9:10, 19:24,

30:13, 62:17, 73:5 letting [1] - 53:14 level [1] - 17:13 levels [1] - 37:3 Lewis [1] - 47:25 LEWIS [1] - 2:18 **Li**[11] - 11:11, 18:10, 19:13, 24:17, 25:11, 25:18, 26:8, 31:17, 32:17, 34:17, 40:4 **Li's** [3] - 5:20, 32:17, 38:8 LIABILITY [1] - 1:4 light [1] - 77:5 likelihood [1] - 42:6 likely [5] - 14:4, 14:9, 14:10, 18:21, 27:7 limit [4] - 50:2, 50:3, 50:5, 50:12 Limited [1] - 48:13 limits [1] - 71:8 Lin [2] - 11:4, 19:14 Linda [1] - 19:14 line [1] - 82:4 lingering [2] - 69:5, 70:19 Linhai [2] - 14:1, 29:20 list [2] - 38:1, 53:6 listed [3] - 15:16, 18:4, 26:2 **litigating** [1] - 22:12 **LITIGATION** [1] - 1:4 litigation [12] - 9:9, 22:4, 24:9, 31:17, 36:14, 47:5, 65:13, 72:6, 72:11, 73:12, 75:8, 75:25 live [2] - 65:13, 70:5 LLC [6] - 1:13, 1:19, 2:2, 2:8, 2:13, 2:20 LLP [7] - 1:16, 2:5, 2:10, 2:18, 2:21, 3:2, local [2] - 50:12, 70:18 locate [1] - 18:9 LOCKARD [1] - 2:10 log [12] - 50:17, 51:2, 52:14, 52:18, 54:18, 54:25, 55:4, 55:5, 55:7, 56:15, 57:6, 57:9 logistically [1] - 30:9 logistics [4] - 29:13, 30:2, 36:12, 36:19 logs [1] - 55:16 look [8] - 20:23, 25:9, 26:3, 31:9, 53:21,

66:8, 68:20, 81:24

looked [8] - 17:1,

36:19, 50:12, 54:4, 62:17, 70:21, 76:12, 84:2

looking [7] - 25:13, 49:13, 51:10, 52:14, 58:2, 60:9

looks [2] - 24:18, 69:2

LORETTA [1] - 3:11

LORI [1] - 3:7

losartan [1] - 16:3

lost [1] - 40:18

Louisiana [1] - 2:3

lower [1] - 15:20

Ltd [2] - 2:9, 2:13

luckily [1] - 31:22

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28193

М

machine [4] - 77:11, 80:23, 81:24, 82:1 macStravic [1] - 8:18 MacStravic [2] - 3:12, 85:19 Maggie [5] - 23:13, 24:15, 33:5, 33:16, 35:7 main [1] - 24:17 maintained [1] - 73:9 majority [2] - 14:10, 66:3 man [1] - 20:2 management[5] -10:4, 10:5, 65:5, 66:10, 68:4 manager [2] - 8:9, 13:2 managers [1] - 14:22 manual [1] - 80:15 manufacture [2] -8:13, 72:4 manufacturer [1] -66:13 manufacturers [1] -67:8 manufacturers' [1] -66:25 manufactures [1] - 8:7 manufacturing [4] -11:8, 21:14, 72:9, 73:22 March [1] - 47:7 market[4] - 15:21, 16:5, 19:3, 19:5 Market[1] - 2:19 marketing [1] - 10:15 Marlene [6] - 47:20, 52:3, 53:6, 53:13, 55:17, 69:21 MARLENE [1] - 1:19 massive[1] - 19:2

MASTER [1] - 1:10 Master [1] - 4:2 master[1] - 64:11 material [12] - 21:16, 21:20, 22:18, 22:19, 23:18, 23:19, 23:21, 23:23, 25:8, 27:10, 27:19, 74:18 materiality [1] - 24:14 materially [4] - 9:23, 9:25, 11:20, 13:5 matter [19] - 5:7, 5:21, 10:24, 22:4, 27:22, 28:10, 28:12, 28:13, 29:7, 29:17, 45:4, 67:16, 76:6, 77:22, 79:19, 82:20, 83:23, 84:24, 86:16 matters [6] - 4:21, 5:12, 5:18, 32:16, 32:18, 34:3 **MAZIE** [1] - 1:13 mean [10] - 11:19, 30:5, 30:20, 31:5, 41:22, 49:24, 53:10, 62:9, 62:11, 66:14 meaning [1] - 82:9 meaningless [1] -80:7 means [5] - 14:3, 45:10, 79:3, 82:7 meantime [3] - 32:11, 45:18, 55:12 mechanical [1] - 1:24 meet [7] - 18:8, 18:20, 49:4, 50:13, 50:14, 52:15, 75:14 meeting [3] - 10:23, 55:17 meetings [5] - 5:19, 11:18, 16:16, 16:18, 26:10 member [2] - 13:25, 76:7 memo [3] - 13:8, 13:9, 13:10 memorandum [1] -13:2 Memorial [1] - 42:21 memorialized [1] -40:1 mention [4] - 12:7, 12:8, 12:21, 64:4 mentioned [2] - 12:17, 12:24 mentioning [1] - 21:12 Meridan [2] - 57:14, 58:2 merit [1] - 66:11 **meritorious** [1] - 44:5

metadata [2] - 27:25, 61:6 mid [1] - 47:7 mid-March [1] - 47:7 might[1] - 51:17 Min [10] - 5:20, 11:11, 19:13, 24:17, 25:11, 26:8, 31:17, 32:17, 38:8, 40:4 mind [1] - 44:17 minimum [5] - 7:13, 7:20, 13:14, 15:2, 27:8 **Minneapolis** [1] - 1:20 Minnesota [1] - 1:20 minuscule [1] - 12:18 minutes [2] - 6:16, 7:25 mischaracterizing [1] - 21:25 misrepresented [1] -82:9 missed [2] - 34:14, 85:14 misses [1] - 31:25 missing [2] - 32:18, 74:4 misunderstanding [1] - 40:25 Mitchell [1] - 1:7 modified [3] - 38:3, 38:5, 38:12 moment [2] - 31:12, 83:16 Monday [8] - 28:6, 28:22, 30:16, 35:18, 36:4, 36:5, 42:21, 44:2 month [4] - 17:4, 20:2, 24:22, 42:13 months [3] - 18:13, 34:18, 48:24 MORGAN [1] - 2:18 Morgan [1] - 47:25 morning [26] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:6, 4:7, 46:16, 47:18, 47:19, 47:24, 48:1, 48:2, 53:12, 53:13, 55:22, 55:25, 56:13, 58:17, 59:10, 59:12, 60:8, 60:19, 61:9, 61:19, 63:23, 64:24, 69:10, 71:25 MORRIS [1] - 2:5 most [8] - 4:13, 12:5, 26:15, 42:14, 57:9, 59:16, 65:23, 74:20 mostly [1] - 61:4 motion [33] - 4:12, 4:17, 4:18, 6:5, 20:9,

28:5, 42:19, 44:25, 45:8, 45:13, 45:15, 45:19, 45:25, 46:20, 46:25, 47:22, 49:3, 49:8, 49:14, 52:10, 57:22, 64:11, 69:18, 70:15, 71:15, 71:17, 74:8, 74:12, 77:3, 82:5, 82:17, 83:22, 85:9 motions [1] - 70:23 move [16] - 6:4, 17:6, 25:3, 28:2, 28:10, 28:13, 29:7, 29:9, 30:21, 41:24, 42:23, 45:6, 50:18, 54:11, 70:7, 76:24 moved [1] - 68:17 moving [2] - 45:4, 69.2 MR [80] - 4:4, 5:15, 6:13, 7:9, 7:12, 8:3, 8:23, 8:25, 9:2, 9:19, 15:8, 20:5, 23:6, 24:5, 24:8, 26:14, 29:11, 29:12, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 34:9, 35:14, 35:16, 36:3, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 41:17, 42:1, 42:17, 43:4, 43:13, 43:16, 43:18, 44:1, 44:9, 44:13, 44:14, 46:8, 46:9, 48:1, 58:16, 59:10, 59:13, 61:4, 61:9, 61:18, 61:23, 62:20, 63:4, 63:13, 63:23, 66:20, 67:25, 68:19, 68:23, 69:4, 69:10, 69:16, 71:21, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 77:23, 78:3, 78:8, 81:13, 82:20, 85:12, 85:16, 86:7, MS [55] - 4:6, 35:4, 37:19, 37:21, 38:2, 38:12, 38:16, 39:12, 40:20, 41:7, 46:16, 46:18, 47:18, 47:20, 48:3, 49:6, 49:12, 49:18, 49:21, 50:4, 50:10, 50:18, 50:21, 51:20, 52:12, 53:5, 53:18, 53:23, 54:12, 54:16, 55:17, 55:22, 56:11, 57:4, 57:17, 58:5, 58:8, 64:24, 67:21, 68:8, 68:24,

69:21, 69:25, 70:4, 70:9, 71:5, 71:11, 71:13, 71:25, 72:17, 73:18, 75:2, 75:4, 76:11, 76:25 multiple [2] - 7:2, 81:15 multiplier [1] - 12:3 mute [1] - 5:1

Ν

nail [2] - 30:16, 31:6 Nakul [1] - 61:9 NAKUL [1] - 2:22 name [3] - 21:2, 23:13, 47:24 named [1] - 54:22 narrow[2] - 58:14, 63:25 National [1] - 13:24 national [2] - 35:10, 35:21 nature [2] - 74:17, 77:14 **NDA** [1] - 10:10 NDMA [3] - 5:23, 25:17, 25:19 NE [1] - 2:12 near [1] - 45:15 nearly [1] - 25:21 necessarily [2] - 75:8, 84:19 necessary [4] - 7:19, 22:24, 24:21, 44:7 need [38] - 5:2, 14:18, 17:5, 19:18, 19:25, 26:4, 26:5, 26:8, 28:1, 28:8, 28:10, 28:13, 29:19, 29:22, 29:23, 29:25, 30:8, 33:19, 36:5, 36:16, 36:17, 37:24, 39:23, 39:24, 43:13, 44:11, 50:1, 53:2, 54:6, 64:3, 64:7, 64:18, 69:2, 71:7, 85:7, 85:10, 85:23, 85:24 needed [2] - 22:24, 55:10 needing [1] - 16:2 needs [5] - 26:22, 29:19, 36:13, 58:11, 83:4 negotiate [12] - 63:17,

63:18, 64:7, 65:17,

66:7, 66:15, 66:23,

67:6, 67:18, 67:19,

negotiated [1] - 75:5

negotiating [1] - 64:15

67:22, 69:12

negotiation [3] -22:15, 67:4, 67:15 negotiations [1] - 65:5 net [1] - 17:22 never [9] - 10:6, 10:11, 10:13, 11:13, 17:19, 20:7, 20:11, 57:17, 83:23 new [8] - 23:9, 23:12, 24:9, 24:10, 35:5, 56:15, 81:16, 82:2 NEW [1] - 1:1 New [4] - 1:8, 1:14, 2:3, 2:23 next [27] - 11:21, 16:25, 17:11, 28:6, 28:21, 28:22, 29:10, 32:14, 33:20, 36:3, 39:8, 39:10, 39:15, 39:17, 43:7, 46:12, 52:9, 54:7, 58:9, 59:18, 63:15, 64:16, 68:6, 68:9, 68:12, 69:1, 69:17 night [9] - 42:21, 47:2, 48:11, 49:1, 51:9, 55:5, 56:14, 56:23, 57:6 nine [2] - 12:1, 30:7 nitrite [1] - 25:18 nitrosamine [2] -25:14, 34:21 nobody [1] - 82:12 nobody's [1] - 18:6 noncustodial [2] -50:25, 51:13 none [4] - 12:8, 12:22, 19:12, 84:3 nonetheless [1] -60:20 nonresponsive [3] -61:1, 61:3, 61:12 normal [1] - 14:21 note [4] - 13:1, 46:21, 56:6, 57:6 noted [3] - 59:24, 62:22, 83:1 notes [5] - 5:19, 12:25, 16:11, 16:16,

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28194

54:22, 86:5 **Number**[8] - 11:9, 25:1, 37:22, 38:6, 66:12, 66:24, 86:3 **NUMBER**[1] - 1:3 **numerous**[5] - 51:5, 51:6, 62:23, 72:4, 79:24

0

object [3] - 9:11, 29:3, 36:7 objecting [1] - 35:6 objection [1] - 81:23 obtain [2] - 6:9, 76:7 obtained [3] - 72:15, 76:19, 81:23 obviously [10] - 5:21, 9:11, 24:8, 30:21, 31:9, 36:7, 39:4, 58:2, 72:19, 75:20 occurred [2] - 62:4, 74:19 October [3] - 20:14, 20:17, 64:9 **OF**[1] - 1:1 off-point[1] - 16:24 offered [1] - 47:8 office [3] - 35:24, 36:5, 38:20 Official [1] - 1:22 official [9] - 10:22, 13:20, 18:22, 19:6, 21:1, 21:6, 21:11, 42:14 officials [1] - 18:25 offline [1] - 54:3 often [1] - 73:19 Ohio [1] - 3:4 once [6] - 10:16, 38:18, 38:20, 40:5, 53:16 one [42] - 10:1, 11:12, 12:15, 12:16, 12:25, 13:2, 15:9, 15:14, 15:19, 15:25, 17:3, 19:17, 19:20, 23:12, 25:1, 25:5, 26:10, 26:22, 29:10, 31:17, 31:25, 33:10, 34:13, 35:9, 47:6, 51:18, 53:24, 55:6, 55:12, 61:23, 61:25, 62:1, 62:3, 62:9, 62:22, 63:19, 71:15, 77:6, 79:15. 81:18. 84:17 ones [4] - 33:8, 33:15, 36:14, 57:8 ongoing [2] - 58:22,

open [4] - 39:14, 40:16, 78:4 opening [2] - 10:23, 67:16 operating [4] - 52:22, 53:7. 53:8. 53:20 operations [2] - 10:15, 72:9 opportunity [14] -7:24, 27:16, 28:3, 28:18, 29:2, 43:5, 60:2, 60:3, 63:7, 63:8, 70:19, 82:25, 83:2, 83:3 opposition [2] - 6:4, 81:21 Oracle [1] - 51:23 oral [1] - 46:19 order [40] - 4:18, 4:21, 6:6, 17:3, 20:9, 25:3, 25:4, 27:14, 28:11, 28:23, 30:6, 41:24, 42:4, 42:8, 42:25, 43:9, 44:4, 44:11, 45:1, 45:20, 45:25, 47:6, 53:3, 71:4, 75:5, 75:6, 77:3, 77:16, 78:9, 78:18, 78:20, 80:22, 82:2, 82:3, 82:5, 83:10, 83:12, 83:22, 84:3, 85:9 ordered [12] - 7:14, 20:11, 26:15, 26:16, 33:16, 43:24, 48:23, 51:12, 51:14, 52:20, 54:8, 80:6 ordering [1] - 15:4 orders [1] - 70:16 ordinary [1] - 46:1 organization [1] -19:16 organizational [1] -12:24 original [2] - 59:20, 60:19 **Orleans** [1] - 2:3 otherwise [2] - 27:21, 28:19 ourselves [2] - 66:6, 66:17 outside[1] - 58:19 outstanding [2] -52:4, 69:14 overall [1] - 65:5 overlays [1] - 61:6 overnight [4] - 59:16, 59:22. 61:24 oversee [1] - 10:15

overseeing [1] - 27:10

16:17

85:16

nothing [8] - 21:19,

44:22, 46:8, 46:9,

58:3, 58:8, 81:16,

Novartis [1] - 18:13

November [1] - 78:6

number [12] - 9:21,

10:1, 13:21, 17:3,

19:20, 25:5, 25:6,

48:16, 51:18, 54:4,

59:4

oversees [1] - 8:9 overview [1] - 73:23 own [3] - 43:14, 51:3, 64:10

P

P.C[1] - 2:15 p.m[1] - 86:10 Pacific [1] - 1:17 PACKARD[1] - 1:16 page [7] - 40:21, 50:2, 50:3, 50:5, 50:12, 70:18, 71:8 Page [9] - 23:4, 24:2, 32:19, 34:4, 34:8, 37:16, 78:3, 78:4, pages [5] - 47:2, 70:18, 70:25, 71:1, paper [1] - 49:25 papers [3] - 64:3, 65:2, 70:10 **Paragraph** [1] - 37:18 paramount[1] - 14:12 PAREKH [4] - 1:16. 58:16, 61:23, 63:13 Parekh [4] - 54:1, 58:17, 61:11, 63:12 Park[1] - 3:6 Parkway [2] - 1:14, 2:16 part [10] - 15:10, 31:2, 58:23, 62:4, 74:11, 74:12, 75:8, 76:6, 76:21, 76:22 participant's [1] - 8:24 particular [3] - 4:17, 9:22, 67:15 particularly [3] -62:12, 65:17, 84:6 parties [5] - 34:6, 56:20, 64:2, 75:6, 78:12 parties' [5] - 72:3. 72:8, 72:10, 73:22, 75:6 parts [4] - 71:18, 72:14, 72:18, 74:6 party [9] - 11:25, 13:7, 43:5, 44:4, 77:16, 79:14, 83:24, 84:11, 84:21 pass [2] - 61:6, 71:22 past[1] - 52:22 patently [1] - 13:14 Pedano [2] - 1:22, 86:18 peel [1] - 74:14 penalized [1] - 30:4

Peng [1] - 32:18 Pennsylvania [3] -2:7, 2:17, 2:19 people [6] - 5:20. 17:13, 19:12, 19:13, 21:23. 24:11 People's [3] - 13:20, 13:24, 14:1 percent[2] - 12:2, 54:25 percentages [1] -12:18 perhaps [1] - 33:1 peril [1] - 84:14 **period** [1] - 70:12 permit[1] - 81:4 permitted [2] - 70:18, 81:1 person [3] - 16:9, 18:2, 26:10 perspective [2] - 9:6, 61:22 persuaded [2] - 27:20, 67:5 persuasive [3] - 21:8, 28:16, 28:17 pertain [1] - 14:9 pertaining [1] - 23:12 pertains [1] - 22:20 pertinent[1] - 26:25 Pharma [4] - 2:14, 2:20, 2:20, 48:13 Pharmaceutical [1] -2:13 Pharmaceuticals [3] -2:8, 2:9, 2:13 pharmacies [10] -64:25, 65:6, 65:11, 65:13, 65:21, 66:1, 66:6, 67:2, 67:3, 68:13 Pharmacy [1] - 3:7 pharmacy [2] - 70:16, 70:24 Phase [1] - 64:8 philadelphia [1] - 2:19 Philadelphia [1] - 2:7 phone [1] - 52:3 physically [3] - 35:11, 39:13, 39:14 picking [1] - 86:6 piece [1] - 55:1 piecemeal [4] - 56:20, 56:25, 57:3, 57:21 Piedmont[1] - 2:12 place [3] - 21:3, 66:11, 74:2

plaintiff [3] - 46:9,

plaintiffs [61] - 9:20,

58:8, 85:13

9:21, 10:1, 10:8, 14:24, 20:15, 21:25, 22:1, 22:7, 22:13, 23:3, 23:13, 23:15, 23:17, 26:17, 27:7, 30:3, 34:11, 34:17, 35:6, 37:10, 43:11, 46:22, 47:16, 48:7, 48:25, 52:2, 56:3, 59:21, 60:12, 60:18, 60:24, 61:13, 63:22, 63:24, 65:6, 66:2, 66:4, 68:14, 70:20, 71:8, 72:18, 72:20, 73:1, 73:24, 73:25, 75:10, 75:13, 78:9, 78:19, 78:25, 79:2, 79:3. 79:22. 80:3. 80:15, 80:19, 80:21, 83:9. 84:14. 85:12 Plaintiffs [4] - 1:15. 1:18, 1:21, 2:4 plaintiffs' [19] - 4:21, 4:23, 9:6, 14:20, 21:4, 34:5, 42:20, 46:12, 46:14, 47:22, 58:10, 60:2, 60:16, 61:22, 71:1, 76:1, 78:16, 80:1, 84:12 **planning** [1] - 10:5 plays [2] - 27:25, 29:2 pleadings [4] - 67:9, 67:10, 67:14, 70:13 point [31] - 11:10, 11:21, 16:24, 17:11, 18:17, 20:25, 21:8, 25:25, 32:24, 35:17, 36:19, 37:5, 38:10, 39:2, 39:8, 39:12, 43:1, 43:2, 44:20, 44:23, 47:6, 50:21, 67:15, 67:23, 68:22, 70:13, 74:15, 75:20, 78:25, 83:15 Point [4] - 12:25, 15:16, 16:8, 16:21 points [3] - 7:17, 20:6, 77:23 pole [1] - 58:19 policies [6] - 52:21, 53:5, 53:9, 53:18, 54:4, 68:3 policy [4] - 52:24, 53:12, 53:17, 53:19 portion [3] - 75:9, 75:11, 75:14 position [11] - 40:14, 51:10, 55:9, 65:17, 66:9, 66:11, 70:10,

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28195

83:6 position's [2] - 39:3, 70:4 positions [3] - 41:1, 65:22, 70:2 possible [5] - 29:8, 30:2, 48:22, 53:16, 57:5 possibly [1] - 43:7 postpone [3] - 28:20, 45:11, 45:12 postponement[1] -28:11 potential [2] - 14:14, 35.8 power [1] - 54:2 Power [4] - 12:25, 15:16, 16:7, 16:21 practice [2] - 14:22, 83:1 pre[1] - 79:8 pre-deposition [1] -79.8 preclude [3] - 7:5, 43:5, 45:2 precluded [1] - 20:11 predicament[1] - 66:7 preference[1] - 68:5 **prejudging** [1] - 6:7 prejudice [5] - 40:5, 43:11, 46:23, 59:19, 60:6 prejudicing [1] - 59:5 preliminary [1] - 35:8 premature [3] - 14:18, 22:23, 27:17 prep[1] - 79:6 prepare [2] - 45:23, 82:14 prepared [4] - 55:19, 69:19, 69:20, 79:5 preparing [2] - 61:14, 82:13 present [2] - 78:9. 80:3 PRESENT [1] - 3:10 presentation [7] -9:10, 9:18, 28:4, 28:8, 28:15, 28:16, 45:2 presented [10] -15:19, 27:18, 74:11, 76:8, 76:13, 76:22, 80:25, 82:10, 84:23, 84:25 presently [1] - 61:14 presents [2] - 13:16, 83:10 preservation [1] -52:20

president [1] - 14:1 pressure [1] - 39:5 presumably [1] -65:10 presuming [1] - 76:18 presumption [1] -76:14 presumptively [1] -74:20 pretty [2] - 66:6, 70:4 prevent[2] - 17:6, 44:4 previously [3] - 5:10, 54:9, 61:12 price [1] - 19:4 prices [2] - 16:2, 16:4 primarily [1] - 73:5 Princeton [1] - 2:23 Prinston [1] - 2:8 PRISELAC [10] - 2:6, 35:4, 37:19, 37:21, 38:2, 38:12, 38:16, 39:12, 40:20, 41:7 Priselac [6] - 6:19, 34:10, 35:1, 35:16, 37:16, 40:19 privilege [9] - 50:16, 52:18, 54:11, 54:15, 54:18, 55:4, 55:16, 56:15, 57:22 **pro**[1] - 36:18 probative [2] - 15:15, 16:24 problem [14] - 9:13, 16:3, 18:25, 44:25, 47:15, 50:25, 55:15, 55:23, 56:19, 60:23, 62:9, 63:10, 78:21, 84:20 problematic [1] -54:24 **problems** [1] - 81:5 procedures [6] -52:22, 52:23, 53:7, 53:9, 53:10, 53:20 proceed [3] - 5:5, 8:2, 84:14 proceeded [1] - 62:25 proceedings [2] -86:10, 86:16 PROCEEDINGS [1] -4.1 Proceedings [1] -1:24 process [13] - 10:3, 11:10, 15:18, 15:23, 16:9, 21:15, 25:16, 29:23, 48:15, 79:19, 79:23, 83:23, 84:20 processed [1] - 48:15

72:23, 73:20, 73:21,

processing [2] - 26:9, 48:18 procurable [1] - 44:20 procure [1] - 59:23 produce [15] - 18:7, 19:24, 22:16, 24:13, 30:8, 33:7, 33:24, 47:7, 47:9, 48:24, 53:14, 61:13, 61:24, 63:6, 67:13 produced [44] - 1:25, 12:4, 14:24, 17:18, 21:2, 21:10, 21:21, 22:14, 22:25, 24:4, 24:16, 25:24, 27:4, 27:22, 28:24, 30:1, 33:8, 33:23, 34:19, 40:2, 48:6, 48:14, 48:25, 51:1, 51:12, 51:22, 51:23, 51:24, 52:2, 53:8, 53:20, 56:22, 57:21, 61:15, 61:25, 67:11, 73:19, 74:23, 75:4, 76:3, 76:15 producing [3] - 29:13, 56:12, 56:19 product [6] - 19:2, 57:23, 78:17, 78:19, 79:4, 79:7 Production [1] - 66:24 production [59] - 5:10, 12:19, 13:11, 13:13, 14:15, 14:17, 15:5, 17:24, 18:16, 18:19, 19:7, 20:12, 23:2, 23:11, 24:15, 25:7, 25:16, 26:3, 26:14, 26:16, 26:20, 26:23, 27:8, 27:15, 28:5, 28:23, 29:3, 29:14, 29:18, 31:11, 31:18, 31:20, 33:2, 34:6, 34:15, 34:23, 35:7, 38:15, 38:22, 48:7, 48:11, 50:24, 51:2, 52:14, 53:5, 56:14, 56:15, 56:22, 56:23, 61:14, 63:17, 63:19, 65:8, 65:9, 65:12, 67:11 productions [12] - 9:9, 18:20, 24:12, 24:23, 26:5, 29:16, 48:3, 52:2, 59:20, 59:25, 60:24, 63:1 **PRODUCTS** [1] - 1:4 products [3] - 8:8, 72:4, 72:5 profits [1] - 19:2

projections [1] - 39:2 prompt[1] - 28:9 promptly [2] - 39:7, 54:21 proportionality [1] -65:19 proposal [2] - 64:10, 68:11 propose [3] - 69:6, 75:16. 83:14 proposed [4] - 49:3, 70:12, 70:22, 78:11 proprietary [1] - 76:17 protect [1] - 75:16 protecting [1] - 76:17 protection [3] - 79:20, 79:23, 83:23 protective [18] - 4:18, 20:9, 25:3, 41:24, 42:4, 42:8, 42:25, 43:9, 44:25, 45:20, 45:25, 75:6, 77:3, 77:16, 82:5, 83:22, 84:3, 85:9 protocol [2] - 5:1, 53:15 proven [1] - 25:8 provide [19] - 7:20, 11:16, 26:19, 35:22, 48:17, 48:19, 52:8, 52:20, 55:6, 56:18, 57:1, 59:16, 60:14, 61:19, 62:21, 73:23, 80:6, 80:15, 83:24 provided [11] - 9:24, 12:1, 22:23, 51:22, 52:8, 67:1, 67:2, 74:7, 79:24, 84:5, 85:3 providing [4] - 23:17, 42:6, 55:16, 55:23 **provision** [1] - 78:21 public [7] - 73:13, 74:20, 75:13, 75:19, 75:22, 76:7, 76:19 **publicly** [1] - 75:15 pull [2] - 17:23, 77:15 pulled [1] - 80:4 pulling [2] - 15:10, 22:13 punt [1] - 55:11 purchase[1] - 67:8 pursuant[3] - 75:4, 76:3, 76:15 **pursue**[1] - 32:15 pursued [1] - 65:21 push [2] - 37:2, 43:1 **pushing** [1] - 15:20 put[10] - 6:17, 12:6, 16:7, 16:20, 20:8,

54:6, 64:2, 64:3, 65:2, 65:17 putting [2] - 65:4, 79:2

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28196

Q

quality [4] - 10:3. 11:12. 16:9. 21:14 quench [1] - 25:18 questioned [1] - 83:7 questioning [2] -43:20, 83:25 questions [10] - 4:11, 4:14, 4:19, 42:15, 44:21, 64:19, 64:20, 77:17, 82:24, 84:15 quick[2] - 47:23, 48:4 quickly [6] - 30:2, 30:22, 40:7, 48:21, 53:16, 57:5 quite [2] - 5:11, 77:1 quote [2] - 15:16, 16:11 quoted [1] - 16:14

R

raise [3] - 20:16, 43:24, 52:17 raised [2] - 50:22, 70:20 raising [1] - 44:5 ranking [5] - 10:22, 21:1, 21:5, 21:6, 21:11 rather[1] - 46:23 re[1] - 23:8 RE[1] - 1:4 re-interview [1] - 23:8 reach [2] - 68:12, 75:17 reached [2] - 36:20, 61:3 read [11] - 79:17, 79:23, 80:10, 80:11, 80:14, 80:25, 81:1, 81:10, 81:11, 83:11, 83:17 readiness [1] - 72:3 reads [1] - 44:17 ready [2] - 58:3 real [5] - 9:13, 27:9, 44:5, 47:23, 58:21 reality [1] - 11:14 realized [1] - 42:22 really [12] - 5:16, 11:21, 21:3, 21:8, 26:7, 28:2, 46:22, 53:25, 56:16, 73:15, 74:21, 79:16 reason [7] - 9:15,

19:3, 22:16, 32:8, 64:14, 73:9, 74:23 reasonable [2] -33:10, 40:6 reasoning [1] - 19:10 receive [2] - 22:20, 60:7 received [11] - 12:16, 12:17, 18:2, 18:3, 47:1, 48:5, 48:12, 53:12, 53:16, 58:13, 62:3 recently [1] - 55:24 recipient [1] - 13:9 recipients [1] - 26:1 recognized [3] -15:11, 73:12 record [20] - 6:8, 6:9, 7:2, 8:14, 14:21, 15:13, 17:5, 17:7, 19:10, 36:23, 37:14, 44:16, 76:6, 76:19, 76:21, 76:23, 81:8, 82:10, 84:14, 86:16 recorded [1] - 1:24 records [1] - 76:14 redact [2] - 73:20. 73:24 redacted [4] - 73:6, 73:20, 74:2, 76:3 redactions [2] - 74:15, 75:16 Redondo [1] - 1:17 reference [2] - 23:2, 34:20 references [1] - 68:2 referencing [1] - 51:9 referred[2] - 13:8, 34:15 refers [1] - 22:2 reflect [1] - 15:23 refrain [1] - 77:17 refuse [1] - 85:2 refute [1] - 16:8 refutes [1] - 16:22 regarded [1] - 61:2 regarding [2] - 61:11, 66:14 regardless [1] - 45:7 regularly [1] - 10:14 regulatory [3] - 11:1, 17:14, 57:11 reiterate [2] - 5:16, 64:3 reject[1] - 19:21 rejected [1] - 19:8 related [1] - 61:5 relating [2] - 22:5, 66:25

relevant [6] - 5:18,

13:5, 60:23, 63:1, 63:9, 75:25 reliable [1] - 84:19 relied [1] - 14:24 rely [2] - 36:23, 80:2 relying [1] - 36:16 remain [2] - 41:13, 54:8 remaining [4] - 48:13, 57:8, 58:14, 62:8 remains [1] - 40:15 remember[3] - 29:21, 51:25, 52:18 remembered[1] -35:18 remind [1] - 64:8 reminded [1] - 35:16 **REMOTE**[1] - 1:6 remotely [1] - 4:1 remove[1] - 29:25 renamed [1] - 17:21 renewed [5] - 20:18, 20:19, 20:21, 30:6 reopen [1] - 35:13 repeatedly [1] - 73:11 repeating [1] - 28:14 reply [6] - 30:15, 30:21, 49:11, 49:23, 50:11, 71:1 report [10] - 10:13, 11:16, 18:5, 18:10, 38:21, 41:3, 62:3, 68:21, 74:10, 74:11 **Report** [1] - 54:23 reported [2] - 11:15, 27:11 Reporter [1] - 1:22 reporter [1] - 5:4 Reporter/ Transcriber [1] -86:18 reporting [1] - 39:9 reports [13] - 10:20, 13:1, 39:7, 43:2, 62:3, 70:7, 71:18, 72:1, 72:2, 72:7, 72:14, 73:22, 74:7 representations [1] -67:1 representatives [1] -62:24 **represents**[1] - 75:6 **reproduce**[1] - 63:9 Republic [1] - 13:20 request [45] - 4:23, 5:6, 5:12, 5:13, 6:15, 7:15, 7:16, 7:20, 8:4, 19:20, 19:21, 19:23, 20:21, 20:22, 20:24, 23:14, 27:5, 30:4,

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28197

Page 99 of 102

20.5 20.7 22.24		04.04 02.02	a a warmah liman ku CO.O	47.00 67.44
30:5, 30:7, 33:21,	respectfully [2] - 70:6,	81:21, 83:23	scrambling [1] - 68:9	47:20, 67:14
36:8, 41:18, 46:14,	80:24	rules [6] - 14:12,	screen [4] - 6:18,	Seth [1] - 71:21
50:15, 56:3, 56:4,	respond [9] - 28:6,	14:14, 50:12, 70:18,	8:19, 8:24, 71:23	SETH [1] - 2:5
66:12, 66:15, 67:4,	28:7, 36:6, 37:7,	76:17, 76:18	seal [6] - 4:12, 24:20,	setting [2] - 60:14,
67:7, 72:15, 72:19,	37:10, 50:8, 52:6,	Rules [1] - 81:4	71:15, 71:17, 76:8,	66:9
72:20, 73:25, 74:1,	60:16, 66:20	ruling [15] - 5:9, 24:3,	76:15	setup [1] - 35:8
76:3, 76:16, 76:20,	response [13] - 20:6,	28:9, 57:13, 57:15,	sealed [5] - 71:20,	seven [3] - 9:22,
77:15, 77:20, 80:9,	26:4, 30:11, 46:21,	58:3, 64:14, 70:5,	72:15, 75:20, 76:5,	12:21, 63:18
80:24, 84:3	47:21, 49:3, 49:8,	76:10, 76:23, 79:16,	76:21	several [1] - 77:6
requested [8] - 23:9,	49:19, 50:2, 52:10,	81:22, 82:6, 82:16,	sealing [1] - 74:24	SHAH [3] - 2:22, 61:9,
27:8, 33:15, 52:25,	60:14, 70:24	85:6	search [7] - 33:21,	61:18
59:2, 59:15, 60:1,	responsive [4] - 48:6,	rulings [3] - 54:17,	33:25, 34:19, 37:17,	Shah [2] - 61:4, 61:10
60:18	48:25, 61:13, 62:1	55:9, 58:2	37:23, 51:13, 62:21	shah [1] - 61:7
requesting [3] - 33:14,	rest [3] - 15:14, 38:5,	run [4] - 33:22, 37:17,	searches [1] - 67:17	Shanghai [1] - 29:20
61:13, 75:21	40:8	52:22	searching [1] - 51:16	share [9] - 6:18, 7:17,
Requests [2] - 63:20,	restarting [1] - 35:12	running [1] - 26:9	second [10] - 6:22,	8:16, 8:19, 8:24,
66:24	result [1] - 18:5	runs [1] - 15:24	20:12, 20:25, 21:5,	15:21, 16:5, 19:3,
requests [18] - 22:8,	results [1] - 14:13		21:11, 27:3, 34:1,	19:5
22:12, 23:4, 24:1,	Retailer [1] - 3:7	S	42:14, 53:2, 80:17	shared [6] - 48:12,
37:3, 37:4, 60:16,	retailer [5] - 63:15,		second-highest [1] -	51:3, 51:4, 51:15,
63:17, 63:19, 64:6,	64:10, 64:23, 67:6,	saga [1] - 58:22	42:14	51:21, 52:7
65:8, 65:9, 65:12,	71:6	salient [2] - 7:17,	secret[12] - 13:22,	shocked [1] - 62:25
65:20, 66:8, 66:16,	retailers [3] - 64:7,	78:25	14:5, 14:7, 14:11,	shorter [1] - 70:12
67:19, 74:18	67:2, 69:6	sanctions [2] - 46:14,	14:14, 19:1, 29:1,	show [12] - 8:1, 8:17,
require [6] - 5:9,	retained [1] - 82:11	47:22	29:4, 29:7, 29:14,	8:19, 9:24, 11:20,
29:21, 67:6, 67:15,		sanitized [1] - 31:19	29:24	
77:8, 84:25	retention [1] - 53:11	Sarah [2] - 64:24,		12:6, 13:15, 21:13,
required [6] - 7:14,	reveal _[2] - 78:16,	69:22	secrets [1] - 29:24	26:8, 44:21, 80:9,
10:22, 13:18, 26:24,	78:19	SARAH [1] - 3:6	see [24] - 4:5, 5:25,	83:17
80:20, 83:24	reversal [1] - 77:12	sat [1] - 30:3	7:22, 9:3, 12:18,	showed [2] - 80:18,
	reversing [1] - 84:18	satisfy [1] - 42:3	14:19, 17:7, 20:23,	80:19
requirement[1] - 84:4	review [10] - 7:8,	satisfying [1] - 73:16	24:3, 24:23, 25:24,	showing [5] - 7:6,
requires [3] - 7:22,	23:11, 29:23, 29:25,	saw [3] - 17:24, 51:8,	25:25, 32:18, 36:21,	16:23, 24:24, 25:2,
29:18, 65:20	48:17, 59:23, 60:10,	54:19	40:5, 44:16, 44:18,	83:18
requiring [2] - 44:25,	72:21, 82:25, 83:2	Scan [1] - 51:25	44:24, 45:24, 52:12,	shown [6] - 11:23,
47:7	reviewed [6] - 34:18,	scanned [1] - 51:7	58:1, 68:12, 74:1,	12:3, 21:16, 83:14,
reschedule [1] - 27:7	48:5, 48:8, 48:10,	schedule [15] - 4:8,	84:20	84:15, 84:19
rescheduled [1] -	48:13, 48:24	7:15, 20:1, 20:9,	seek [1] - 65:9	shows [3] - 17:17,
26:19	RFP [1] - 66:24	43:4, 44:3, 45:1,	seeking [3] - 22:14,	19:11, 20:21
rescheduling [3] -	right-hand [1] - 16:21	45:19, 46:1, 49:14,	66:2, 74:10	shrinking [1] - 66:1
26:21, 41:18, 43:8	rights [1] - 38:10	65:5, 66:10, 68:18,	seem [1] - 18:18	side [11] - 41:5, 41:16,
reserving [1] - 38:10	rigid [1] - 44:4	69:18, 70:22	sees [2] - 41:20, 82:12	48:8, 48:22, 58:13,
resist[1] - 18:21	ripe [1] - 23:16	scheduled [7] - 6:3,	selected [1] - 42:23	68:2, 68:6, 70:11,
resolvable [1] - 58:14	Risk [1] - 54:23	28:21, 42:19, 42:20,	sell [1] - 18:23	70:23, 79:15, 85:15
resolve _[2] - 46:5,	Rite [1] - 3:8	43:10, 44:2, 47:11	send [1] - 53:13	sides [1] - 64:3
77:10	RMR [1] - 86:18	scheduling [2] -	sense [2] - 68:11,	sign [1] - 82:15
resolved [3] - 29:5,	road [2] - 67:14, 83:20	42:20, 44:4	81:14	significance [2] -
40:15, 77:24	Road [2] - 2:12, 2:23	Schneider [16] - 5:9,	sensitive [2] - 18:12,	14:25, 15:15
respect [37] - 4:12,	Robert [1] - 3:11	6:6, 7:14, 15:4, 19:8,	82:23	significant [3] - 5:17,
5:13, 22:7, 24:1,	role [4] - 8:10, 13:1,	20:7, 21:3, 25:2,	sent [8] - 12:9, 12:14,	6:14, 7:1
27:23, 29:13, 32:17,	15:1, 29:1	20.7, 21.3, 25.2, 29:21, 42:2, 43:23,	12:17, 13:9, 17:12,	significantly [1] - 65:9
32:21, 34:2, 34:5,	roles [1] - 13:22	77:8, 77:24, 78:17,	53:6, 54:20, 57:7	similar [1] - 25:17
35:5, 38:8, 39:21,	root [3] - 10:11, 10:12,	77.6, 77.24, 76.17, 79:1, 79:13	Sentry [1] - 2:16	simply [6] - 27:10,
40:22, 41:9, 41:17,	25:19	79.1, 79.13 Schneider's [1] -	series [1] - 5:18	44:2, 64:4, 76:16,
41:25, 50:16, 54:15,	Roseland [1] - 1:14	79:16	serious [2] - 42:17,	80:6
I 57.00 50.7 50.40	Noscialia [ii] - 1.17			1
57:23, 58:7, 58:12,	Roszel [1] - 2:23		77:6	single [2] - 18:2, 83:1
61:1, 63:17, 63:18,		scientifically [1] -	77:6 seriously [1] - 83:9	single _[2] - 18:2, 83:1 sit _[1] - 31:13
	Roszel [1] - 2:23 RPR [1] - 86:18	scientifically [1] - 25:20		sit [1] - 31:13
61:1, 63:17, 63:18,	Roszel [1] - 2:23 RPR [1] - 86:18 rule [5] - 7:23, 14:5,	scientifically [1] - 25:20 scope [6] - 36:24,	seriously [1] - 83:9 served [1] - 64:5	
61:1, 63:17, 63:18, 66:24, 67:7, 67:12,	Roszel [1] - 2:23 RPR [1] - 86:18 rule [5] - 7:23, 14:5, 14:7, 22:24, 32:2	scientifically [1] - 25:20 scope [6] - 36:24, 63:18, 63:25, 65:10,	seriously [1] - 83:9 served [1] - 64:5 session [1] - 86:5	sit[1] - 31:13 situation[1] - 81:22 six[2] - 12:8, 70:15
61:1, 63:17, 63:18, 66:24, 67:7, 67:12, 70:3, 70:23, 71:15,	Roszel [1] - 2:23 RPR [1] - 86:18 rule [5] - 7:23, 14:5, 14:7, 22:24, 32:2 ruled [6] - 35:17,	scientifically [1] - 25:20 scope [6] - 36:24, 63:18, 63:25, 65:10, 66:12, 67:4	seriously [1] - 83:9 served [1] - 64:5	sit [1] - 31:13 situation [1] - 81:22 six [2] - 12:8, 70:15 slammed [1] - 47:10
61:1, 63:17, 63:18, 66:24, 67:7, 67:12, 70:3, 70:23, 71:15, 77:9, 77:21, 83:21,	Roszel [1] - 2:23 RPR [1] - 86:18 rule [5] - 7:23, 14:5, 14:7, 22:24, 32:2	scientifically [1] - 25:20 scope [6] - 36:24, 63:18, 63:25, 65:10,	seriously [1] - 83:9 served [1] - 64:5 session [1] - 86:5 set [7] - 7:15, 40:25,	sit[1] - 31:13 situation[1] - 81:22 six[2] - 12:8, 70:15

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28198

9:17, 13:8, 15:7, 24:1, 28:23, 30:11, 32:15, 32:22, 34:2, 38:3, 38:25, 39:20, 40:24, 41:9, 59:15, 59:17, 60:1, 60:7, 63:5, 63:12, 73:2, 73:3, 74:10, 81:12 SLATER [33] - 1:13, 1:13, 5:15, 8:25, 9:2, 15:8, 24:5, 24:8, 29:11, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 south [2] - 1:17, 2:7 speaking [2] - 5:1,
24:1, 28:23, 30:11, 32:15, 32:22, 34:2, 38:3, 38:25, 39:20, 40:24, 41:9, 59:15, 59:17, 60:1, 60:7, 63:5, 63:12, 73:2, 73:3, 74:10, 81:12 SLATER [33] - 1:13, 1:13, 5:15, 8:25, 9:2, 15:8, 24:5, 24:8, 29:11, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 sone [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
32:15, 32:22, 34:2, 38:3, 38:25, 39:20, 40:24, 41:9, 59:15, 59:17, 60:1, 60:7, 63:5, 63:12, 73:2, 73:3, 74:10, 81:12 SLATER [33] - 1:13, 1:13, 5:15, 8:25, 9:2, 15:8, 24:5, 24:8, 29:11, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sourds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
38:3, 38:25, 39:20, 40:24, 41:9, 59:15, 59:17, 60:1, 60:7, 63:5, 63:12, 73:2, 73:3, 74:10, 81:12 SLATER [33] - 1:13, 1:13, 5:15, 8:25, 9:2, 15:8, 24:5, 24:8, 29:11, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 south [2] - 1:17, 2:7 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
40:24, 41:9, 59:15, 59:17, 60:1, 60:7, 63:5, 63:12, 73:2, 73:3, 74:10, 81:12 SLATER [33] - 1:13, 1:13, 5:15, 8:25, 9:2, 15:8, 24:5, 24:8, 29:11, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 south [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
59:17, 60:1, 60:7, 63:5, 63:12, 73:2, 73:3, 74:10, 81:12 SLATER [33] - 1:13, 1:13, 5:15, 8:25, 9:2, 15:8, 24:5, 24:8, 29:11, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 south [2] - 1:17, 2:7
63:5, 63:12, 73:2, 73:3, 74:10, 81:12 SLATER [33] - 1:13, 1:13, 5:15, 8:25, 9:2, 15:8, 24:5, 24:8, 29:11, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 soutce [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
73:3, 74:10, 81:12 SLATER[33] - 1:13, 1:13, 5:15, 8:25, 9:2, 15:8, 24:5, 24:8, 29:11, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
SLATER [33] - 1:13, 1:13, 5:15, 8:25, 9:2, 15:8, 24:5, 24:8, 29:11, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 south [2] - 1:17, 2:7 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
1:13, 5:15, 8:25, 9:2, 15:8, 24:5, 24:8, 29:11, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 south [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
15:8, 24:5, 24:8, 29:11, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sout [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
29:11, 30:12, 30:20, 30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 south [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 south [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
30:25, 31:2, 32:13, 32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 south [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
32:23, 33:4, 36:7, 38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 south [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
38:11, 39:1, 39:22, 41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
41:6, 41:11, 42:17, 43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
43:13, 44:9, 44:14, 46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
46:9, 73:2, 73:8, 74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
74:13, 81:13, 85:12, 86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 south [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
86:8 Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
Slater's [4] - 7:16, 23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
23:4, 28:4, 60:18 slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
slides [1] - 8:16 smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
smaller [1] - 47:8 SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:1, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
SMITH [1] - 3:11 sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
sodium [1] - 25:18 Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
Solco [2] - 2:8, 16:2 sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
sold [3] - 19:2, 72:5, 75:24 solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
75:24 solved[1] - 57:13 someone[1] - 21:5 sometime[1] - 64:12 somewhat[1] - 38:5 somewhere[1] - 50:13 soon[1] - 38:19 sooner[2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs[3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry[5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort[4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought[2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds[1] - 33:10 source[1] - 51:3 sources[5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South[2] - 1:17, 2:7
solved [1] - 57:13 someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
someone [1] - 21:5 sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
sometime [1] - 64:12 somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
somewhat [1] - 38:5 somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
somewhere [1] - 50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
50:13 soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
soon [1] - 38:19 sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
sooner [2] - 46:23, 70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
70:13 SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
SOPs [3] - 53:6, 62:6, 62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
62:17 sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
sorry [5] - 23:7, 43:13, 53:10, 54:12, 78:4 sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
sort [4] - 55:5, 58:21, 59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
59:4, 61:23 sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
sought [2] - 23:3, 66:4 sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
sounds [1] - 33:10 source [1] - 51:3 sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
source[1] - 51:3 sources[5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South[2] - 1:17, 2:7
sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
sources [5] - 50:25, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
52:15 South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
South [2] - 1:17, 2:7
3UEdKIIIU 121 - 31 1
69:8
SPECIAL [1] - 1:10
Special [1] - 4:2
specialist [1] - 10:24
specific [3] - 52:5,
66:16, 70:16
specifically [1] - 75:22
specifying [1] - 52:15

6.1

```
speculate [1] - 81:3
                           steps [1] - 30:10
                           STEVEN[1] - 2:11
sponsored [1] - 13:23
spreadsheet[1] -
                           still [15] - 8:23, 22:22,
 18:10
                             27:17, 35:6, 40:22,
staff [2] - 24:16, 33:7
                             48:13, 49:4, 50:25,
stamps [1] - 53:7
                             53:3. 55:10. 57:10.
                             62:8, 62:15, 62:17,
stand [7] - 32:21,
                             69:23
 32:25, 34:5, 40:13,
 50:16, 61:1, 70:13
                           stood [1] - 56:16
                           stopped [1] - 82:13
standard [8] - 42:3,
                           straightforward [2] -
 43:22, 52:21, 53:7,
                             17:25, 73:14
 53:8, 53:20, 73:16,
 83:1
                            strange [1] - 81:21
Standing [1] - 13:25
                           strategic [1] - 10:4
standpoint [1] - 42:20
                           strategy [4] - 15:21,
stands [2] - 24:21,
                             16:6, 16:10, 19:4
 39:16
                            Street [4] - 2:3, 2:7,
Stanoch [8] - 63:23,
                            2:19, 3:3
 64:21, 65:1, 65:15,
                            Streets [1] - 1:8
 66:19, 67:25, 68:15,
                            strike [2] - 49:3, 49:8
                            strong [3] - 6:9, 19:11,
STANOCH [8] - 2:2,
                             81:14
 63:23, 66:20, 67:25,
                           subject [9] - 10:24,
 68:19, 68:23, 69:4,
                             14:5, 14:11, 16:13,
 69:16
                             22:3, 22:15, 23:24,
Stanoch's [1] - 68:11
                             72:19, 76:4
start [13] - 4:20, 6:1.
                            submission [2] - 7:4.
 8:3, 15:13, 24:20,
                             28:21
                           submit [10] - 6:24,
 32:4, 32:7, 32:11,
 36:15, 38:20, 42:25,
                             7:21, 7:24, 11:3,
 45:8, 85:21
                             27:16, 30:15, 36:8,
started [7] - 4:9, 5:3,
                             43:14, 69:14, 73:25
 24:2, 31:12, 35:8,
                            submitted [6] - 5:11,
 35:9, 39:13
                             7:2, 9:4, 9:11, 69:6,
starting [3] - 32:19,
                             74:14
 37:15, 38:10
                            subsequent[1] - 60:4
state [14] - 13:22,
                           subsidiaries [2] - 8:7,
 14:5, 14:7, 14:11,
                             21:7
                           subsidiary [1] - 16:2
 14:14, 19:1, 29:1,
 29:3, 29:4, 29:7,
                           substance[1] - 44:5
 29:14, 29:24, 62:6
                           substantial [3] -
statement [4] - 25:20,
                             31:14, 48:11, 56:13
 25:21, 50:23, 65:25
                           substantially [4] -
statements [2] -
                             48:7, 48:22, 50:24,
 15:12, 56:9
                             51:11
States [7] - 10:15,
                           substantive [3] -
 16:1, 18:24, 19:2,
                             24:19, 26:6, 44:22
 21:5, 72:5, 75:24
                           substitute [2] - 72:22,
STATES [1] - 1:1
                             74:3
statistical [1] - 17:1
                           sufficient [3] - 9:7,
status [7] - 41:3,
                             65:3, 66:21
 46:14, 48:3, 49:13,
                           suggest[1] - 34:22
 58:11, 63:15, 69:1
                           suggested [2] - 37:22,
STATUS[1] - 1:5
                             42:22
stay [1] - 57:9
                            suggesting [1] - 57:16
stenography [1] -
                           Suite [4] - 1:20, 2:12,
 1:24
                             3:3, 3:6
step [2] - 19:19, 40:6
                           summary [2] - 84:18,
steppingstone [1] -
                             84:24
```

```
85:5
84:2
```

supplement [2] - 46:2, 44:11, 45:3, 46:2, 59:18, 79:22, 81:5, supplemental [1] -84.12 59:25 testimony's [1] supplementation [1] -44:14 45:2 testing [1] - 21:13 supplier [1] - 66:14 Teva [2] - 2:13, 2:13 support [4] - 5:11, **THE** [5] - 1:1, 1:10, 20:19, 20:24, 84:3 8:21, 85:21, 86:2 **supported** [1] - 15:12 theirs [1] - 30:15 supports [2] - 20:20, thereafter[1] - 54:21 they've [10] - 11:25, **suppose** [1] - 7:3 12:3, 21:12, 22:4, supposed [2] - 20:1, 22:8, 52:23, 59:3, 64:8 74:23, 76:13, 79:10 **surfaced**[1] - 27:5 thing's [1] - 26:22 surprise [4] - 9:5, **ThinkPad** [1] - 32:17 39:3, 79:2, 79:9 thinks [1] - 85:13 surprising [1] - 22:1 third [3] - 20:15, 79:14, 80:20 surprisingly [1] -THOMAS [1] - 1:11 12:23 surreply [3] - 70:20, **Thomas** [1] - 4:2 71:2, 71:3 **THORNBURG** [1] - 3:5 swelled [1] - 54:19 thorough [1] - 33:12 thousands [2] - 14:23, **swipe** [1] - 15:14 system [1] - 79:11 53:8 three [6] - 12:24, 43:8, 43:12, 44:6, 62:7, Τ 63:17 tantamount[1] - 72:8 three-week [3] - 43:8, tasks [1] - 10:5 43:12, 44:6 TC-201729[1] - 37:22 **throughout** [1] - 9:9 team [3] - 33:13, Thursday [3] - 6:25, 52:22, 54:1 33:21, 68:17 technical [4] - 11:13, timeframe[1] - 38:22 30:10, 61:5, 77:13 timely [1] - 59:6 tee [1] - 64:16 timing [3] - 64:5, teed [1] - 68:6 65:16, 67:20 telephone [1] - 85:22 today [22] - 4:9, 9:13, ten [4] - 23:8, 70:17, 11:3, 18:6, 20:13, 70:25, 71:6 30:19, 31:6, 32:3, term [1] - 37:23 33:11, 33:13, 35:25, termed [1] - 81:18 38:18, 41:10, 45:24, terms [13] - 4:21, 7:4, 46:19, 54:16, 55:9, 33:21, 33:22, 33:25, 57:25, 58:12, 61:16, 34:20, 37:17, 61:5, 61:20, 80:18 65:4, 65:16, 67:3, together [2] - 12:7, 67:4, 73:16 22:13 terribly [1] - 69:13 tomorrow[1] - 61:19 testified [4] - 10:10, tonight [1] - 19:14 24:17, 25:11, 62:5 took [7] - 10:9, 16:11, testifies [1] - 11:6 16:16, 16:17, 19:2, testify [1] - 11:2 19:3, 26:9 testifying [1] - 78:14 top [5] - 10:4, 12:25, testimony [26] - 9:24, 14:11, 18:25, 34:7 10:15, 12:1, 12:2, total [1] - 56:25 12:6, 16:9, 16:17, tough [1] - 55:14 16:20, 20:10, 20:21, ToxRox [2] - 57:13, 25:10, 26:21, 26:25, 58:2 42:5, 42:12, 43:9, **TrackWise** [1] - 51:24 43:16, 43:18, 44:7,

supervisory [1] - 16:5

transcript [3] - 1:24, 78:5, 86:15 transcription[1] -1:25 transcripts [1] - 81:18 translate [1] - 80:21 translated [2] - 78:10, 80:4 translation [15] - 12:3, 77:4, 77:19, 80:4, 80:8, 80:20, 82:1, 82:7, 83:19, 84:4, 84:13, 84:16, 84:19, 85:1, 85:4 translations [15] -77:9, 77:11, 78:13, 78:15, 79:15, 79:25, 80:2, 80:6, 80:7, 80:8, 80:15, 80:23, 81:24, 83:25, 84:10 translator[1] - 82:10 **TRAURIG** [1] - 2:10 treated [1] - 79:21 trepidation [1] - 77:3 trial [2] - 43:6, 78:15 tried [2] - 33:12, 77:10 trouble [2] - 71:19, 72:13 troubling [2] - 27:25, 28:1 true [4] - 25:20, 58:5, 65:7 truly [1] - 53:24 trustworthy [1] - 25:8 truthful [1] - 17:14 truthfully [1] - 81:10 try [6] - 8:21, 15:9, 17:6, 29:7, 30:22, 41:12 trying [4] - 23:7, 39:5, 56:24, 74:14 Tuesday [2] - 7:3, 37:10 turn [4] - 30:9, 34:2, 37:16, 55:19 turned [1] - 57:8 turning [1] - 72:8 turns [2] - 63:5, 77:12 twice [2] - 10:16, 10:17 two [25] - 12:13, 12:14, 13:1, 18:13, 19:21, 22:11, 25:6, 27:6, 29:17, 39:17, 40:11, 43:8, 43:11, 44:6, 48:24, 52:13, 52:19, 61:25, 62:6, 62:7, 64:3, 68:5, 68:12 **type** [1] - 38:12

U **U.S** [9] - 1:7, 2:8, 10:16, 15:25, 47:13, 48:6, 48:22, 50:24, 51:13 U.S.-related [1] - 21:7 **ULMER**[1] - 3:2 ultimately [3] - 5:25, 66:8, 73:19 unavailable [1] -35:21 under [15] - 14:14, 14:25, 28:12, 39:5, 42:2, 42:4, 42:8, 43:23, 53:14, 64:9, 73:10, 73:13, 76:5, 76:18, 83:3 understandable [1] -77:13 understood [2] -11:17, 38:24 unfair [1] - 83:17 unfairly [1] - 82:9 unfairness [3] - 82:15, 82:21, 83:18 unfortunately [1] -55:11 unintelligible [7] -79:24, 80:5, 80:23, 81:7, 83:11, 83:14, 83:19 unique [7] - 13:16, 27:12, 27:19, 42:6, 43:22, 70:16 Unit [10] - 62:2, 62:3, 62:5, 62:8, 62:13, 62:24 United [7] - 10:14, 16:1, 18:24, 19:1, 21:4, 72:5, 75:24 **UNITED** [1] - 1:1 unless [5] - 5:1, 44:16, 46:19, 57:25, 85:12 unlike [1] - 13:19 unprofessional [1] -56:6 unreasonable [1] -37:5 unredacted [5] -71:18, 72:14, 74:6 unreliable [5] - 84:7, 84:9, 84:13, 84:16 unseal [2] - 74:10, 75:11 up [17] - 6:17, 7:3, 7:4, 11:16, 16:20, 29:6,

30:23, 33:1, 45:1,

56:18, 64:16, 67:16,

68:6, 72:19, 74:22,

77:15, 86:6

update [5] - 39:15, 48:4, 48:19, 52:8, 58:21 updated [4] - 55:4, 55:23, 56:12, 56:15 upstream [1] - 66:14 urgency [1] - 34:24 urging [1] - 21:4 USA [2] - 2:13, 2:20

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28199

V

Valsartan [1] - 54:23

VALSARTAN[1] - 1:4

valid [1] - 75:21

valsartan [10] - 5:24, 8:12, 8:13, 15:22, 18:23, 25:17, 25:19, 27:24, 34:21, 66:14 value [1] - 15:15 Vanaskie [1] - 4:2 VANASKIE [121] -1:11, 4:3, 4:5, 4:7, 6:11, 6:22, 7:11, 8:2, 8:20, 8:22, 9:1, 9:17, 15:6, 20:4, 23:1, 23:25. 24:6. 26:13. 27:14. 30:11. 30:18. 30:24, 31:1, 32:6, 32:14, 33:3, 34:1, 35:3, 35:15, 36:1, 37:6, 37:20, 37:24, 38:14, 38:24, 39:9, 39:18, 40:19, 40:23, 41:8, 41:15, 41:22, 42:16, 43:17, 43:25, 44:19, 46:11, 46:17, 47:17, 47:19, 48:2, 49:2, 49:7, 49:16, 49:20, 49:24, 50:7, 50:14, 50:20, 51:19, 52:11, 53:4, 53:17, 53:21, 54:10, 54:14, 55:15, 55:21, 56:9, 57:2, 57:15, 57:18, 58:6, 58:9, 59:8, 59:12, 60:25, 61:8, 61:17, 61:21, 62:19, 63:3, 63:11, 63:14, 64:20, 66:18, 66:22, 67:22, 68:15, 68:20, 68:25, 69:8, 69:15, 69:17, 70:1, 70:8, 70:21, 71:10, 71:12, 71:14, 71:24, 72:12, 72:25, 73:3, 73:17, 74:4, 75:1, 75:3, 76:2, 76:12, 77:1, 78:2, 78:7, 81:12, 82:19, 83:20, 85:15, 85:17, 85:24, 86:4,

86:9 various [3] - 13:22, 21:7, 47:1 vast[1] - 14:10 VCDs [1] - 67:8 vendor [3] - 47:4, 48:16, 61:14 verging [1] - 56:6 vetted [2] - 14:15, 14:19 vetting [1] - 7:22 VIA [1] - 1:6 via [2] - 4:1, 70:20 vice [2] - 14:1, 36:18 VICTORIA [1] - 2:10 video [1] - 58:18 videoconference[1] -4:1 **VIDEOCONFERENC E**[1] - 1:6 view [5] - 7:12, 7:13, 13:11, 22:22, 73:13 vigorous [1] - 6:4 Vine [1] - 3:3 violation [1] - 79:23 visited [1] - 10:14 visits [1] - 16:1 **volume** [5] - 38:18, 38:21, 38:23, 39:16, 75:7 **vu** [1] - 81:14

W

wait [6] - 19:9, 24:23, 24:24, 45:4, 45:20 waited [1] - 30:4 waiting [4] - 24:22, 36:21, 55:2, 56:2 walk[1] - 22:10 walked [1] - 31:3 walks [1] - 10:24 WALLACK[1] - 2:21 Wang [1] - 15:24 wants [5] - 17:5, 33:17, 66:20, 81:8, 82:12 warranted [2] - 15:5, 23:14 warranties [2] - 67:1, 67:2 warrants [1] - 42:8 watched [1] - 16:14 watching [1] - 81:22 water [1] - 56:10 ways [2] - 14:6, 21:4 Wednesday [3] - 4:8, 6:25, 49:21 weed [1] - 29:24 weeds [1] - 36:21 week [42] - 4:10, 5:22,

6:25, 9:4, 11:1, 20:17, 20:20, 28:7, 29:17, 30:5, 30:14, 30:19, 34:16, 35:9, 35:22, 35:25, 36:9, 37:2, 40:14, 41:4, 43:8, 43:12, 44:6, 45:17, 45:18, 46:5, 46:25, 47:13, 47:14, 48:18, 52:25, 53:6, 53:15, 54:18, 56:22, 58:23, 59:14, 61:11, 63:5, 68:5 weekend[1] - 42:21 weeks [10] - 39:17, 40:11, 45:12, 52:13, 52:18, 52:19, 55:12, 62:16, 68:12 **WERNER** [1] - 2:15 white [1] - 57:10 WHITELEY [1] - 2:2 whole [2] - 18:18, 73:21 **Wholesaler** [1] - 3:4 wholesaler [1] - 69:1 wholesalers [4] -67:7, 69:7, 69:9, 69:11 willing [5] - 23:20, 29:16, 31:5, 31:8, 75:13 withheld [1] - 34:23 withhold [1] - 22:17 witness [36] - 42:7, 43:14, 58:25, 59:14, 59:18, 62:5, 62:16, 62:23, 63:7, 63:9, 78:14, 79:3, 79:17, 79:20, 79:21, 80:5, 80:10, 80:12, 80:13, 80:24, 80:25, 81:1, 81:3, 82:23, 82:25, 83:2, 83:10, 83:11, 83:15, 83:17, 83:18, 83:25, 84:5 witnesses [21] - 12:1, 12:3, 12:6, 12:12, 21:24, 42:13, 47:12, 51:3, 59:25, 60:3, 62:11, 63:9, 77:16, 79:6, 79:22, 79:25, 80:2, 81:9, 82:14, 83:5, 84:15 word [1] - 18:23 works [1] - 41:14 world [5] - 8:8, 17:14, 19:5, 26:10, 33:18 worldwide [1] - 8:6 writing [5] - 39:23,

40:21, 40:25, 41:4,

Document 1235-6

PageID: 28200

54:6 written [5] - 7:4, 18:5, 77:18, 83:7, 85:6

Υ

year [7] - 10:17, 17:16, 25:21, 31:24, 43:6, 43:7 years [5] - 8:11, 22:11, 27:6, 62:6, 62:7

Z

zero [1] - 12:22 **Zhejiang** [2] - 2:9, 14:2 **Zhong** [2] - 35:20, 36:11 **zHP**[1] - 22:10 ZHP [67] - 4:19, 5:23, 6:15, 8:5, 8:13, 10:2, 10:15, 10:16, 11:12, 11:25, 12:5, 13:7, 13:17, 13:19, 14:13, 16:7, 17:5, 17:13, 18:8, 18:13, 18:23, 19:3, 19:21, 21:1, 21:6, 22:6, 24:10, 24:13, 25:7, 25:21, 26:3, 30:14, 30:18, 31:12, 31:22, 32:3, 32:6, 32:23, 33:5, 33:17, 33:20, 34:24, 37:4, 37:8, 37:9, 39:1, 39:7, 39:9, 39:21, 41:9, 42:14, 46:8, 72:3, 72:8, 72:9, 73:15, 73:22, 74:16, 74:22, 75:16, 77:16, 81:25, 82:11, 84:2, 84:11, 84:21 ZHP's [4] - 16:2, 18:21, 36:8, 41:16 zinc [4] - 10:2, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8 **ZOOM**[1] - 1:6 **Zoom** [5] - 4:1, 9:14, 52:6, 55:18, 86:5